

Nicholas Millett

Dr. Jeremy Smith

(HPHI5912, HPHI6912)

30 July 2025

“Peace and Power: The Evolution of British Policy in Northern Ireland’s Troubles”

The period between 1968 to 1988 experienced some of the most significant changes in British policy toward Northern Ireland, as the region descended into a prolonged conflict referred to now as The Troubles (Munck 211). What first began as a peaceful civil rights movement in the late 1960s quickly escalated into a bloody ethno-nationalist struggle between republican and loyalist paramilitaries, the British government and torn communities. In response to the upheaval, the British government implemented several drastic policies over the span of two decades in an attempt to restore law and order amid the quickly escalating crisis (Munck 211). This essay will explore the major changes in British policy toward Northern Ireland during this twenty-year period, focusing on the transition from limited involvement to the implementation of Direct Rule in 1972. It will also examine the attempt at short term political solutions such as the Sunningdale Agreement, the increasing reliance on security and counter-terrorism measures throughout the 1970's and early 1980s, and finally the cautious move toward intergovernmental cooperation marked by the signing of the Anglo-Irish Agreement in 1985. These shifts were defined by political failures, increased violence, and the limits of political and military strategies. Having lived in Northern Ireland for four years, I have personally seen how the long-term consequences of these policies continue to shape and develop the social and political landscape in this small but resilient country. I seek to demonstrate that British policy during this period

reflected not only reactive crisis management but also a slow and hesitant acceptance that sustainable stability would require broader political engagement with both communities.

The late 1960's marked a pivotal moment in Northern Ireland's history, as deep-rooted grievances within the Irish-Catholic nationalist minority erupted into widespread protest and escalating violence (Munck 225). The Northern Ireland Civil Rights Association (NICRA), inspired by the global civil rights movement, mobilized campaigns against systemic inequalities in housing allocation, employment and voting rights (Munck 212). Catholics faced severe systemic discrimination under the Protestant dominated Stormont government, and their demands for systemic reform underlined deep divisions in the society of Northern Ireland. Under British Prime Minister Harold Wilson, the initial government policy of the United Kingdom (UK) was to support the devolved Stormont government while closely monitoring the escalating situation, in the hope of containing tensions without direct intervention which could worsen the conflict.

However, the situation quickly deteriorated. From late 1968 into 1969, civil rights marches faced violent opposition from loyalist groups and hostile police forces, most famously the controversial Royal Ulster Constabulary (RUC), which further inflamed tensions. The battle of the Bogside in August 1969, a three day riot in the city of Derry, was a turning point (Munck 225) of the conflict when the British government, alarmed by the rising violence and fearing a full-fledged sectarian war, made the controversial decision to deploy British troops under the name "Operation Banner" (Munck 225). Initially, soldiers were warmly welcomed by many Catholic communities as protectors against the harsh attacks from the loyalist paramilitaries and police brutality. This development marked the first direct intervention by the British state in the conflict, indicating a shift away from exclusive reliance solely on their influence over local governance. Despite the Army's initial intention of peacekeeping, the presence of British troops

soon became a source of tension (Munck 226). The security forces struggled to maintain law and order due to the escalating animosity between republican paramilitaries such as the Provisional Irish Republican Army (IRA) and various loyalist groups. After years of rising tensions, the decisive moment that triggered full-scale unrest was Bloody Sunday in January 1972. During a civil rights march in the city of Derry, a group of British soldiers open fired and killed thirteen unarmed protestors. This massacre profoundly undermined British legitimacy among the Irish nationalist communities and was a catalyst for a much larger portion of the Northern Irish population to engage in the unrest (BBC News 1).

Amid Stormont's failure to restore order, the British government suspended the Northern Ireland Parliament in March 1972 and imposed Direct Rule from Westminster (Woodwell 182). This change represented a pivotal change in British policy, from shifting support for devolved governance to exercising full administrative control over Northern Ireland in an attempt to restore stability. In the period between 1968 and 1972, British policy underwent a significant transformation, from passive monitoring and containment of civil rights protests to direct military involvement and political control over the country. The scale of the British reaction emphasized both the escalating violence on the ground and also the British government's difficulty in devising an effective strategy for managing a deeply divided society. Though intended to safeguard vulnerable communities and advance political reform, British intervention during this period also sowed seeds of mistrust and contributed to a cycle of conflict that would endure for decades (Munck 211).

After the imposition of Direct Rule in 1972, the British government began to shift away from relying solely on military measures seeking instead a political framework to achieve peace and stability in the North of Ireland. The collapse of the Stormont government had left a power vacuum and deep mistrust between the Protestant Unionist majority and the Catholic Nationalist

minority (Bosi and De Fazio 20). The British goal was to establish a new, more inclusive political system that could bring the two communities together by sharing political power. One famous example of this was the Sunningdale Agreement of December 1973 (Bosi and De Fazio 19). Negotiated at a conference at Sunningdale Park in England, it brought together representatives from the British and Irish governments, along with the main political parties in Northern Ireland. The agreement brought Unionists and Nationalists together in a power sharing government and established a cross-border Council of Ireland to encourage cooperation between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. This marked a groundbreaking effort to address the concerns of both communities, offering Nationalists a meaningful role in governance while reassuring Unionists of Northern Ireland's continued constitutional position within the United Kingdom (Bosi and De Fazio 20).

Nevertheless, many Unionists fiercely opposed the agreement, viewing the Council of Ireland as a potential erosion of Northern Ireland's constitutional status within the UK and resisting any perceived concessions to Irish nationalist demands (UN Peacemaker 2). In 1974, Loyalist paramilitaries and the Ulster Workers' council, a coalition of loyalist trade unions, orchestrated widespread strikes and protests that paralyzed Northern Ireland's economy and infrastructure. This intense opposition ultimately led to the collapse of the power-sharing executive in May of 1974, only months after it had been established (Bosi and De Fazio 19). The failure of Sunningdale highlighted the profound challenges facing British policy: while some political compromise was necessary, it depended on the support of communities long divided by mistrust and violence. The British government's effort to achieve peace through political reform revealed the limitations of imposed solutions without acceptance (UN Peacemaker 1). In the aftermath, British policy shifted back toward maintaining security and order, as violence escalated with the continued activities of the IRA and loyalist paramilitary groups. Nevertheless,

the principles of Sunningdale, particularly the significance of power-sharing and cross-border cooperation, would go on to affect the future efforts to resolve the conflict (UN Peacemaker 3).

Following the collapse of the Sunningdale Agreement in 1974, British policy toward Northern Ireland put emphasis on a commitment to security and countering terrorism. As political solutions stalled and violence intensified, the British government turned its focus to suppressing paramilitary activity, especially that of the IRA, which was engaged in an ongoing campaign of bombings, shootings, and assassinations (Pankhurst 19). Loyalist paramilitary groups such as the Ulster Defense Association (UDA) and Ulster Volunteer Force (UVF), also intensified their attacks, often targeting Catholic civilians in acts of retaliation (Pankhurst 19). In response, the British state approached the conflict primarily as a security issue that relied heavily on military and policing measures (Pankhurst 23).

Central to this shift was the policy of criminalization, which was introduced by the Labour government in 1976. Under this policy, paramilitary prisoners were no longer granted "special category status" and were instead treated and incarcerated as ordinary criminals in the British penitentiary system (Scull 1). The British government aimed to delegitimize the IRA by framing it as a criminal organization rather than a political movement engaged in armed struggle. This strategy backfired quickly as Republican prisoners in Maze (Long Kesh) prison responded with a series of protests. These protests included the 'blanket protest' in 1976 and culminating in the 1981 hunger strikes, during which ten prisoners died. Among them was Bobby Sands, who was elected as a British MP while on hunger strike, drawing international attention and sympathy to the Republican cause (Bosi and De Fazio 24).

The hunger strikes had a profound political impact and significantly reshaped the political landscape. While the Thatcher government maintained its refusal to grant political status to the prisoners, the strikers rallied nationalist support and marked a turning point for Sinn Féin by

accelerating its active participation in electoral politics (Woodwell 176). The British government's policy of criminalization, which intended to de-politicize the situation, backfired as it fueled a powerful martyrdom narrative for the republican movement and increased support for its political agenda (Woodwell 176).

Alongside changes to prison policy, British security forces such as the Army and RUC received expanded authority under emergency legislation to conduct surveillance, arrests, and house raids and searches. The Prevention of Terrorism Act introduced in 1974 permitted detention without trial and the use of exclusion orders, reinforcing a legal framework that prioritized security and control over any attempt at political conciliation.

Cities like Belfast became heavily militarized, with armored patrols, checkpoints, and watchtowers becoming a routine part of daily life (Stephen 435). While living in Belfast in more recent years, I witnessed how the city's physical and psychological landscape still bears the imprint of past British policy during the Troubles- peace walls dividing communities, a lingering sense of separation and a constant atmosphere of surveillance and mistrust between communities and foreigners.

Despite this heavy security approach, violence continued, and political progress remained stalled. The British government under Thatcher refused to negotiate with paramilitaries and maintained an iron fist of law and order (Stephen 434). Although this phase of policy sought to reduce terrorism and restore authority, it largely failed to address the underlying causes of the conflict or engage with the Irish nationalist community in a meaningful, genuine way. By the early 1980's, it had become increasingly evident that security measures alone were insufficient for achieving a lasting resolution. This recognition prompted a gradual and cautious change in policy during the latter half of the decade, as British leaders began to reengage with different political solutions (Stephen 434). Although Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher retained a firm

public stance against terrorism, her administration quietly began to explore diplomatic avenues that could restore a political dimension to British policy in Northern Ireland. This phase represented a cautious but important transition from suppression to limited political engagement, ultimately leading to the signing of the Anglo-Irish Agreement of 1985 (Woodwell 176).

The Anglo-Irish Agreement, signed at the Hillsborough Castle, Northern Ireland by Thatcher and Irish Taoiseach Garret FitzGerald, was a major policy development for British policy (BBC 1). This was a great moment as it was the first formal recognition of the Republic of Ireland's right to a consultative role in either Britain's governance or Northern Ireland's self-governance depending on intent. The agreement established an intergovernmental conference through the Irish government to provide input on political, legal, and security issues concerning Northern Ireland. Although the agreement did not change Northern Ireland's constitutional status within the United Kingdom, it signaled a shift in British thinking, recognizing that lasting peace required cross-border cooperation and meaningful engagement with nationalist perspectives.

From a policy perspective, this marked a strategic reorientation. London now accepted that managing the conflict required addressing the legitimacy gap felt by many in the Catholic community, many of whom saw the British state as biased and unresponsive to their concerns. The agreement was designed to foster trust, weaken support for the IRA, and demonstrate to the nationalist community that peaceful political engagement could deliver real influence (BBC 1). For the British government, it also served as a strategic attempt to counter the rising political success of Sinn Féin, which had gained substantial momentum following the 1981 hunger strikes. However, the Anglo-Irish Agreement triggered strong backlash from the Unionist community, who viewed it as a betrayal by the British government and a threat to Northern Ireland's sovereignty. In response, Unionist leaders organized mass demonstrations, strikes, and a wave of political resignations, refusing to participate in the agreement's implementation (BBC

4). The backlash from the loyalist community highlighted a key challenge for the British government that any efforts towards inclusive governance risked alienating unionist support without delivering or guaranteeing immediate peace or stability.

Despite strong disapproval from the Unionists, the Anglo-Irish Agreement marked a turning point in British policy (BBC 4). It signaled a shift away from unilateral action and reintroduced diplomacy into the peace process, establishing cross-border cooperation and opening important channels between Dublin and London, and eventually, Northern Irish parties (BBC 5). Between, 1985 and 1988, British policy moved from rigid enforcement to careful political engagement. This shift reflected a growing recognition that a military hardline approach alone would not solve the conflict. While the agreement was not a full solution, it was an important milestone which laid the groundwork for the peace process of the upcoming 1990s.

To conclude, between 1968 and 1988, British policy toward the complicated situation of Northern Ireland went through many significant changes which reflecting the complexities of managing a society that was deeply divided, fragile, and willing to use violence. The British government's early support for devolved governance paved the way to direct military intervention and administrative control as violence escalated. Political efforts such as the Sunningdale Agreement sought to implement power-sharing arrangements but failed under the weight of strong Unionist resistance. Between the mid 1970's and early 1980's, the British government pursued a security focused approach, with policies like criminalization deepening tensions instead of easing them. After realizing the failures of the past approaches, the British policy had begun to shift toward political engagement, culminating in the Anglo-Irish Agreement of 1985. While controversial, the agreement represented a pivotal shift from enforcement to diplomacy and helped pave the way for future peace initiatives. Ultimately, British policy, though often caught between security demands and the need for political inclusion, slowly

acknowledged that durable peace depended on negotiated, inclusive solutions that would reshape Northern Ireland in the decades to come.

Bibliography

BBC Bitesize. “The Troubles: Northern Ireland Conflict.” *BBC Bitesize*, www.bbc.co.uk/bitesize/articles/z78xvj6#z2fncxs.

BBC News. “Northern Ireland Foyle and West.” *BBC News*, www.bbc.com/news/uk-northern-ireland-foyle-west-47433319.

Kelly, Stephen. “‘The Anglo-Irish Agreement Put Us on Side with the Americans’: Margaret Thatcher, Anglo-American Relations and the Path to the Anglo-Irish Agreement, 1979-1985.” *Understanding Civil War: Evidence and Analysis*, edited by Douglas Woodwell, 2005, pp. 161–190.

Munck, Ronnie. “The Making of the Troubles in Northern Ireland.” *Journal of Contemporary History*, vol. 27, no. 2, Apr. 1992, pp. 211–229.

Pankhurst, Dale. *‘Mindless Violence’? An Analysis of Loyalist Paramilitarism during the Troubles: 1966-1979*. Master of Arts Thesis, Queen’s University Belfast, supervised by Prof. Graham Walker, Student Number 40112486.

Peacemaker. “Sunningdale Agreement.” United Nations Peacemaker, 2024, peacemaker.un.org/sites/default/files/document/files/2024/05/suningdale20agreement.pdf.

Woodwell, Douglas. *Understanding Civil War: Evidence and Analysis*. 2005.