

LCBB4003

by Renaldo Juman

Submission date: 24-Jun-2023 03:01PM (UTC+0100)

Submission ID: 209409799

File name: 33290_Renaldo_Juman_LCBB4003_504142_777789541.docx (30.16K)

Word count: 2245

Character count: 14490

Writing Module: Introducing the Modern Workplace

5

Student Name: Renaldo Jose Juman

Student ID: 2114362

Module Code: LCBB4003

Tutor: Dr Essa Bah

Assignment Title: "Discuss the meaning of Leadership and Management and how they differ in scope and style".

Table of Contents

<i>Introduction</i>	3
<i>Leadership</i>	4
Transformational Leadership	5
Trait Theory	6
Behavioral Theory	7
Situational Leadership	8
<i>Management</i>	9
Classical Management Theory	10
Human Relations Theory	11
Contingency Theory	12
Scientific Management Theory	13
Systems Theory	14
<i>Differences in Scope</i>	15
<i>Differences in Style</i>	16
<i>Conclusion</i>	17
<i>References</i>	18

Introduction

The discrete constructs of leadership and management hold substantial importance in organizational environments. Although these terms are often used interchangeably, they carry distinct connotations and encompass different scopes and methodologies. Comprehending the distinctions between leadership and management is imperative for individuals aspiring to excel in their respective roles and make noteworthy contributions towards the triumph of an organization. The fundamental principle of leadership revolves around exerting influence and motivation toward a shared goal. Simultaneously, the management places significant emphasis on coordinating, structuring, and regulating resources to attain predetermined objectives.

Leadership

Leadership is a planned and organized effort to inspire and persuade people or groups to work toward a shared goal. Leadership is a process with many different parts. It involves creating a clear and convincing goal, inspiring and guiding people, and helping people make positive changes. People often say that leaders are forward-thinking, have a magnetic personality, and have an amazing ability to influence what other people do. There is the ability to help team members feel more confident in themselves, be more creative, and work together better. Northouse's view from 2021 is that leadership is the ability to motivate, persuade, and energize people to work toward common goals. The process involves coming up with a clear and convincing vision, giving people or teams jobs and giving them advice, and making a good environment that encourages creativity and growth. Leadership can be seen at different levels of a company, and it doesn't have to come from someone in a formal position of power. Distinguished leaders have many qualities, such as a clear vision, a strong sense of ethics, good communication skills, and the ability to inspire and drive others.

Transformational Leadership

Transformational leadership is a new and different way of thinking about how to lead. According to the relevant theory, leaders with transformational traits are known for their ability to inspire and motivate their followers by creating an appealing vision, setting high standards, and acting in a good way. The group pushes its members to go beyond their interests and work actively to help the group reach its goals. The theory being looked at stresses ⁶ how important it is for a leader to be able to motivate and assist their followers, create a shared vision, make people feel like they fit, and have a purpose as a group. The Transformational Theory has gotten much attention and used in various workplace settings. It is a useful way to understand and improve leadership effectiveness.

Trait Theory

The trait theory says that good leaders have certain abilities that set them apart from their peers. Some traits listed above are intelligence, self-confidence, persistence, honesty, and beauty. According to the theoretical framework, some people's natural traits might make learning how to be good leaders easier. The trait theory focuses on people's natural qualities or traits when in power situations (Nawaz & Khan, 2016). According to this theoretical framework, effective leadership is associated with particular attributes. Individuals who possess elevated levels of these traits are prone to demonstrating leadership attributes, including but not limited to charisma, self-assurance, and the capacity to motivate others.

Behavioral Theory

Behavioural theory is a theoretical framework focusing on observable behaviours and their relationship with environmental stimuli. It posits that behaviour is shaped by the consequences that follow it and that individuals can learn new behaviours through reinforcement and punishment. The behavioural theory emphasizes the conduct and demeanor of leaders instead of their innate characteristics. The concept of initiating structure pertains to arranging tasks, establishing objectives, and defining responsibilities. On the other hand, consideration involves fostering connections, aiding, and attending to the requirements of subordinates.

Situational Leadership

The theory of Situational Leadership, which Paul Hersey and Kenneth Blanchard formulated, emphasizes leadership's flexible and adaptable nature. The theory posits that influential leaders must possess the ability to adapt their leadership style following the level of readiness exhibited by their followers (Northouse, 2021). The leader who adopts a situational approach can effectively provide guidance and assistance to their followers based on their level of proficiency and dedication. This theoretical framework acknowledges the situational variability in leadership demands, enabling leaders to customize their leadership style to cater to their team members' unique requirements effectively.

Leaders who adhere to this theoretical framework acknowledge that varying situations necessitate distinct strategies. In certain circumstances, individuals may opt for an autocratic leadership style to expedite decision-making processes, whereas a participative strategy might be favoured to facilitate the empowerment of team members (Northouse, 2021). In contrast to employees, managers tend to maintain a uniform approach to management grounded in established protocols and standards. The situational leadership theory is a potent instrument for leaders to evaluate their subordinates' preparedness and developmental stage (Thompson & Glasø, 2015). The aforementioned approach fosters adaptability and the capacity to offer suitable direction and assistance following the unique requirements and exigencies of the given undertaking. Managers prioritize efficiency and attaining objectives, yet they exhibit limited adaptability in tailoring their management approach to particular circumstances.

Management

In academic terms, management refers to the systematic approach of strategizing, structuring, and synchronizing resources towards attaining predetermined goals within an organization. Managers prioritize operational efficiency, goal achievement, and organizational stability. The individuals in question are responsible for resource allocation, decision-making, and employee supervision to guarantee the efficient and effective completion of tasks (Daft, 2021). Managers' roles encompass establishing objectives, distributing resources, synchronizing operations, and ensuring proficient and successful task execution. Their primary focus is implementing strategies, tracking progress, and resolving issues. Individuals designated as managers are usually assigned to formal positions of power and possess direct control over teams or individuals.

Classical Management Theory

The Classical Management Theory is a management paradigm that originated in the early 1900s. The organizational structures are centred on efficiency, standardization, and hierarchy, as per Daft (2021). The theory is distinguished by the conviction that organizations can be administered rationally and scientifically, with a discernible demarcation between management and labour. The theoretical framework mentioned above posits that management is a scientific discipline which can be methodically scrutinized and implemented to enhance efficiency.

Human Relations Theory

The Human Relations Theory is a theoretical construct in management and organizational behaviour that significantly emphasizes the importance of psychological and social variables in the workplace. The proposition posits that financial incentives and non-monetary factors such as social interaction, recognition, and a sense of belonging influence employee motivation. The theory emerged in the 1930s as a response to the dominant mechanistic and depersonalized management methodology. According to Roe (2020), this practice has emerged as a crucial element of modern management methodologies, significantly impacting employee engagement, communication efficacy, and leadership strategies. The human relations theory acknowledges the significance of social interactions and relationships in the organizational setting. Elton Mayo and his colleagues carried out noteworthy research that emphasized the significance of employee satisfaction and motivation in attaining organizational objectives. The theoretical framework underscores the importance of effective communication, collaborative efforts, and active staff participation in attaining optimal management outcomes.

Contingency Theory

Theoretical framework of contingency theory posits that a universal leadership and management approach does not exist. A leader or manager's efficacy relies upon many situational variables, including but not limited to the attributes of the followers, the intricacies of the task at hand, and the prevailing organizational culture. The theory in question places significant emphasis on the need to tailor leadership styles and tactics to suit a given situation's specific requirements and exigencies (Ghofar & Islam, 2015). As per the contingency theory, implementing a universal management approach is unsuitable for all circumstances. The thesis posits that the most effective management method depends on various contextual elements, such as the organizational culture, scale, technology, and external environment. The theoretical framework highlights the significance of managerial adaptability in modifying their leadership style to align with the distinct demands and unforeseen circumstances of a given context.

2

Scientific Management Theory

The Scientific Management Theory, developed by Frederick Taylor, focuses on a systematic analysis of work processes to improve efficiency and productivity. The aforementioned theory places considerable emphasis on utilizing scientific methodologies to identify optimal task performance strategies and their following standardization (Braverman, 2017). Managers implement the theory by decomposing intricate tasks into smaller, more feasible components and introducing standardized procedures for each component.

Systems Theory

Ludwig von Bertalanffy's Systems Theory states that organizations are intricate systems with interconnected and interdependent components. As per this theoretical framework, managers must comprehend the various interactions and interdependencies among the organization's diverse components. Individuals must conduct a comprehensive analysis of the organization in its entirety and take into account the ramifications of their choices on different subsystems. The application of Systems Theory in management facilitates the identification and resolution of issues that emanate from intricate interrelationships among various components of an organization.

Differences in Scope

The scope of leadership and management exhibits notable differences. Leadership surpasses the confines of organizational limits and expands beyond official jurisdiction. Demonstrating this attribute is feasible at any hierarchical level of a corporation, irrespective of the job title held by an individual. Leadership pertains to motivating and influencing others to embrace a common vision, foster creativity, and enable progress. The approach centres on objectives that span an extended period and ensuring that individuals are in harmony with the organization's mission.

In contrast, management predominantly functions within the confines of formal authority and concentrates on attaining pre-established goals within established structures (Nawaz & Khan, 2016). The field of management pertains to the routine activities of an organization, the allocation of resources, and the resolution of issues. The approach prioritizes implementing strategic planning, efficient organization, and effective control mechanisms to facilitate seamless operations and attain immediate objectives. The concept of leadership transcends the confines of formal authority and encompasses a range of factors such as influence, vision, and inspiration. The approach centres on objectives that span a significant duration, steering the ethos of the organization and cultivating originality and transformation. Leadership pertains to the comprehensive perspective and the general trajectory of the entity. In contrast, management is focused on optimizing operational efficiency, achieving task completion, and upholding stability. The primary focus is attaining immediate goals, executing tactics, and enhancing operational procedures. The management field pertains to an organization's operational aspects, encompassing the meticulous handling of tasks and the efficient distribution of resources.

Differences in Style

Stylistic variances exist between leadership and management. The concept of leadership is frequently linked with a participatory and visionary approach. Transformational leadership involves delegating power to subordinates, promoting transparent communication, and stimulating cooperative efforts. They foster trust, help, and promote individual and vocational development. The leadership approach prioritizes the ability to adjust to changing circumstances, versatility, and tailoring management strategies to suit the needs of each individual.

Conversely, a more prescriptive and commanding style frequently distinguishes the management role. Managers prioritize the coordination of tasks, delegation of responsibilities, and adherence to organizational policies. Individuals in positions of authority often utilize rational analysis to inform their decision-making processes, adhere to established procedures, and uphold established standards (Nawaz & Khan, 2016). The management style prioritizes the optimization of resources, uniformity, and strict compliance with established guidelines and protocols.

A fundamental distinction between leadership and management pertains to their respective areas of emphasis. Leadership pertains to formulating and implementing an organization's long-term strategic vision, while management efficiently handles its daily operational activities (Algahtani, 2014). Leadership involves a focus on strategic planning, forecasting future trends, and establishing the overall ethos of the organization. They provide inspiration and motivation for others to accept and adjust to novel challenges and changes readily. In contrast, managers prioritize the execution of strategies, the coordination of resources, and the adherence to planned task completion. Their primary focus lies in upholding stability and optimizing efficiency within existing operations.

Conclusion

⁹ The concepts of leadership and management are separate yet interconnected ⁴ within the domain of organizational management. Leadership entails motivating and directing individuals towards a common goal, whereas management pertains to the arrangement and synchronization of resources to attain particular targets. Theoretical frameworks, namely transformational leadership and classical management, provide insight into these two concepts' contrasting scope and style. An efficient organization acknowledges the significance of leadership and management as they work in tandem to attain its objectives. By comprehending the distinctions between leadership and management, entities can foster an equitable strategy that integrates innovative motivation and effective organization.

3

References

Algahtani, A. (2014). Are leadership and management different? A review. *Journal of management policies and Practices*, 2(3), 71-82.

Braverman, H. (2017). Scientific management. *Class: The Anthology*, 437-448.

Daft, R. L. (2021). *Management*. Cengage Learning.

7

Ghofar, A., & Islam, S. (2015). *Corporate governance and contingency theory*. Springer.

1

Nawaz, Z. A. K. D. A., & Khan, I. (2016). Leadership theories and styles: A literature review. *Leadership*, 16(1), 1-7.

Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Sage publications.

Roe, K. (2020). *Leadership: Practice and perspectives*. Oxford University Press.

2

Thompson, G., & Glasø, L. (2015). Situational leadership theory: A test from three perspectives. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 36(5), 527-544.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

6%

INTERNET SOURCES

2%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

Submitted to University of Keele

Student Paper

2%

2

www.coursehero.com

Internet Source

1%

3

www.paperdue.com

Internet Source

1%

4

Submitted to South University

Student Paper

1%

5

Submitted to University of Wales, Lampeter

Student Paper

1%

6

Submitted to University of Reading

Student Paper

1%

7

www.citethisforme.com

Internet Source

<1%

8

essay365.x10.mx

Internet Source

<1%

9

link.springer.com

Internet Source

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off