



University of Wales
Trinity Saint David
LONDON

LCMB7012 Banking Financial Management and Financial Markets

NAME : RAVAL MINAKSHI MUKESHBHAI

- SUBJECT : BANKING FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT
AND FINANCIAL MARKET**
- LECTURER : DAVID COKER**
- STUDENT NUMBER : 2231384**
- ASSIGNMENT : LCMB7012**

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
Section 1 Background of financial crisis in 2007-08 with regulation	3
Section 2 Regulation reform afterwards	6
Section 3 Reform impact on J P Morgan pre and post global financial crises	9
Section 4 Effectiveness of mentioned regulations to manage financial crisis.....	12
Section 5: Recent development	14
Conclusion	18
References.....	19

Introduction

The report has highlights the regulations that have been adopted by the US financial institutions as well as banks within the UK in order to eliminate the deteriorating impact of the 2008 financial crises that destructed the comprehensive financial system of the developed conditions that initiate in 2007. Regulatory framework and policies that the UK government has adopted to overcome the adverse financial impact as well as avoid such recurrence of financial crisis in future, different regulations have been adopted such as Financial Services Act 2010 and The Banking Act 2009 as well as developed regulatory body, Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) in order to develop the functions of the banks and safeguard interests and assets of the consumers.

Section 1 Background of financial crisis in 2007-08 with regulation

The initial signs of financial downturn in the US in 2007 emerged when US real estate prices started to deteriorate and misconduct in the presently underwritten subprime mortgages started to surge. It caused a serious financial panic during October 2008. The US Government's Federal Reserve and other agencies responded by introducing the markets with money and other liquidity while diminishing rates of interest delivering exceptional support to main financial institutions, progressing Government investment and adopting other strategies to deliver financial help to the markets (Duca, 2017).

The **mortgage market** regulation is calculated at 12 trillion USD with about 6.41% of loans offences and 2.75% of loans in preventing as of 2008 August. The predicted value of subprime adjustable rate mortgages modifying at increased rates of interest in 400 billions USD for 2007 and 500 billion USD for 2008. The subprime meltdown was steep surge in high risk mortgages that started into backtrack beginning in 2007, including to the serious recession in decades. The construction surged of the mid 2000s added with minimum interest rates at the time advised several lenders to offer home loans to people with less credit. When the building and real estate boom first several borrowers were inefficient in making payments on their subprime mortgages (Gertler and Gilchrist, 2018). The subprime mortgage issue 2007-10 emerged from an earlier widening of mortgage credit, encompassing to borrowers who before have had problem

obtaining mortgages that both included to and was encouraged by fast increasing prices of houses.

Low-Interest Rates and Loose Monetary Policy An adverse impact of low rates on bank profitability can diminish the successfulness of monetary policy. It may develop loan supply that relies upon constructively on bank funding and thus on profits continued income being the major source of capital gathering. Monetary policy remained within several disguise. But nevertheless it may emerge it usually comes down to managing the supply of money in the economy to gain certain addition on inflation and output equalization. Loose monetary policy is one that looks for widening or progress an economy that is accomplished by minimising rates of interests, controlling the needs for banks and purchasing US Treasuries. ***Excessive Lending Practices*** is any lending activities and decisions that apply abusive and unethical loan policies on borrowers. Certain factors of excessive lending are comprised of high interest rates, high fees, and regulations that devastate the borrower of equity (Spano, 2021). While there are several lenders are on the high, certain excessive lending activities that are unfair or dishonest to convince vulnerable clients to get loans. These unethical strategies usually encompass high interest rates and fees and can attract and confine borrowers in process of debts as it can be immensely challenging to repay the loans.

Financial Derivatives and Securitization Securitisation is considered for funding functions while credit derivatives transactions have encircled and traditions inspirations. These tools and strategies allow the transfer of resource risk between banks, insurance businesses and non-financial companies to obtain increased transaction and diversification of risk. The term credit securitization is to the transformation of non-cash, non-marketable security into marketable sources, money that is securities. The progression of the credit securitization market initiated in the United States with the securitization of commit loans in the initial years of 1970s and an essential volume of credit securitization is presently in debt. Credit derivatives are economic tools that are considered to transfer credit hazard can be impacted for the comprehensive life of the essential sources or for a limited timeline and the shift can be overall or partial one (Arestis et al., 2017).

Globalization and Interconnectedness: Globalization's conceptual value and its meaning has long been argued within individual domain. As possibly the most attractive idea of the 1990s and

presently the new millennium the exaggeration around media and academic inclusions of the term globalization make it uncomplicated to lose perception of its limitless meanings. The financial deterioration reflected the interconnectedness of global financial markets. The global financial crisis shows how financial uncertainty. The interconnectedness of financial companies and the complicated financial goods they trade can increase the shift of shocks across borders. The everlasting difficulty that all nations experience irrespective of their level of economic progression is gaining financial steadiness, economic development and increased living standards. According to Kudlyak and Sanchez, (2017) there are several distinct paths that can be considered to meet these goals and every nation's way will be separate provided the separate nature of national economies and political systems.

Deregulation and Light-Touch Regulation: Over the last two years the US has passed through a regulatory cycle. The financial industry was deregulated while the boom sustaining the late 1990s to mid-2000s and then reregulated following the economic decline in 2008. Presently, it seems that the regulatory norms are progressing in opposite direction. The 2008 Global Financial Crisis and the serious impact was identified as the catastrophic influence of deregulations. It is an demonstration to what occurs when the rules and regulations developed to cater and safeguard society are removed, substituted by probably self-regulation market kindly directed by the profit optimization free hand. The Glass-Steagall Act in 1999 hindered commercial banks from risk taking to keep away from financial challenges faced while the Great Depression Banks were restricted to earn 10% of their income from expenses. The Commodity Futures Modernization Act of 2000 (CFMA) is US federal regulation that confirmed financial goods popular as over the counter derivatives that were unregulated and these aspects resulted to excessive risk taking by banks (Banks, et al., 2010).

Lack of Transparency and Disclosure: A lack of transparency can generate investor unpredictability regarding banks intrinsic value, make the market discipline weak over risk taking attitude and deliver scopes for banks to overpower adverse information that could develop future issues over capital sufficiency when finally exposed. To conclude the 2007-2008 financial issue was backed up by distinct aspects comprised of subprime mortgage market, higher lending activities, low interest rates and the board inclusion of complicated financial derivatives (Thakor, 2015). The challenge exposed weaknesses in the financial system, resulting to serious economic

decline and demanding regulatory and legislative reforms to avoid recurrence of such destructive incident.

Section 2 Regulation reform afterwards

The United Kingdom was one of the major countries that was impacted by the global recession in 2008 and the country incorporated innumerable major legislations and strategies to eliminate the impact and hinder future challenges. Certain essential initiatives adopted by the UK after 2008 recession are as below:

Basel III

The regulators in the UK especially the Prudential Regulation Authority are presently reassessing consultation responses gained on the suggested incorporation of Basel 3.1 in the UK. The Basel committee on Banking supervision fixes the major underlying framework that is popularly called Basel Framework that directs how regulators throughout the world identify and incorporate regulatory capital needs (Laas and Siegel, 2017). The committee originally met in Basel and the Basel 3 included additional regulatory standards with Basel 1 and 2 that comprised of Liquidity Coverage Ratio and developments to market risk standards after a Fundamental review of the trading book. Basel 3.1 is part of comprehensive of global reforms. In response to the global financial challenges of 2007-2008 the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision adhered to the number of alterations to its standards. These reforms broadly known as Basel iii were targeted to develop the adaptability of internationally active banks (Nguyen, 2019).

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in regards to bank capital needs are predicted under the framework widely the fundamental initiation point as it includes amount of ending exposure. In order to risk forecasting for loan exposure the minimum amount of capital needed to be assigned and kept aside by the financial institution in connection to that loan. The directive principle is minimum risk exposures usually have 0% or very minimum risk assessment, increased risk granting exposures possess higher risk weighting anything up to 1250 per cent (Ramlall, 2018).

In the UK a fresh legislative framework has been developed for banks. In 2013, April the Financial Services Authority was eliminated and accountability for confirmed individual banks

are flexible was passed to the freshly developed Prudential Regulation Authority a win of the Bank of England. In compliance EU rules the PRA also is responsible for confirming banks implement plans so that the regulators can be allowed to pack them fast and possibly in the occurrence of failure (Kombo and Njuguna, 2016). At the same time, the new Financial Policy Committee of the Bank possess accountability and positions for confirming system ranging financial steadiness it currently included its powers in response to frightens of the developing house cost increase in October 2014. Another new legislative body, The Financial Conduct Authority is responsible for overseeing the behaviour of banks and people within them (Howarth and Quaglia, 2016).

Ring-fencing:

The goal of Ring fencing is to safeguard the provision of retail banking activities by the UK clients by segregating to ring fencing them from other functions to be carried out apart the ring fence. Ring fencing is the widest regulation that needs the largest UK financial institutions to segregate their main retail banking from their investment banking and global financial activities. The new framework was launched 1st January 2019 and impacts any UK financial institute with higher than 25 billion pounds of retail investments that comprises all the key high street banks (Mbama and Ezepue, 2018).

Ring-fencing has had several impacts on the UK banking sector:

The target of regulators is to safeguard UK retail banking from disturbance emerging from other domains of the banking areas. During 2007-2008 global financial crisis it was in investment banking where these challenges emerge but being section of global banking framework the retail banking divisions of different bans also became affected. A core goal of Ring fencing is hence to safeguard retail banking activities that the UK clients uses by segregating them from other functions carried outside ring fencing (Hardie and Macartney, 2019). Post financial decline government conducted bail out, Ring fencing targets to make the banks safer and minimise the influence on the tax payer and the economy of a huge capital bank were to decline in future. The goals of this measure as to obtain higher adaptability an financial steadiness for the banking system is highly required, the program is accelerating serious redeveloping at all the large UK

banks, resulting billion of pounds and developing a short term progress in functional risks and possibility for fraud.

Financial Services Act 2010

The act to offer and allocate different roles and responsibilities to change other functions and to amend the legislation associating with the National Debt and the Public Revenue and take further provision in relation with Finance. The Financial service Act was initiated into Parliament in November 2009 and had its second reading on 30th November in the same year. The Bills makes certain important transitions to the present legislative process. Media attention has concentrated especially on the delivery that would restrict bonus processes that do not adhere with the FSA's remuneration code and need authorised companies to develop recovery and plans for resolutions (D'Hulster and Ötoker-Robe, 2015). The bill further provided new goals roles and a number of new powers in regards to law making, overviewing an implementation. In certain situations the influence of these will reach surpassing the regulated community (Gordon, and Ringe, 2015).

Banking Act 2009

The Banking Act 2009 including England, Scotland, Northern Ireland and Wales heightens the UK's legislative framework for the financial predictability and safety of the depositors. Special resolution regime is offered by the Act delivering the Bank of England, the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and Her Majesty's Treasury with techniques to safeguard financial firmness by successfully handling banks, development sectors, investment companies, financial investment groups and central counterparties that are not working while caring the client sources, depositors, taxpayers and the broader economy (Lentner et al., 2015).

Financial Conduct Authority (FCA):

Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) holds very essential role in the financial offerings sector in the UK the Financial Conduct Authority is accountable for the operation of the financial markets in the UK. The objective of the company is to confirm unprejudiced and fair markets for people, companies and the economy comprehensively (Gordon, and Ringe, 2015).

Underlying reason of selecting the UK

The 2008 global economic decline impacted economies across the world. It resulted the deepest UK recession since the World War ii with increase in debt, unemployment and home recapture. Young individuals faced especially high levels of unemployment and loss of jobs. The financial regulations that have been applied by the UK's government not only was vital for its own financial development but also is considered as successful example in progressing regulatory framework to eliminate systematic hazards (Gordon, and Ringe, 2015). To sum up the global efforts and regulations that have been adopted and selected by the UK demonstrate a dedication to financial resilience, customers safeguarding and efficient risk handling, contributing to the wider international efforts so that such repetition of financial downfall avoided.

Section 3 Reform impact on J P Morgan pre and post global financial crises

JPMorgan Chase & Co. (JPM) is an American global financial services company headquartered in New York City and integrated in Delaware. Since it is the largest financial institution in the United States and the world's largest bank as recorded in 2023 by market capitalization, the particular financial institutions has been selected for the study (Duffie, 2019). The bank has been offering services globally with a wide number of financial offerings, comprised of investment banking, asset handling, commercial banking and retail banking.

Pre-Global Financial Crisis (Pre-GFC):

The global financial crisis refers to the time of extreme tension in global financial markets and financial institution between mid-2007 and initial 2009. JP Morgan like several other banks functioning in an environment where risk facing was highly aggressive and there was a augmentation of complicated financial services and products. In the prior years leading up to the GFC, economic situations in the United States and other nations were supportive. Economic progression was stable and rates of inflation, interest and unemployment were comparatively low. In this years and environment price of houses developed strongly (Lean, et al., 2015).

Business Model Pre-GFC:

Within the leadership of Jamie Dimon, JP Morgan gained popularity and trustworthiness for better risk handling and a diversified business approach. Nonetheless, like several other banks JP

Morgan is involved in complicated financial tools and techniques encompassing derivatives and mortgage dependent securities. Ineffectiveness in the risk handling initiatives is resulting to essential losses and the requirement for government intervention to strengthen the financial system.

Post-Global Financial Crisis (Post-GFC):

Influence of Regulatory Reforms:

Efforts to develop weak financial institutions were affected adversely by the complications and interconnectedness of the banks, both domestically and beyond borders. During the previous two decades preceding the financial crisis, financial institution in developed nations essentially widened in size and heightened their reach internationally (Wang, et al., 2016). In several situations, they stayed away from the conventional financial institution model to become internationally or active big in specific regions and complicated banks. The wide percentage of beyond border finance was intermediated by a number of financial institutions with progressing interconnectedness within the across borders.

Higher regulatory investigation: Post-GFC has increased regulatory investigation, especially on accurately systematically financial institutions such as JP Morgan. Stress testing needs, strong monitoring and heightened reporting restrictions to regulatory agencies were experienced by the financial institute.

Capital Adequacy and Stress Testing:

Stability within the financial sector is significant to retain the reliability that support a well operating economy. Basel Framework has been integrated by the Government legislators and regulators that are inclusive of composite recommendations that were designed post 2007-09 financial crisis into regulations for banks. It further explains the impact that credit, operational hazards and market risks leave on capital planning procedure (Chiaramonte and Casu, 2016). Those regulations are required to be adopted by JP Morgan that would provide details perception at the stress testing procedure and reflect major components of that procedure so that it can overcome economic inconsistencies.

Volcker Rule:

Volcker rule targeted to remain free from higher risks that financial institutes undergo. It limited proprietary trading and some investments in private equity and hedge funds. These legislations have been interpreted by JP Morgan in order to perform its trading functions.

Consumer Financial Protection:

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau that is a US government body committed to ensure that the customers are treated fairly by financial institutions, lenders and banks. With essential retail banking operation, JP Morgan had to comply with the consumer protection legislations to perform its activities.

Adaptations by JPMorgan:

- **Implementing a system wide perception:** Consistent with enormous acknowledgement and admiration of externalizes and market failures a new trend of macro prudential policy development has developed (McIver and Kang, 2020).
- **Risk handling:** There are several dimensions to possess a macro prudential method, differing from improved determining risks to establishing highly huge institutional infrastructure to implementing fresh system based initiatives targeted at diminishing increased risks and creating the institutional framework for functioning them.
- **Diversification:** JP Morgan consistently diversified its business model that puts higher importance on fee based company as sources handling and investment banking to counterbalance the influence of regulatory limitations on conventional lending and proprietary tradition (Chiaramonte and Casu, 2016).
- **Technology and Innovation:** Heightened technological and innovation integration have been experience within the post GFC era. JP Morgan in combination with other financial businesses, spent in technological integration so that better competence, higher customer services and compliance with developing regulations can be confirmed (Wang and Sun, 2021).

Post 2008 the regulatory reforms left strong impact on the business model of JP Morgan. Since the bank is the major financial institution, JP Morgan had to adjust with highly regulated and systematic environment. Strong risk management, enhanced diversification and investments in adherence with the technology where major methods to reach the regulatory domain.

Section 4 *Effectiveness of mentioned regulations to manage financial crisis*

The post occurrences of the 2008 financial crisis induces an international reassessment of administrative framework that are monitoring the banks. This investigation supported by explanation describes the competitiveness of administrative reforms in eliminating the underlying cause of financial issues their influence on the consistency of the financial system and the adequacy of initiatives that different financial institutions adopt.

Regulatory reforms and financial stability: Different regulatory reforms that emerged through the Financial Services Act 2020 in the UK play very significant role in improving stability of the financial situation. The development of regulatory agencies such as the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) and the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) prioritise the dedication of overseeing both cost effective and carry out functions of the banks (Arestis, et al., 2017).

Influence on comprehensive risk: One of the fundamental objectives of reformation of regulations is to eliminate the structural risks that can result to intensify financial crisis. Macro prudential regulation such has been determined in Basel III and the Financial Services Act 2010, demonstrate the transfer towards comprehensive perspective. Although the reforms have assisted in advancement in the development, the difficulty exists in the dynamic nature of financial markets where the risks consistently emerge.

Infrastructure transition and responsibility: Transition in the infrastructure such as the differentiation of retail and investment banking through initiatives such as ring fencing targets to safeguard consumers and control the chances of bailouts funded by the taxpayers. The successfulness of these initiatives rely upon the competitiveness to obstacle corruption while encourage a highly systemic resolution of the banks that are failing. Furthermore, heightened responsibility and initiatives that are clear facilitate accountable attitude within financial institutions. Nevertheless, these financial institutions confirm the environment of accountability has been witnessing consistently difficult (Chiaramonte and Casu, 2016).

Customer safeguarding and market reliability: The role of FCA within the UK has prioritised the safeguarding of the consumers that again is vital in gaining market trustworthiness. Highly reliable financial approach is promoted by eliminating aspects such as mis selling and

prioritizing transparent market initiative. Nevertheless, observations and examination of the regulators, responsiveness of banks and the successfulness of transaction with clients are the aspects that control the influence.

Basel iii and international coordination: International coordination is essential within the financial market. Basel III is the global regulatory framework that aims at standardizing the regulations beyond any national borders (Banks et al ., 2020). The effectiveness of banks rests upon the dedication of engaging countries and their competence to adopt and incorporate the acknowledged standards. Regulatory gap can be eliminated through global coordination and confirm a standard for the banks.

Adequacy of initiatives by banks:

A framework is being offered by the regulatory reforms the financial institution needs to incorporate strong risk management initiatives. The adequacy of initiatives within these banks engages evaluation of the effectiveness of risk evaluation, stress examining competitiveness and ensures to possess the environment of adherence. In certain occurrences banks may implement checkbox initiative so that it can comply with the regulatory practices without actually integrating the principles that support the regulations (Arestis, et al., 2017).

Resilience and undesired outcomes: Regulatory reforms those are aimed can leave unexpected outcomes. Rigid capital needs may result in diminishing lending thus would affect economic advancement. The resilience of the regulatory initiatives play critical role in eliminating such undesired results. Consistent connection between banks, regulators and other stakeholders hold very significant role in improving regulations.

To conclude regulatory reforms are basic aspect with the goal to hinder and eliminate financial crises. The influence of such reforms on the financial system's uniformity relies upon banks' integration, flexibility and dedication. Coordinating and dynamic perspective need to be implemented in combination with financial institutions, regulators and international bodies functioning in collaboration that ensure that a flexible and steady financial environment is maintained.

Section 5: Recent development

In recent times, the global economic realm has grappled with challenges such as soaring living expenses, heightened interest rates, and substantial monetary expansion during the pandemic. JP Morgan, as a prominent financial institution, has undertaken various regulatory measures to alleviate the impacts of these economic developments. This report seeks to evaluate and reflect on the effectiveness of JP Morgan's strategies in dealing with the aforementioned economic challenges.

Rising Cost of Living:

The persistent surge in living expenses has posed significant challenges to individuals and households worldwide (Blank, Hanson, Stein & Sunderam, 2020). Factors such as inflation, disrupted supply chains, fluctuating commodity prices, and increased demand for specific goods and services have contributed to this rise.

Regulatory Response:

- **Inflation Mitigation:** The institution and regulatory bodies has employed strategies to manage inflation by adjusting investment portfolios, diversifying assets, and offering advice to clients on mitigating the effects of rising prices.
- **Consumer Protection Measures:** Financial institutions has expanded its support through tailored financial assistance programs to aid individuals facing financial strain due to increased living costs (Blank, Hanson, Stein & Sunderam, 2020). These initiatives focus on providing support in various forms, including credit facilities and financial counseling.

Higher Interest Rates:

The escalation of interest rates aimed at curbing inflationary pressures has influenced borrowing costs and spending behaviors. JP Morgan's responses to manage higher interest rates encompass:

- **Testing Bank Resilience:** Reforms in regulation, like the stress testing frameworks introduced after 2008, guarantee financial institutions' ability to endure economic jolts, including fluctuations in interest rates (Elnahass, Trinh & Li, 2021). This measure aims to avert widespread risks and fortify banks to withstand shifts in the economic landscape

- **Ensuring Capital Sufficiency:** Basel III's emphasis on bolstering capital requisites serves as a protective measure for financial institutions like JP Morgan against elevated interest rates. Sufficient capitalization enables the bank to absorb potential losses, thereby upholding stability amid economic fluctuations (Ozili, 2022).
- **Pandemic-Era Monetary Expansion:** The significant monetary expansion during the pandemic to stimulate economic recovery presented challenges of its own. JP Morgan's regulatory measures to manage this situation include:
 - **Liquidity Management:** The institution has focused on managing liquidity effectively, adjusting investment strategies, and diversifying portfolios to mitigate risks associated with excess money supply.
 - **Prudent Financial Practices:** JP Morgan has emphasized the importance of prudent financial practices, encouraging responsible borrowing and investment decisions among clients to navigate the aftermath of increased monetary expansion.

Money Printing During the Pandemic:

During the COVID-19 crisis, governments and central banks worldwide responded to the economic turmoil by implementing unprecedented levels of monetary stimulus, known as quantitative easing (QE). This strategy aimed to alleviate the severe economic repercussions caused by widespread lockdowns, diminished economic activity, and extensive job losses (Elnahass, Trinh & Li, 2021). The main drivers behind this extensive money printing encompassed various objectives: Firstly, governments sought to jumpstart economic activity by infusing liquidity into financial markets, intending to restore confidence, stimulate expenditure, and prevent a complete collapse of the economy amidst significant contractions and disruptions (Ozili, 2022). Secondly, central banks initiated asset purchase programs to stabilize financial markets. By acquiring government bonds and other securities, their objective was to reduce long-term interest rates, facilitate credit access, and ensure the uninterrupted operation of financial systems. Additionally, the pandemic induced cash flow challenges for businesses and individuals, especially in severely affected sectors (Wu & Olson, 2020). Money printing was utilized to address these cash shortages, ensuring sufficient liquidity in the financial system to avert a credit crunch and sustain essential businesses.

Regulatory Response:

- **Quantitative Tightening (QT):** Certain regulatory measures centered on eventual quantitative tightening, with central banks initiating discussions about gradually reversing the quantitative easing measures adopted during the pandemic (Bresser-Pereira, 2020). QT entails reducing the money supply by selling assets obtained during QE or raising interest rates to prevent excessive inflation stemming from the surplus money in circulation.
- **Fiscal Responsibility Measures:** Governments and financial regulators stressed the significance of fiscal responsibility and prudent financial management (Shkodina, Melnychenko & Babenko, 202). Discussions and endeavors were directed towards formulating strategies to responsibly manage the increased money supply, curtail deficits, and prioritize sustainable economic growth.
- **Enhanced Oversight and Transparency:** Regulatory bodies heightened their supervision of financial institutions to ensure that the injected liquidity was utilized prudently and did not lead to risky behaviors or speculative bubbles (Bresser-Pereira, 2020). This involved intensified monitoring and the implementation of stricter regulations to uphold stability in financial markets.
- **Policy Communication:** Central banks and regulatory authorities prioritized transparent communication concerning their monetary policies and future plans (Wu & Olson, 2020). Open and clear communication aimed to manage market expectations and diminish uncertainties regarding the timeline and methods for scaling back the expansive monetary measures.
- These regulatory responses aimed to manage the aftermath of increased money printing during the pandemic, ensuring that the injected liquidity served its intended purpose without causing prolonged economic imbalances or financial instability.

Reflection on Regulatory Measures:

The response to pandemic-induced money printing resulted in various outcomes:

- Initially, measures stabilized economies, preventing collapse and supporting financial markets.
- Concerns over potential long-term inflation persisted due to increased money supply (Li & Mutchler, 2021).
- Monetary stimulus caused disruptions, fostering risk-taking and market imbalances.
- Governments faced heightened debt levels, requiring sustainable fiscal policies.
- Recovery varied across sectors, impacting businesses differently.
- Uncertainty prevailed due to discussions on future policy changes (Li & Mutchler, 2021).
- Regulators intensified oversight to curb risks from increased liquidity.
- Global interconnectedness highlighted the need for coordinated policies.

Reflection on Regulatory Measures: The effectiveness of financial institutions like JP Morgan's regulatory measures in addressing escalating living costs, increased interest rates, and pandemic-induced monetary expansion reflects its commitment to adaptability and client-centric solutions (Shkodina, Melnychenko & Babenko, 2022). However, the long-term efficacy of these strategies remains subject to external factors and evolving economic conditions. Regulatory reforms and measures have aimed to provide stability and support in navigating the challenges posed by economic fluctuations (Li & Mutchler, 2021). Continued evaluation, adaptability in strategies, and a comprehensive approach will be pivotal to ensure sustainable economic growth and resilience in the face of uncertainties.

In JP Morgan's context, regulatory measures have evolved to tackle recent economic hurdles. Despite establishing a base, persistent challenges require thorough reflection.

- Adaptability: JP Morgan's regulatory approach must adapt to changing economic dynamics, maintaining stability while flexibly addressing unforeseen issues. This adaptability ensures the bank's resilience amid evolving economic landscapes.
- Global cooperation: Recognizing financial markets' interconnectedness, JP Morgan stresses international collaboration in regulatory standards. Collective efforts are vital to

prevent regulatory loopholes and maintain uniformity in ensuring financial stability, underscoring the bank's commitment to global compliance (Addison, Sen & Tarp, 2020).

- **Inclusivity:** Amid economic strains, JP Morgan values reforms catering to diverse populations. Policies safeguarding vulnerable groups and ensuring fair financial access are imperative. The bank aligns initiatives with regulatory changes, fostering inclusivity and responsible banking practices.
- **Technological adaptability:** Acknowledging technological advancements, JP Morgan highlights the necessity for regulations to keep pace. The bank prioritizes compliance with evolving standards, especially concerning fintech and digital currencies, safeguarding financial stability and consumer interests in the digital realm.

The regulatory changes formulated following the 2008 financial crisis have laid the groundwork for tackling recent economic obstacles (Addison, Sen & Tarp, 2020). The effectiveness of these measures in managing increased living expenses, elevated interest rates, and pandemic-driven monetary policies hinges on their adaptability, global collaboration, and attention to inclusiveness. Constant assessment and modifications to regulatory structures are crucial for effectively navigating the ever-changing economic environment.

Conclusion

In order to overcome and eliminate the ill effects of financial crisis, financial institutions should work in coordination with policy makers, global bodies that monitor functions, and regulators to that a well-constructed financial environment is designed. Consistent development and modification of the regulatory frameworks also is vital in eliminating the developing risks and long lasting effectiveness of the international financial institutions can be confirmed.

References

- Addison, T., Sen, K. and Tarp, F., 2020. *COVID-19: Macroeconomic dimensions in the developing world* (No. 2020/74). WIDER Working Paper.
- Arestis, P., Charles, A. and Fontana, G., 2017. Financialization, the great recession, and the stratification of the US labor market. In *Critical and Feminist Perspectives on Financial and Economic Crises* (pp. 172-199). Routledge.
- Banks, J., Karjalainen, H. and Propper, C., 2020. Recessions and health: The long-term health consequences of responses to the Coronavirus. *Fiscal Studies*, 41(2), pp.337-344.
- Blank, M., Hanson, S.G., Stein, J.C. and Sunderam, A., 2020. How should US bank regulators respond to the COVID-19 crisis?. *Hutchins Center on Fiscal and Monetary Policy at Brookings, Hutchins Center Working Paper*, 63.
- Bresser-Pereira, L.C., 2020, July. Financing COVID-19, Inflation and the fiscal constraint. In *Forum for Social Economics* (Vol. 49, No. 3, pp. 241-256). Routledge.
- Chiamonte, L. and Casu, B., 2016. The determinants of bank CDS spreads: evidence from the financial crisis. In *Contemporary Issues in Financial Institutions and Markets* (pp. 51-77). Routledge.
- D'Hulster, K. and Ötke-Robe, I., 2015. Ring-fencing cross-border banks: An effective supervisory response?. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 16, pp.169-187.
- Duca, J.V., 2017. The Great Depression versus the Great Recession in the US: How fiscal, monetary, and financial policies compare. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 81, pp.50-64.
- Duffie, D., 2019. Prone to fail: The pre-crisis financial system. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 33(1), pp.81-106.
- Elnahass, M., Trinh, V.Q. and Li, T., 2021. Global banking stability in the shadow of Covid-19 outbreak. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 72, p.101322.

- Gertler, M. and Gilchrist, S., 2018. What happened: Financial factors in the great recession. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 32(3), pp.3-30.
- Gordon, J.N. and Ringe, W.G., 2015. Bank resolution in the European Banking Union: a transatlantic perspective on what it would take. *Colum. L. Rev.*, 115, p.1297.
- Hardie, I. and Macartney, H., 2019. EU ring-fencing and the defence of too-big-to-fail banks. In *The Politics of Supranational Banking Supervision in Europe* (pp. 89-111). Routledge.
- Howarth, D. and Quaglia, L., 2016. The comparative political economy of Basel III in Europe. *Policy and Society*, 35(3), pp.205-214.
- Kombo, K. and Njuguna, A., 2016. Effects of Basel III framework on capital adequacy of commercial banks in Kenya. *International Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 1(1), pp.61-74.
- Kudlyak, M. and Sanchez, J.M., 2017. Revisiting the behavior of small and large firms during the 2008 financial crisis. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 77, pp.48-69.
- Laas, D. and Siegel, C.F., 2017. Basel III versus Solvency II: An analysis of regulatory consistency under the New Capital Standards. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 84(4), pp.1231-1267.
- Lean, H.H., McAleer, M. and Wong, W.K., 2015. Preferences of risk-averse and risk-seeking investors for oil spot and futures before, during and after the Global Financial Crisis. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 40, pp.204-216.
- Lentner, C., Szegedi, K. and Tatay, T., 2015. Corporate social responsibility in the banking sector. *Pénzügyi Szemle/Public Finance Quarterly*, 60(1), pp.95-103.
- Li, Y. and Mutchler, J.E., 2021. Older adults and the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. In *Older Adults and COVID-19* (pp. 201-211). Routledge.
- Mbama, C.I. and Ezepue, P.O., 2018. Digital banking, customer experience and bank financial performance: UK customers' perceptions. *International journal of bank marketing*, 36(2), pp.230-255.

McIver, R.P. and Kang, S.H., 2020. Financial crises and the dynamics of the spillovers between the US and BRICS stock markets. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 54, p.101276.

Nguyen, Q.T.T., 2019. Basel III: where should we go from here?. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, 11(4), pp.457-469.

Ozili, P.K., 2022. Financial regulation and bank supervision during a pandemic. In *Insurance and Risk Management for Disruptions in Social, Economic and Environmental Systems: Decision and Control Allocations within New Domains of Risk* (pp. 29-37). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Ramlall, I., 2018. Basel III. In *The Banking Sector Under Financial Stability* (Vol. 2, pp. 129-143). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Shkodina, I., Melnychenko, O. and Babenko, M., 2020. Quantitative easing policy and its impact on the global economy. *Financial And Credit Activity-problems of theory and practice*, 2, pp.513-521.

Spano, D., 2021. The 2008 financial recession and the Dodd-Frank act. *HOLISTICA–Journal of Business and Public Administration*, 12(1), pp.1-10.

Thakor, A.V., 2015. The financial crisis of 2007–2009: Why did it happen and what did we learn?. *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 4(2), pp.155-205.

Wang, G.J., Xie, C., Jiang, Z.Q. and Stanley, H.E., 2016. Extreme risk spillover effects in world gold markets and the global financial crisis. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 46, pp.55-77.

Wang, Z. and Sun, Z., 2021. From globalization to regionalization: The United States, China, and the post-Covid-19 world economic order. *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, 26, pp.69-87.

Wu, D.D., Olson, D.L., Wu, D.D. and Olson, D.L., 2020. The effect of COVID-19 on the banking sector. *Pandemic risk management in operations and finance: modeling the impact of COVID-19*, pp.89-99.