

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Considering the depth of this assessment, there is a distinct lack of code. However, for what has been provided, it is indented to a good standard, but is lacking relevant commenting - which is important for the next developer	15	5
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
You have some discussion on how you developed the API - credit is given for being a self-generated API, but you are lacking a discussion on the approach made. Discussion that relates to the mobile apps development (from a presentation point of view) is limited	15	4
4. Practical Application Skills		
Considering you have an self-developed API working for the purpose of this app - the information presented is limited (but you have some good knowledge) - so why not use the skill for "other" music related data and possible links to concerts that the artists have. However, the lack of depth in what is presented here does hint of starting this assessment late and you have run out of time	70	13
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		22
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	