

Feedback	
Student's Name	SRAVANTHI
Student's SurName	SILVERU
Student's Email	2228080@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCA7033
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	15
Feedback	Marks
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible, relevant and diverse academic and industry sources for the 3 recent attacks discussing its key concepts. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise and summarise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. In-text citations done and academic credible literatures referred in the report. Lack of key concepts discussions. Very generic discussion. Critical appraisal and summaries of literatures required.	6.2

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	10
Feedback	Mark
To score high marks you need to demonstrate systematic understanding on how the attacks could have been prevented. The strength of statements need to reflect clearly the countermeasures and recommendations. The quality, structure of your report is also considered for marking here. The arguments were very generic and lacking the depth of research to reflect on systematic understanding on the attacks. The structure of the paper is good. Didn't follow the referencing format stated.	4

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	40
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the given scenario and proposing feasible configuration changes, adding/ removing softwares/ hardware, defense strategy, its associated implementation cost. There was also a need to address with adequate arguments and judgements, Critical reviews and analysis of your findings and your contingency plans (if any) The report addresses configuration changes, new software/ hardware, defense strategies. However, evidence of critically analysing and evaluating with strong arguments is missing. Needs improvement in analysing the outcomes. Cost of solutions, Planning, countermeasures addressed well.	25

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
In this section, you need to address the choice of pen testing, applications, protocols, tools used along with adequate evidences of demonstrating the attack. Restrictions placed in the network, access control systems are also considered. More clarity required. Starting from Topology - Is that your proposed topology? - Could have given more details about physical and logical addressing. What is the attackers perspective? - Inside the network or from outside?. What recon/ footprinting steps done to identify the database server? Most of the screenshots are not timestamped. Addressing 192.168.1.0 network and 192.168.0.0 network is found in your implementation?	11.2

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	Mark
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow	

of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. The flow of the report is ok. However, the report needs to be addressed in a more professional way. Statemetns like "put your money", "bad guys" etc doesn't add value for a Master's level of report	3.2
Overall Mark	50