

TASK 2

Student name : Laurentiu Vaduva

Student number : 2229646

Lecturer name : Sujeewa Herath Mudiyanse

Module : Developing Emotional Intelligence Skills, LCLM5006

Introduction

Contemporary organisations face growing complexity, rapid technological change, and diverse workforces, which places new demands on leadership capability and employee development. Emotional intelligence (EI) and mindfulness have emerged as key constructs that support performance, collaboration, and psychological safety by enabling employees and managers to understand and regulate emotions more effectively. This report critically analyses the role of EI and mindfulness in modern organisations, focusing first on how employees can apply EI to improve workplace outcomes, and second on managing a multigenerational workforce through emotionally intelligent and mindful practices.

Task 1: Emotional Intelligence in the Workplace

1.1 Defining Emotional Intelligence and Core Models

Mayer and Salovey first defined emotional intelligence as the ability to monitor one's own and others' feelings and emotions, to discriminate among them, and to use this information to guide thinking and actions. Their ability model conceptualises EI as a mental capacity comprising four branches: accurately perceiving and expressing emotion, using emotion to facilitate thought, understanding emotions, and managing emotions for personal growth. In contrast, Goleman's framework presents EI as a set of learned competencies organised into self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management, integrating personality traits and behavioural skills]

Bar-On's Emotional-Social Intelligence Model further broadens EI into a multifactor construct encompassing intrapersonal skills (such as self-regard and emotional self-awareness), interpersonal skills (such as empathy and social responsibility),

adaptability, stress management, and general mood. Whereas the ability model treats EI as a cognitive capacity similar to other intelligences, Goleman and Bar-On's "mixed" models incorporate both abilities and personality-related dispositions, which has raised debates about conceptual clarity and measurement validity. Nevertheless, these three frameworks offer complementary lenses for understanding how emotional processes influence workplace behaviour and outcomes.

1.2 Applying EI to Employee Performance

From the perspective of Mayer and Salovey's ability model, perceiving and understanding emotions supports more accurate appraisal of workplace situations and colleagues' reactions, which improves judgement and task performance. For example, an employee who recognises early signs of frustration in themselves can use this information to pause, reframe a problem, and seek support, thereby preventing errors and maintaining productivity. Managing emotions effectively also allows individuals to sustain motivation and resilience under pressure, which is critical in environments characterised by tight deadlines and organisational change.

Goleman emphasises that self-awareness and self-management underpin "star performance," particularly in roles requiring complex decision-making and interpersonal influence. Employees high in self-management are better able to control disruptive impulses, remain calm under stress, and adapt to change, all of which contribute to reliable performance and goal attainment. Bar-On's focus on stress tolerance and adaptability reinforces the argument that emotionally and socially intelligent employees are less likely to experience performance-impairing burnout, more capable of creative problem-solving, and better equipped to function effectively in dynamic organisational contexts.

1.3 Emotional Intelligence, Collaboration, and Communication

EI directly supports collaboration by enhancing empathy, perspective-taking, and social awareness. Within Goleman's framework, social awareness and relationship management enable employees to accurately read social cues, understand team norms, and respond in ways that build trust and rapport. For instance, an emotionally intelligent team member might notice that a colleague is disengaged in a meeting and invite their input in a non-threatening way, thereby fostering inclusion and knowledge sharing.

Mayer and Salovey's branch of using emotion to facilitate thought suggests that emotions can be harnessed to improve communication and collaboration rather than merely being controlled or suppressed. Positive emotions, such as enthusiasm, can increase openness to new ideas and cooperation, while accurately recognising negative emotions can prompt constructive dialogue about obstacles. Bar-On's interpersonal

dimension, particularly empathy and social responsibility, reinforces the importance of considering others' needs and organisational values when communicating, which strengthens shared commitment and ethical collaboration.

1.4 EI in Conflict Management and Psychological Safety

Conflict is an inevitable feature of organisational life, but EI can transform how conflicts are managed and experienced. According to Goleman, relationship management includes the ability to handle disagreements, negotiate, and build strong bonds, which requires awareness of one's own emotions and the emotions of others during conflict episodes. Emotionally intelligent employees are more likely to approach conflict as a problem to be solved collaboratively, using assertive yet respectful communication rather than avoidance or aggression.

Mayer and Salovey's emotion management branch highlights the importance of regulating both immediate emotional reactions and longer-term emotional patterns in conflict situations. For example, recognising that anger is escalating allows individuals to deploy self-calming strategies, delay responses, or seek mediation, reducing the risk of psychological harm and relationship breakdown. When applied consistently across teams, such regulation supports a psychologically safe climate where individuals feel able to voice concerns, admit mistakes, and challenge ideas without fear of humiliation or retribution.

1.5 Emotional Intelligence and Leadership

Leadership effectiveness in contemporary organisations increasingly depends on emotional intelligence. Goleman argues that EI can matter more than IQ for leadership success because leaders must inspire, influence, and manage complex relational dynamics. Self-aware leaders understand their emotional triggers and values, which helps them act authentically and make ethically grounded decisions. Leaders who excel in social awareness and relationship management can sense team morale, recognise quiet dissatisfaction, and take proactive steps to address issues, thereby sustaining engagement and trust.

From an ability-model perspective, emotionally intelligent leaders use emotions to facilitate strategic thinking, creativity, and problem-solving. They can generate emotional states that are conducive to innovation—such as optimism and curiosity—while accurately perceiving when anxiety or fear are limiting risk-taking. Bar-On's inclusion of adaptability and stress management also underlines that leaders with high emotional-social intelligence maintain composure under pressure, communicate stability during crises, and model healthy coping strategies, which positively shapes organisational culture.

1.6 EI in Decision-Making and Ethical Behaviour

Emotions are integral to decision-making, and EI determines whether they function as useful information or unexamined bias. Mayer and Salovey's model suggests that understanding emotions allows employees to interpret what they are feeling and why, which can reveal values conflicts or unspoken concerns relevant to decisions. For instance, persistent discomfort about a proposed change may indicate ethical issues or misalignment with stakeholder needs, prompting further investigation rather than immediate approval.

Goleman's competencies of self-awareness and social awareness support ethical decision-making by highlighting the impact of decisions on oneself and others, including vulnerable groups. Leaders and employees who are attuned to others' emotions can better foresee how decisions will affect morale, motivation, and inclusion, helping them avoid unintended harm. Bar-On's emphasis on social responsibility and empathy reinforces that emotionally and socially intelligent individuals consider broader societal and organisational consequences, thereby supporting corporate ethics and sustainable performance.

1.7 Critical Reflections and Limitations

Despite its practical appeal, EI faces conceptual and methodological criticisms. The coexistence of ability-based and mixed models has led to inconsistent definitions and measurement approaches, making it difficult to compare research findings and determine the unique contribution of EI relative to personality traits and cognitive intelligence. Some scholars argue that mixed models overlap heavily with established constructs such as extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness, raising concerns about construct redundancy.

Furthermore, popular accounts of EI sometimes make exaggerated claims about its impact, suggesting that EI is a universal solution to organisational problems. Empirical evidence indicates that EI predicts performance and leadership outcomes, but typically alongside other factors such as technical expertise, organisational support, and structural design. A critical, evidence-based application of EI therefore requires integrating it with broader human resource strategies, including training, job design, and well-being initiatives, rather than treating it as a standalone intervention.

Task 2: Managing a Multigenerational Workforce

2.1 Generational Groups and Workplace Characteristics

Modern organisations commonly employ multiple generational cohorts, including Generation X, Generation Y (Millennials), and Generation Z, each shaped by distinct social and technological contexts. Generation X, typically born between the mid-1960s

and early 1980s, is often characterised as independent, pragmatic, and valuing work–life balance, having entered the workforce during periods of economic uncertainty and organisational restructuring. Millennials, born roughly between the early 1980s and mid-1990s, are frequently described as collaborative, purpose-driven, and comfortable with technology, influenced by digital expansion and globalisation.

Generation Z, born from the mid-1990s onwards, is the first fully “digital native” cohort, having grown up with ubiquitous social media, smartphones, and online communication. Research suggests that Gen Z employees value diversity, flexibility, and opportunities for rapid learning, while expecting high levels of technological integration and instant access to information. Although these generational profiles provide useful generalisations, it is important to recognise considerable individual variation within cohorts and avoid stereotyping based solely on age.

2.2 Values, Communication Styles, Motivation, and Technology

Generational differences manifest in values and expectations regarding work, careers, and organisational relationships. Generation X employees often place strong emphasis on autonomy, competence, and merit-based advancement, and may prefer clear goals with minimal micromanagement. Millennials tend to seek meaningful work, continuous feedback, and collaborative cultures, and they may prioritise organisational purpose and social impact over purely financial rewards.

Gen Z employees frequently expect transparency, inclusion, and rapid progression, with a preference for flexible work arrangements and mental health support.

Communication styles also diverge: Generation X may be comfortable with email and face-to-face discussions, Millennials often favour a mix of digital and in-person channels with frequent informal feedback, and Gen Z is highly accustomed to instant messaging, video calls, and social platforms. In terms of technological adaptability, Millennials and Gen Z generally adopt new tools quickly, whereas some Generation X employees may require more structured support for complex digital transformations, although many have developed strong tech skills over time.

2.3 Impact on Organisational Effectiveness

These differences can both enhance and challenge organisational effectiveness. A multigenerational workforce offers diverse perspectives, problem-solving approaches, and skills, which can fuel innovation and better decision-making when managed inclusively. For example, Generation X may bring deep institutional knowledge and strategic thinking, Millennials may contribute collaborative project management and networked working styles, and Gen Z may introduce cutting-edge digital tools and awareness of emerging social trends.

However, misaligned expectations and communication styles can generate misunderstandings, perceptions of unfairness, and intergenerational tension. For instance, Millennials' desire for continuous feedback may be perceived by some Generation X managers as neediness, while Gen Z's preference for flexible hours and remote work may conflict with established norms. If these differences are not addressed through clear policies and emotionally intelligent leadership, they can undermine cohesion, reduce engagement, and hinder knowledge transfer across generations.

2.4 Using Emotional Intelligence to Lead Across Generations

Emotional intelligence provides managers with tools to navigate generational diversity constructively. Goleman's social awareness competency helps leaders recognise differing values, expectations, and emotional drivers within each cohort rather than assuming a single "one-size-fits-all" approach. For example, an emotionally intelligent manager will understand that Generation X employees may value autonomy and stability, Millennials may seek development and recognition, and Gen Z may prioritise inclusion and flexibility, and will tailor communication and rewards accordingly.

Relationship management skills enable leaders to mediate intergenerational conflicts by acknowledging the legitimacy of different viewpoints and facilitating dialogue. When tension arises between a Gen X manager and a Gen Z employee over remote working practices, an emotionally intelligent leader can listen empathetically to both sides, identify underlying concerns, and co-create solutions that balance organisational needs with individual preferences. Mayer and Salovey's emphasis on perceiving and understanding emotions supports this process by helping leaders detect subtle signs of disengagement or frustration within generational groups and respond proactively.

2.5 Mindfulness and Psychological Safety in Multigenerational Teams

Mindfulness—often defined as non-judgemental, present-moment awareness—complements EI by enhancing attention and emotional regulation in interactions across generations. Mindful leaders are more likely to notice their own biases and assumptions about age groups, such as stereotypes about Millennials' loyalty or Gen Z's commitment, and to refrain from immediate judgement. This awareness allows them to respond thoughtfully rather than reactively, creating space for open conversation about differing needs and preferences.

Mindfulness also supports psychological safety, which is critical for inclusive multigenerational collaboration. When leaders practice mindful listening—fully attending to what each person says without interruption or pre-formulated responses—employees from all generations are more likely to feel respected and heard. This safe environment encourages individuals to share ideas, raise concerns, and admit

mistakes, facilitating learning and innovation across age groups rather than reinforcing silos.

2.6 Mindful and Emotionally Intelligent Practices in Recruitment, Management, and Retention

In recruitment, emotionally intelligent and mindful managers consider both technical skills and candidates' emotional competencies, such as self-awareness, empathy, and adaptability, while avoiding age bias. They recognise that different generations may respond to varying recruitment messages: Generation X may appreciate stability and career progression, Millennials may be attracted to development opportunities and organisational purpose, and Gen Z may look for flexibility, diversity, and technological sophistication. Mindful interviewing—being fully present, asking open questions, and observing emotional cues—helps ensure fair evaluation and reduces reliance on stereotypes.

In day-to-day management, EI and mindfulness guide how feedback, recognition, and support are tailored. Managers with high EI understand that Generation X employees may prefer periodic, outcome-focused feedback, Millennials may value ongoing coaching and collaborative goal setting, and Gen Z may respond well to short, frequent check-ins and digital feedback tools. Mindfulness helps managers remain aware of their emotional reactions to generational behaviours—for instance, frustration at different communication preferences—and choose constructive responses.

Retention strategies also benefit from EI-informed design. Bar-On's model highlights the importance of stress management and social responsibility, suggesting that organisations should provide well-being resources, inclusive policies, and opportunities for meaningful contribution that appeal across generations. Emotionally intelligent leaders monitor engagement levels, recognise early signs of burnout or disengagement in different age groups, and adjust workloads, development opportunities, or recognition practices accordingly. Mindfulness-based interventions, such as short guided practices or reflective team sessions, can further strengthen resilience and well-being, supporting long-term retention and organisational sustainability.

2.7 Integrating EI and Mindfulness into Organisational Strategy

To realise the benefits of EI and mindfulness in managing a multigenerational workforce, organisations need deliberate, strategic integration rather than isolated initiatives. This may include incorporating EI competencies into leadership frameworks, recruitment criteria, and performance appraisals, ensuring that emotionally intelligent behaviours are recognised and rewarded alongside technical achievement. Training programmes can focus on developing EI skills such as self-awareness, empathy, and conflict

management, as well as mindfulness practices that enhance attention and emotional regulation.

At a cultural level, senior leadership can model emotionally intelligent and mindful behaviours, such as transparent communication, reflective decision-making, and openness to feedback from all generational groups. When such behaviours are consistent and visible, they contribute to a psychologically safe, inclusive environment where generational diversity becomes a source of strength rather than division. This alignment with organisational development, leadership capability, and recruitment strategies will be critical for meeting future workforce challenges and sustaining performance.

Conclusion

Emotional intelligence and mindfulness offer powerful, evidence-based approaches for enhancing performance, collaboration, and well-being in contemporary organisations. EI models by Mayer and Salovey, Goleman, and Bar-On provide complementary insights into how emotional abilities and competencies support communication, conflict management, leadership, and ethical decision-making. In the context of multigenerational workforces, EI and mindfulness help leaders understand and respond to differing values, communication styles, and motivational drivers, creating inclusive and psychologically safe environments that leverage generational diversity for organisational effectiveness. For senior management teams, embedding EI and mindfulness into employee development, leadership capability frameworks, and recruitment strategies represents a strategic investment in sustainable organisational performance and culture.

References

Bar-On, R. (2006) 'The Bar-On model of emotional-social intelligence (ESI)', in Parker, J.D.A., Saklofske, D.H. and Stough, C. (eds) *Assessing emotional intelligence: Theory, research, and applications*. New York: Springer, pp. 1–28.

Cherniss, C. and Goleman, D. (eds) (2001) *The emotionally intelligent workplace*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Costanza, D.P., Badger, J.M., Fraser, R.L., Severt, J.B. and Gade, P.A. (2012) 'Generational differences in work-related attitudes: A meta-analysis', *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 27(4), pp. 375–394.

El4Change (2026) 'The role of mindfulness in emotional intelligence training: Enhancing workplace effectiveness', El4Change Blog, 23 June.

Goleman, D. (1995) *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. New York: Bantam Books.

Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R. and McKee, A. (2002) *The emotionally intelligent workplace: How to select for, measure, and improve emotional intelligence in individuals, groups, and organizations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Jiménez-Picón, N. et al. (2021) 'The relationship between mindfulness and emotional intelligence', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(10), 5300.

Lomas, T., Medina, J.C. and Ivztan, I. (2023) 'Mindfulness and emotion: A five-level analysis', *Journal of Management and Organization*, 29(3), pp. 403–421.

Lyons, S.T. and Kuron, L.K.J. (2014) 'Generational differences in the workplace: A review of the evidence and directions for future research', *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 35(S1), pp. S139–S157.

Mayer, J.D. and Salovey, P. (1997) 'What is emotional intelligence?', in Salovey, P. and Sluyter, D. (eds) *Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications*. New York: Basic Books, pp. 3–34.

Mayer, J.D., Caruso, D.R. and Salovey, P. (2016) 'The ability model of emotional intelligence: Principles and updates', *Emotion Review*, 8(4), pp. 290–300.

Mckay, R. and Ng, E.S. (2020) 'Attraction and retention of Generations X, Y and Z in the workplace', *Journal of Management World*, 2, pp. 320–332.

Rupprecht, S., et al. (2021) 'Search inside yourself: Investigating the effects of a widely adopted mindfulness-at-work development program', *International Journal of Workplace Health Management*, 14(6), pp. 593–604.

Twenge, J.M. (2010) *Generation me: Why today's young Americans are more confident, assertive, entitled—and more miserable than ever before*. New York: Free Press.

Walter, F., Cole, M.S. and Humphrey, R.H. (2023) 'Emotional intelligence, leadership, and work teams: A systematic review', *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, Article 10543214.

Allen, T.D., et al. (2025) 'Mindfulness practice and work performance: The mediating role of emotional intelligence and psychological capital', *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, Article 1540820

Kapable (2026) 'Mindfulness and emotional intelligence', Kapable Blog, 9 February.