

**Title: Debate on Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide for Older People in the UK: Advocating
for Compassionate End-of-Life Choices**

Student's Name: Esra Hussein

Instructor's Name: Liz Tilly

Due Date: 23rd June 2023

Debate on Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide for Older People in the UK: Advocating for Compassionate End-of-Life Choices

Introduction:

In this debate, we will present the arguments in favor of the practice of euthanasia and assisted suicide for older people in the UK. We recognize the sensitive nature of this topic but believe that it is crucial to explore the potential benefits and ethical considerations surrounding end-of-life choices (Green, 2023). Euthanasia and assisted suicide, when approached with strict regulations and safeguards, can provide a compassionate option for individuals who are suffering from incurable diseases or experiencing unbearable pain.

Euthanasia and assisted suicide, examine the laws governing these practices, explore the importance of end-of-life and palliative care, discuss the gap between need and availability of such care, and consider legislation, policy, and theories of aging that are relevant to older people approaching the end of their lives (Canetto, 2019). Our aim is to shed light on the potential benefits of euthanasia and assisted suicide, emphasizing the importance of autonomy and individual choice in end-of-life decision-making.

Definition of Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide.

Euthanasia refers to the deliberate act of ending someone's life painlessly, typically administered by a healthcare professional, with the explicit intention of relieving their suffering. It can be further classified into two forms: voluntary euthanasia, where the patient requests the intervention, and non-voluntary euthanasia, where the patient is unable to give consent, such as in cases of severe dementia or irreversible coma (Green, 2023). It can be performed through

various means, including the administration of lethal drugs or the withdrawal of life-sustaining treatments.

Assisted suicide, on the other hand, involves providing a person with the means to end their own life, with the assistance of a healthcare professional or another individual. Unlike euthanasia, the individual themselves administers the lethal dose of medication (Green, 2023). This approach gives individuals the autonomy to control the timing and manner of their death when they have a terminal illness and are experiencing intolerable suffering.

What are the laws governing euthanasia and assisted suicide in the UK (perhaps in comparison with other countries).

In the UK, euthanasia and assisted suicide are currently illegal under the Suicide Act 1961. The law states that aiding or encouraging someone to take their own life is a criminal offense punishable by up to 14 years of imprisonment. This includes both active euthanasia and assisted suicide. However, it is important to note that there have been significant discussions and debates surrounding this topic, leading to ongoing calls for a change in legislation.

In comparison to other countries, several nations have legalized some form of euthanasia or assisted suicide. For example, the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg, Colombia, Canada, and some states in the United States have implemented laws allowing for euthanasia or physician-assisted suicide under specific conditions. These conditions typically involve stringent safeguards, such as a terminal illness diagnosis, a competent patient, voluntary request, and multiple medical consultations (Papadopoulou, 2023). These jurisdictions often have established regulatory frameworks and oversight mechanisms to ensure the proper application of euthanasia and assisted suicide practices.

Supporters of legalizing euthanasia and assisted suicide in the UK argue that the current legislation denies individuals the right to autonomy and control over their own lives, particularly in the context of end-of-life decision-making. They emphasize the importance of respecting an individual's right to a dignified death and alleviating their suffering when all other options for relief have been exhausted. They propose the implementation of comprehensive safeguards and regulations to ensure the practice is conducted ethically and with proper oversight.

End-of-life care and palliative care play crucial roles in supporting individuals nearing the end of their lives, focusing on their physical, emotional, and spiritual well-being. As proponents of euthanasia and assisted suicide, we recognize the significance of providing comprehensive and compassionate end-of-life care to older people in the UK.

To add, it encompasses a range of services aimed at improving the quality of life for individuals with life-limiting illnesses. It involves managing pain and symptoms, addressing psychological and social needs, facilitating communication, and ensuring a comfortable and dignified transition (Green, 2023). Palliative care, a key component of end-of-life care, specifically focuses on alleviating suffering and enhancing quality of life.

Palliative care can be delivered in various settings, including hospitals, hospices, residential care facilities, and home-based care. Hospital-based palliative care teams work closely with healthcare professionals to provide specialized support, while hospices offer comprehensive, interdisciplinary care tailored to the unique needs of patients and their families. Residential care facilities may also provide palliative care services, ensuring round-the-clock assistance and support (Papadopoulou, 2023). Additionally, home-based palliative care enables individuals to receive specialized care in the comfort of their own homes, allowing them to be surrounded by loved ones while maintaining a sense of familiarity.

In delivering end-of-life and palliative care, a multidisciplinary approach is essential. This involves collaboration among healthcare professionals, including physicians, nurses, social workers, psychologists, and spiritual care providers. Together, they address the physical, emotional, and spiritual dimensions of care, tailoring interventions to meet the individual needs and preferences of patients.

Furthermore, effective communication and shared decision-making are integral to end-of-life care. Open and honest discussions between healthcare professionals, patients, and their families allow for informed decision-making regarding treatment options, pain management, and care plans. Advance care planning, including the development of living wills or advance directives, ensures that the individual's wishes and preferences are respected and acted upon (Lapid et al., 2020).

By emphasizing the importance of end-of-life and palliative care, we advocate for a comprehensive and person-centered approach that prioritizes the well-being and dignity of older individuals in the UK. Adequate training and resources should be provided to healthcare professionals to enhance their knowledge and skills in providing high-quality end-of-life care. Additionally, public awareness campaigns can help promote understanding and acceptance of palliative care, ensuring that individuals and their families have access to the support they need during this challenging time (Papadopoulou, 2023).

The gap between need and availability of end-of-life care.

The gap between the need and availability of end-of-life care presents a significant challenge in ensuring that all individuals receive the appropriate support and services during their final stages of life. As proponents of euthanasia and assisted suicide, we recognize the

urgency of addressing this gap to provide comprehensive care options for older people in the UK.

One key factor contributing to the gap is the limited availability of resources, including healthcare professionals and specialized facilities. There is a shortage of trained palliative care providers, resulting in a strain on existing services. Additionally, the number of hospice beds and residential care facilities may not meet the growing demand, particularly in certain regions or underserved communities (Lapid et al., 2020). This scarcity of resources creates barriers to accessing timely and appropriate end-of-life care.

Geographic disparities further exacerbate the gap in availability. Rural areas often face challenges in terms of limited healthcare infrastructure, including a scarcity of palliative care services. This can result in longer travel distances and increased difficulties in accessing the necessary support and resources (Lapid et al., 2020). Addressing these disparities and ensuring equitable access to end-of-life care is crucial in bridging the gap.

Moreover, a lack of public awareness and understanding of available end-of-life care options contributes to the gap. Many individuals and families may not be fully informed about the benefits and scope of palliative care, leading to underutilization of these services (Green, 2023). Raising awareness through educational campaigns can help bridge this gap, empowering individuals to make informed decisions and seek appropriate care.

To narrow the gap, it is essential to invest in the expansion of end-of-life care services and resources. This includes increasing the number of trained palliative care professionals, improving access to hospice facilities, and expanding community-based services. Collaboration

among healthcare providers, policymakers, and community organizations is crucial in identifying and addressing the specific needs of different populations and regions (Lapid et al., 2020).

Furthermore, integrating end-of-life care into primary care settings and promoting interdisciplinary approaches can help optimize the use of available resources. This involves enhancing communication and coordination among healthcare professionals, ensuring a holistic and person-centered approach to care delivery.

By addressing the gap between the need and availability of end-of-life care, we can ensure that older people in the UK have access to comprehensive and timely support. This approach recognizes the value of dignified and compassionate care at the end of life, providing individuals and their families with the necessary resources and services to navigate this challenging stage with comfort and support (Lapid et al., 2020).

Legislation, policy and theories of ageing, which are relevant to older people approaching the end of their lives particularly with regard

Legislation, policy, and theories of aging play a significant role in shaping the experiences of older people as they approach the end of their lives. When considering the debate on euthanasia and assisted suicide, it is crucial to examine these factors and their relevance to the care and well-being of older individuals in the UK.

In the UK, the Mental Capacity Act and the Care Act are particularly relevant. The Mental Capacity Act outlines the legal requirements for assessing a person's capacity to make decisions and provides guidance on making decisions in their best interests when they lack capacity (Green, 2023). The Care Act focuses on the provision of social care and support,

emphasizing the importance of person-centered care and collaboration between health and social care services.

Additionally, theories of aging, such as the socioemotional selectivity theory and the disengagement theory, provide insights into how older individuals approach the end of their lives. The socioemotional selectivity theory suggests that as individuals age, they become more selective in their social interactions, prioritizing emotionally meaningful relationships (Quah et al., 2022). Understanding this theory helps healthcare professionals tailor their approach to providing emotional support and addressing the psychological needs of older individuals as they approach the end of life.

The current laws in the UK criminalize both practices. However, ongoing debates and discussions call for a reevaluation of these laws, considering individual autonomy and the right to a dignified death. Legislative changes would require careful consideration of ethical principles, potential safeguards, and the impact on vulnerable populations.

Moreover, theories of aging guide the provision of care for older individuals at the end of life. The person-centered approach recognizes the importance of respecting individual preferences and values when making decisions about their care. The palliative care approach, which emphasizes holistic support and symptom management, aligns with the principles of providing compassionate care for individuals approaching the end of their lives.

Planning for end of life (living wills, DNR's etc)

Planning for the end of life is a crucial aspect of ensuring that individuals' wishes and preferences are respected during their final stages. As proponents of providing autonomy and

choice to individuals, we support the importance of various planning tools, including living wills and Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) orders (Lapid et al., 2020).

A living will, also known as an advance directive, is a legal document that allows individuals to outline their healthcare preferences in advance. It provides guidance to healthcare providers and family members regarding the types of medical interventions and treatments an individual wishes to receive or avoid if they become unable to communicate their wishes (Lapid et al., 2020). By expressing their preferences in a living will, individuals can ensure that their end-of-life care aligns with their values and beliefs.

Similarly, Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) orders specify an individual's preference to forgo cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in the event of cardiac arrest. This decision is made when the individual determines that the potential benefits of CPR are outweighed by the burdens and risks associated with it. DNR orders allow individuals to have control over the type of interventions performed during a medical emergency and prevent unnecessary and potentially invasive procedures when their prognosis is poor (Riisfeldt, 2023)

By utilizing these planning tools, individuals can actively participate in decisions about their care, even if they are unable to communicate their wishes at the time. Living wills and DNR orders provide a way for individuals to have their choices honored, ensuring that medical interventions align with their values and preferences (Duckett, 2020). It also alleviates the burden on family members, who may otherwise be faced with difficult decisions without clear guidance from their loved ones.

Conclusion

The debate surrounding euthanasia and assisted suicide in the context of older people approaching the end of their lives is a complex and multifaceted one. We have explored various aspects of this topic, including the definition of euthanasia and assisted suicide, the laws governing these practices in the UK, the importance of end-of-life and palliative care, the gap between the need and availability of such care, the role of legislation and policy, and the significance of planning for end-of-life decisions (Westwood, 2021).

Through careful examination of these factors, it is evident that the discussion revolves around issues of autonomy, dignity, compassion, and the balancing of ethical considerations. Ultimately, finding a resolution requires a comprehensive and inclusive approach that upholds the well-being and choices of older individuals as they navigate their end-of-life journey.

References

- Canetto, S. S. (2019). If physician-assisted suicide is the modern woman's last powerful choice, why are White women its leading advocates and main users?. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 50(1), 39.
- Duckett, S. (2020). Pathos, death talk and palliative care in the assisted dying debate in Victoria, Australia. *Mortality*, 25(2), 151-166.
- Green, E. M. (2023). *At the End of Life: Conceptualizing Human Dignity and Assisted Suicide Debates in Contemporary Germany*.
- Lapid, M. I., Koopmans, R., Sampson, E. L., Van den Block, L., & Peisah, C. (2020). Providing quality end-of-life care to older people in the era of COVID-19: perspectives from five countries. *International psychogeriatrics*, 32(11), 1345-1352.
- Papadopoulou, N. (2023). Sue Westwood, *Regulating the End of Life—Death Rights*.
- Riisfeldt, T. D. (2023). Overcoming Conflicting Definitions of “Euthanasia,” and of “Assisted Suicide,” Through a Value-Neutral Taxonomy of “End-Of-Life Practices”. *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, 20(1), 51-70.
- Quah, E. L. Y., Chua, K. Z. Y., Lua, J. K., Wan, D. W. J., Chong, C. S., Lim, Y. X., & Krishna, L. (2022). A systematic review of stakeholder perspectives of dignity and assisted dying. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*.
- Westwood, S. (2021). Introducing ‘Regulating the End of Life: Death Rights’. In *Regulating the End of Life* (pp. 1-13). Routledge.