



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

BA (Hons) Leadership and Management

Student Name: versiley Bastos Vera Cruz

Student Number: 2324429

Course Name: Organisational Culture (LCLM5005)

Lecturer Name: Dr. Olusoyi Richard Ashaye

Session: February 2025 Term

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
How Organisational Culture Shapes Toyota's Structure and Decision-Making	4
Identifying and Explaining Toyota's Organisational Culture	5
Schein's Model of Organisational Culture	6
Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions and Toyota's National Context	7
Key Insights from Theory about types of culture	9
How Toyota Uses Organisational Culture to Adapt to Its External Environment	10
Cultural Adaptability and Global Expansion	11
Cultural Influence on Sustainability and Innovation	12
Is Organisational Culture a Barrier to Effective Functioning at Toyota?	13
Cultural Strengths Supporting Effectiveness	13
Cultural Rigidity and Its Drawbacks	13
Balancing Cultural Consistency and Flexibility	14
The Impact of Organisational Culture on Toyota's Performance and Recommendations for Improvement	15
Conclusion	17
Reference	18

Introduction

Toyota is a brand I greatly admire. Since childhood, my first car was a Toyota, which is why I decided to choose this brand and talk about it. Toyota Motor Corporation, a leading Japanese automotive manufacturer, exemplifies the strategic role of organisational culture.

Organisational culture is a critical factor shaping how organisations function, make decisions, and adapt to complex environments.

As Mullins and Christy note, shared values, beliefs, and behavioural norms significantly influence organisational processes, including decision-making, structural design, motivation, and control. In an era of globalisation, multinational corporations must integrate diverse cultural perspectives into a coherent and effective corporate culture.

Through its philosophy, The Toyota Way, the company institutionalises principles of continuous improvement (Kaizen) and respect for people across all operational levels. This report critically examines how Toyota's culture shapes its structure and decision-making, drawing on Schein's model, Hofstede's cultural dimensions, and Handy's typology.

It further analyses how culture facilitates adaptation to external environments, assesses potential barriers to effectiveness, and evaluates the overall impact on organisational performance, concluding with recommendations to enhance cultural agility and innovation.

How Organisational Culture Shapes Toyota's Structure and Decision-Making

Toyota Motor Corporation's organisational culture, embodied in *The Toyota Way*, fundamentally shapes its structure and strategic decision-making. As noted by Liker and Hoseus (2010), **the culture is grounded in two core pillars continuous improvement (Kaizen) and respect for people which influence all levels of the organisation.** Reflecting a participatory and collaborative approach to decision-making.

Toyota's structure operates as a **hybrid between functional and team-based systems**, distributing authority across operational units and aligning with Handy's (1999) concept of a role and task culture. This balance promotes stability through defined responsibilities while fostering cross-functional collaboration to drive innovation and efficiency.

The firm's emphasis on long-term employee development reinforces a collectivist orientation, consistent with Japan's high uncertainty avoidance (Hofstede, 2011), and **decisions are typically made through Nemawashi**, a consensus-building process ensuring organisational alignment (Pixley, 2016).

Moreover, the cultural principle of *Genchi Genbutsu* "go and see for yourself" encourages decision-making based on firsthand observation, enhancing accountability, trust, and communication across hierarchical levels (Liker, 2004).

This integration of cultural values into structural design enables Toyota to maintain a dynamic **balance between centralised strategic control and local operational autonomy**, ensuring consistency in global standards while allowing regional adaptability

Identifying and Explaining Toyota's Organisational Culture

Understanding Toyota's organisational culture necessitates applying theoretical frameworks that explain how shared values, beliefs, and behavioural norms influence corporate behaviour.

The tree metaphor explains organisational culture I will talk about, are, **Schein's, Hofstede, and Handy's.**

As rooted in deep beliefs, supported by structures, and **expressed through visible behaviours. Schein's (2010).'**

Hofstede explains culture from the outside in, showing how society shapes organisations.

Handy explains how culture affects structure, leadership, and the way people work together.

The table 1: below is Integrated Analysis of Toyota's Organisational Culture Using Schein, Hofstede, and Handy

Theory	Focus	How Culture is Explained	Key Strength	Reference
Schein	Internal depth of culture	Three levels: assumptions → values → artifacts	Shows how invisible beliefs shape visible behaviour	Schein, E. H. (2010).
Hofstede	National & social context	Cultural dimensions: power distance, collectivism, etc.	Explains how culture varies across countries	Hofstede's (2011)
Handy	Power and structure	Four culture types: Power, Role, Task, Person	Connects culture with organisational design & leadership	Handy, C. (1993).

Table 1: **Theory about types of culture**

The Toyota Way reflects a unique synthesis of Japanese collectivism and organisational learning, fostering both operational excellence and employee commitment (Liker and Hoseus, 2010).

To critically examine this culture, key frameworks such as Schein's model of organisational culture, Hofstede's cultural dimensions, and Handy's typology of cultural types are employed, providing a comprehensive basis for analysing how Toyota's underlying assumptions and social values shape its organisational practices and identity.

Schein's Model of Organisational Culture

Schein (2010) proposes that organisational culture exists at three interrelated levels: **artifacts**, **espoused values**, and **basic underlying assumptions**.

The Table 2: Below is a **clear table for Schein's Model of Organisational Culture**, followed by a **single label for Toyota**

Level of Culture	Description	Examples at Toyota	Key References
Artifacts	Visible and tangible manifestations of organisational culture that reflect underlying values and assumptions.	Standardised production processes, employee uniforms, and plant layouts designed to enhance efficiency and communication. The <i>Andon</i> cord system exemplifies transparency and employee empowerment by allowing workers to stop production to address issues.	Liker (2004)
Espoused Values	Stated principles and norms that guide behaviour and decision-making within the organisation.	Core values of <i>continuous improvement (Kaizen)</i> , <i>respect for people</i> , and <i>teamwork</i> are embedded in <i>The Toyota Way</i> and reinforced through leadership practices and employee training programmes.	Pixley (2016)
Basic Underlying Assumptions	Deeply held, taken-for-granted beliefs that form the essence of organisational culture.	Foundational assumptions such as “problems are opportunities for improvement” and “quality begins with people” underpin Toyota’s commitment to learning, humility, and perfectionism.	McMillan (2018)

Table 2: Schein’s Model of Organisational Culture

Through these layers, Schein’s framework helps explain how Toyota’s culture is not merely symbolic but deeply institutionalised in organisational routines and socialisation processes.

Hofstede’s Cultural Dimensions and Toyota’s National Context

Hofstede’s (2011) model highlights how national culture influences organisational culture.

The table 3: below is Integrated Analysis of Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions

Hofstede Dimension	Japanese Cultural Trait	Manifestation in Toyota	Academic Interpretation
Power Distance (PD)	Moderate–high respect for hierarchy	Clear authority lines, seniority-based decision making, leaders as mentors	Toyota's leadership culture values direction from experienced managers and respect for organisational rank, while promoting collective responsibility rather than dominance.
Individualism vs Collectivism (IDV)	Strong collectivism	Team-based production, lifelong employment, shared performance targets	Work identity is embedded in the group; employees prioritise team performance and harmonious cooperation over individual autonomy, reinforcing long-term loyalty.
Masculinity vs Femininity (MAS)	High masculinity	Competitive quality standards, performance discipline, excellence norms	Toyota pursues performance, durability, and reputation as indicators of achievement, yet tempers this with care for employee development and harmony.
Uncertainty Avoidance (UAI)	Very high	Standardisation, kaizen routines, TPS rules	Formal procedures reduce ambiguity; errors are handled through systematic problem-solving rather than improvisation, reflecting cultural sensitivity to risk.
Long-Term Orientation (LTO)	Extremely high	Investment in learning, slow promotion, continuous improvement philosophy	Toyota emphasises longevity, sustainable innovation, patience in capability building, and intergenerational stability rather than short-term profit.
Indulgence vs Restraint (IVR)	Restraint culture	Frugality, modest branding, disciplined resource use	Consumption and self-expression are tempered by modesty and social responsibility, aligning with Toyota's ethic of corporate humility and ecological stewardship.

Table 3: Hofstede Dimension

The table 4: below, is Integrated Analysis **Handy's Culture Type**

Handy's Culture Type	Characteristics	Manifestation at Toyota	Academic Interpretation / Source
Power Culture	Centralised authority, decisions concentrated with a few individuals, influence comes from position	Toyota rarely relies solely on top-down authority; however, during crises (e.g., recalls), executive teams take decisive control	Handy (1993); Liker & Hoseus (2010)
Role Culture	Clearly defined roles, rules, and responsibilities; stability and procedure dominate	Standardised operating procedures, TPS routines, structured problem-solving workflows	Handy (1993); Liker (2004)
Task Culture	Teams formed around projects or problems; decision-making delegated to experts; problem-solving focus	Kaizen teams, cross-functional improvement groups, quality circles empowered to solve production and design issues	Handy (1993); Liker & Hoseus (2010)
Person Culture	Individual expertise valued above organisational structure; autonomy encouraged	Toyota encourages individual skill development through continuous learning programs, but this is within a strong collective culture	Handy (1993); Liker (2004)

Table 4: **HANY'S CULTURE TYPE**

Key Insights from Theory about types of culture

Schein explains Toyota's **internal culture**, showing how deeply held assumptions about collective work and learning drive visible practices like Kaizen.

Hofstede contextualises Toyota within **Japanese national culture**, highlighting how collectivism, long-term orientation, and high uncertainty avoidance shape organisational behaviour.

Handy links culture to **organisational structure and leadership**, showing how Toyota balances role clarity, task-based empowerment, and individual development.

The integration demonstrates that Toyota's culture is **multi-layered and hybrid**, combining visible routines, national norms, and organisational practices to sustain operational excellence and employee commitment, (Cherian, Chawla and Lee, 2023).

Toyota's organisational culture achieves a balance between stability and adaptability, a defining feature of its sustained global success. Nonetheless, its highly structured nature may at times limit creativity and slow decision-making (Journal of Organisation Design, 2016).

Application of Schein's model illustrates how these cultural values are embedded throughout organisational levels, while Hofstede's and Handy's frameworks reveal the integration of Japanese cultural traditions with global management practices.

This deeply ingrained culture continues to underpin Toyota's operational excellence and long-term performance, though it also presents challenges in responding swiftly to rapid technological and market transformations.

How Toyota Uses Organisational Culture to Adapt to Its External Environment

The Toyota Way, founded on the principles of continuous improvement (Kaizen) and respect for people, has allowed the organisation to remain resilient, flexible, and innovative in response to global challenges such as technological disruption, market fluctuations, and sustainability demands (Liker and Hoseus, 2010).

Cultural Adaptability and Global Expansion

Toyota's global expansion has necessitated the reconciliation of its Japanese cultural foundations with the diverse values of its international workforce.

Through a process of cultural hybridisation, the company adapts its core philosophies to local contexts while preserving its essential principles (Pixley, 2016).

For instance, Toyota's plants in the United States and Europe continue to uphold *Kaizen* and *Genchi Genbutsu* yet modify leadership and communication styles to align with Western expectations of autonomy and openness (Cherian, Chawla and Lee, 2023). **This approach ensures a balance between global consistency and local responsiveness, enabling Toyota to maintain operational efficiency while remaining culturally adaptive.**

Toyota's capacity for learning from crises further demonstrates its cultural resilience. The response to the 2010 recall crisis exemplified the firm's commitment to reflection and reform, using the event to reinforce quality assurance and decentralised accountability (Journal of Organisation Design, 2016).

Consistent with Schein's (2010) learning culture model, Toyota engaged in collective introspection, refining communication systems and strengthening its ethical and safety culture.

Moreover, the company's enduring commitment to *Kaizen* promotes incremental innovation and continuous improvement, supporting adaptation to technological and environmental challenges (Liker, 2004). Toyota's development of hybrid and hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles illustrates how sustainability is integrated into its cultural and strategic priorities (Toyota, 2023).

Cultural Influence on Sustainability and Innovation

Toyota's organisational culture profoundly influences **its approach to sustainability and innovation, reflecting a deeply ingrained long-term orientation** derived from Japanese national values (Hofstede, 2011).

This orientation drives Toyota's strategic emphasis on environmental responsibility and corporate social commitment, as demonstrated by the Toyota Environmental Challenge 2050, which embodies cultural principles of respect and responsibility in promoting environmental stewardship.

Employees are actively encouraged to engage in green innovation and waste reduction initiatives, illustrating how cultural values underpin environmental **adaptation and sustainability** (McMillan, 2018).

Furthermore, Toyota's collaborative culture fosters mutual respect among employees, suppliers, and partners, facilitating innovation throughout its global supply chain. The company's lean supplier system promotes co-learning and transparency, enhancing responsiveness to global disruptions such as supply shortages or shifting consumer preferences (Liker and Hoseus, 2010).

Its hierarchical structure and consensus-based decision-making processes though essential for stability may at times impede rapid responsiveness in volatile environments (Journal of Organisation Design, 2016).

Nevertheless, Toyota's commitment to cross-cultural training, local leadership empowerment, and digital transformation illustrates its evolving capacity to reconcile traditional cultural values with contemporary innovation demands.

Toyota's organisational culture functions as a dynamic mechanism for adaptation, learning, and innovation. Toyota aligns internal practices with external challenges, reinforcing its global competitiveness, environmental leadership, and strategic resilience.

Is Organisational Culture a Barrier to Effective Functioning at Toyota?

Toyota's organisational culture, while a major source of strength and competitive advantage, can also present barriers to effective functioning in certain contexts. Rooted in *The Toyota Way*, the culture shapes the company's approach to quality, learning, and continuous improvement (Liker and Hoseus, 2010). However, as Schein (2010) cautions, when such a culture becomes overly rigid or inward-looking, it may constrain adaptability, innovation, and responsiveness in dynamic global environments.

Cultural Strengths Supporting Effectiveness

Despite these potential limitations, Toyota's cultural strengths significantly support its effectiveness. The emphasis on teamwork, respect, and Kaizen fosters employee engagement and collective problem-solving, while the *Genchi Genbutsu* principle ensures that managerial decisions are evidence-based and contextually informed (Liker, 2004).

Together, these cultural features underpin Toyota's enduring reputation for quality, reliability, and operational excellence (Pixley, 2016).

Cultural Rigidity and Its Drawbacks

Toyota's organisational culture, while central to its success, can hinder responsiveness in dynamic environments.

Its consensus-driven practices (Nemawashi) often slow decision-making, reducing agility during unexpected disruptions (Journal of Organisation Design, 2016).

This rigidity contributed to delays in recognising and resolving issues during the 2010 recall crisis, where hierarchical communication and a reluctance to challenge authority impeded swift action.

Such tendencies reflect **Japan's high uncertainty avoidance and collectivist orientation**, which prioritise harmony over dissent (Hofstede, 2011).

The strength of Toyota's cultural identity also complicates global integration. Subsidiaries may struggle to align local practices with deeply institutionalised organisational norms, creating tension between standardisation and autonomy (Cherian, Chawla and Lee, 2023).

In some Western contexts, employees perceive Toyota's structured procedures and kaizen practices as restrictive, limiting creativity and individual discretion (Liker and Hoseus, 2010). This aligns with Schein's (2010) contention that deeply embedded cultural assumptions, while stabilising, may suppress innovation and constrain the diverse perspectives required for sustained global competitiveness.

Balancing Cultural Consistency and Flexibility

Toyota has begun to address the limitations of its traditional culture by investing in cultural learning and diversity management.

Expanded **cross-cultural training for leaders aims to promote more open communication and experimentation across international operations, particularly in Europe and North America** (McMillan, 2018).

In parallel, the company's digital transformation initiatives seek to decentralise decision-making and enhance organisational agility in responding to shifting market and customer demands. These developments indicate Toyota's recognition that cultural evolution is necessary, even as it preserves the values foundational to its success.

Evaluation

Overall, Toyota's organisational culture provides both **stability and constraint**. Its emphasis on discipline, quality, and strong employee commitment remains a significant asset; however, deeply embedded traditions and hierarchical tendencies may limit rapid adaptation and discourage risk-taking.

The central **challenge is achieving a balance between cultural continuity and strategic flexibility**.

As Schein (2010) argues, effective organisations cultivate learning cultures, that question established assumptions without abandoning their core identity. Toyota's recent focus on digital innovation and cross-cultural leadership development suggests promising movement toward this equilibrium.

The Impact of Organisational Culture on Toyota's Performance and Recommendations for Improvement

Positive Impacts of Organisational Culture on Performance Toyota's commitment to *Kaizen* and *Respect for People* provides the basis for its operational strength.

As Liker and Hoseus (2010) observe, these values encourage employees to identify inefficiencies and participate actively in problem-solving, sustaining high standards of quality and reliability.

The lean production system rooted in these cultural principles has become a global model for manufacturing excellence (Liker, 2004).

Such cultural alignment not only enhances productivity but also promotes employee motivation and collective ownership of organisational goals (Pixley, 2016). Furthermore, Toyota's learning-oriented culture supports long-term strategic adaptability.

Its sustained focus on innovation and environmental responsibility has facilitated the development of hybrid and hydrogen technologies, reinforcing its leadership in sustainable mobility (Toyota, 2023).

The organisation's deeply embedded norms of quality and trust also contribute to durable customer loyalty and consistent market performance.

Negative Impacts and Limitations however, elements of Toyota's culture can impede performance in fast-moving or uncertain environments.

Hierarchical communication structures and consensus-based decision-making reflective of broader Japanese collectivist norms (Hofstede, 2011) can slow organisational responsiveness (Journal of Organisation Design, 2016).

Evaluation of Cultural Performance Linkages Schein's (2010) framework highlights that organisational culture shapes performance by influencing shared assumptions and behavioural norms.

Toyota exemplifies this relationship: the close integration of cultural values with operational practices has generated a strong and enduring competitive advantage.

Yet, in an environment of accelerating technological and market change, excessive reliance on long-standing cultural norms may restrict strategic agility, (Cherian, Chawla and Lee, 2023).

Recommendations for Improvement to sustain the positive effects of culture while reducing its limiting aspects, the following evidence-based recommendations are proposed:

- **Enhance cultural flexibility through cross-cultural leadership training.**
Expanding leadership programmes that build cultural intelligence and adaptability especially within international subsidiaries will support quicker decision-making and greater innovation while upholding Toyota's foundational values (McMillan, 2018).
- **Encourage creative autonomy within the Kaizen framework.**
Broadening Kaizen to explicitly incorporate creative experimentation and calculated risk-taking can help balance continuous improvement with innovation agility. Dedicated innovation hubs or pilot teams could provide structured opportunities for testing unconventional ideas.
- **Accelerate digital transformation and data-driven decision-making.**
Deeper integration of digital technologies across production and management systems can improve communication flows, reduce decision cycle times, and increase transparency addressing limitations inherent in consensus-dependent processes.

- **Promote diversity and inclusion as a strategic asset.**
Strengthening diversity in leadership and across the workforce can introduce new perspectives, challenge ingrained assumptions, and enhance creative problem-solving, aligning with Schein's (2010) emphasis on continuous cultural learning.
- **Institutionalise organisational learning and feedback loops.**
Embedding systematic reflection and feedback mechanisms following major initiatives or crises will help ensure that lessons are internalised within organisational routines, bolstering Toyota's adaptability and long-term resilience.

Conclusion

Toyota Motor Corporation illustrates the profound influence of organisational culture on structure, decision-making, and overall performance.

Anchored in The Toyota Way, the principles of Kaizen and Respect for People have long underpinned the company's operational excellence, product quality, and strong employee commitment.

Analysed through the frameworks of Schein, Hofstede, and Handy, Toyota's culture emerges as a dual force: it is a strategic asset that promotes stability, collective learning, and continuous improvement, yet it can also act as a constraint in contexts demanding rapid innovation, agility, and creative autonomy.

Recognising these tensions, Toyota has increasingly invested in cross-cultural leadership development, digital transformation, and sustainability initiatives efforts that signal a deliberate move toward balancing deeply embedded traditions with the flexibility required in contemporary global markets.

These initiatives not only modernise organisational processes but also broaden the company's cognitive and cultural capacity, enabling more adaptive and responsive forms of decision-making.

Ultimately, Toyota's culture remains central to its enduring global success. However, its future competitiveness will depend on sustaining an effective balance between cultural preservation and cultural evolution.

By strengthening diversity, expanding digital capabilities, and fostering a more open and innovative organisational climate, Toyota is well positioned to maintain resilience and reinforce its leadership in an increasingly dynamic and complex business environment.

Reference

Cherian, HS, Chawla, TK & Lee, KK 2023, 'Employee engagement and culture', *Philosophy Study*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 442–454.

'Case Study of Toyota', *Strategic Management [Working Title]*, Intech Open, (Intech Open. Cherian, H.S., Chawla, T.K. and Lee, K.K. (2023) 'Employee Engagement and Culture', *Philosophy Study*, 6(7), pp.442–454

Handy, C 1993, *Understanding organisations*, 4th edn, Penguin, London.

Hofstede, G 2011, 'Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context', *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–26.

Journal of Organisation Design 2016, 'Complementarities and organisational (mis)fit: A retrospective analysis of the Toyota recall crisis', *Journal of Organisation Design*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1–10.

Liker, JK 2004, *The Toyota way: 14 management principles from the world's greatest manufacturer*, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Liker, JK & Hoseus, M 2010, 'Human resource development in Toyota culture', *International Journal of Human Resources Development and Management*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 34–50.

McMillan, C 2018, 'Organizational identity, corporate strategy, and habits of attention', publication details incomplete (journal/book title and page numbers unknown).

Mullins, LJ & Christy, G 2016, *Management and organisational behaviour*, 11th edn, Pearson Education, Harlow.

Pixley (Sosnovskikh), S 2016, 'Toyota Motor Corporation: organisational', publication details incomplete (journal/book title, volume, issue and pages unknown).

Schein, EH 2010, *Organizational culture and leadership*, 4th edn, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco.

Toyota Motor Corporation 2023, *Toyota Environmental Challenge 2050 – Progress Report*, Toyota Motor Corporation, viewed 3 November 2025, <https://global.toyota/en/sustainability/>.