INDEPENDENT PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Steven Moore
Title of Project:	Investigation into responsive web applications for programming online experiences
Supervisor:	Terry Walcott
2nd marker:	Nik Whitehead
Course:	**Applied Computing** **DIS** **CNC** **EEE** **Games Dev** **SE** **Web** **(please indicate)**
Project Type:	**Experiment** **Development** **(please indicate)**

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark A good implementation but some of the underpinnings are weak	57.5
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark	

Signed:		Date:	

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1.Engagement with Literature Skills				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	1 /5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	9 /15

Comments:
No clear aim or objectives, which makes it difficult to see why some of the material in the literature review is included. It would be better to have a summary at the end of the literature review to pull all of the decisions taken together rather than spread them out through the chapter. Needs to discuss the alternatives to responsive web and why these are not suitable.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	2.5 /5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	3 /5

Comments:
Research onion is not required. Description of what is to be done is sufficient. Validity, reliability and generalisability are misunderstood. Resources section is okay.
Requirements capture via survey is a good starting point. Design process is fine, although leans a bit to graphic design processes rather than usability/UX design ones.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	18 /25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	4 /10

Comments:
Good discussion of problems identified in testing. It would have been nice to see the testers' responses to these fixes.
Conclusions should summarise the results and link these back to the literature review. No real recommendations were provided.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Skills				
Development	-	-	-	11 /15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:
Development is well documented.
Final design has a rather high pixel: information ratio.
Automated testing is useful, although a lot of the descriptive material should have been considered in the literature review under 'testing'.
No demographics of testers at any point; these could be very important in explaining some of the results. Good coverage of questionnaire results, although no signs that any questionnaires were prototyped.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	4/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	5 /10
Comments: Abstract should be on a seperate page. All sections need introductions to signpost what will be discussed later in the section. Much of what should have been in conclusions and recommendations is in reflection.				
TOTAL:	**/100**	**/100**	**/100**	57.5/100