

Feedback	
Student's Name	SOPHIE MICHELLE
Student's SurName	SLAUGHTER
Student's Email	1909705@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	Advanced Cybersecurity
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills		30
Feedback	Marks	
In this section you need to do in-text referencing from academic credible and relevant diversity of sources. Where possible you are expected to critically appraise the literature engaged. You are expected to apply appropriate referencing conventions. NB Academic Credible literatures score high. You did well on this section. Very recent attacks were discussed. Your references are good. However, could have referred to more academic credible literatures. Could have discussed more in detail on Cyber Risks. Introduction to Threats, Vuln., Attacks need more description. The comparison of similar attacks task is to compare your described attack with other similar attacks in the past and not with both the attacks described.	20.1	

Knowledge and Understanding Skills		30
Feedback	Mark	
Based on Part 1 activity, the scores are reflected. To score high marks strong knowledge and clear understanding of various terminologies and technologies that were used, referred to, installed during lectures/practical tasks etc. Note that the MCQ test you took was out of 35 marks. That is calculated for 30%. Just for you understanding and to avoid confusion, the MCQ marks are displayed in Knowledge and Understanding Section for 30 Marks. However, Originally, they are considered 20 Marks in Knowledge and Understanding section and 10 Marks in Cognitive and Intellectual Skills.	18.86	

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		5
Feedback	Mark	
To do well in this section you must demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the security measures/mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole work. You did good in this section. However, there are a lot of space to improve. Provide evidence on the mitigation steps with appropriate citation.	3	

Practical Application Skills		30
Feedback	Mark	
To excel you need to provide evidence of 2 tools used in their lab activities with adequate screenshots. A discussion on similar kind of tools with implemented outcomes with adequate screenshots is required. Strong reasons on the similarity, pros and cons of both the tools need to be narrated along with the screenshots. Tools were discussed and classified. Tools used in the Lab and similar tools part needs more clarification. The flow is confusing. Nessus is one tool used in Windows and Linux for Network Scanning. More clarity required on a similar tool you used for Nessus. Your documentation for Task 3 starts with "the comand that was used..." Could have started with description about the tool	16	

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		5
Feedback	Mark	
To score high you must ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. The flow of the report needs more clarity. ToC leads to confusion.	2.4	
Overall Mark		60