



Tracing the Evolution of Artificial Intelligence Education at Stanford University

Chan Aristella Lu¹

Accepted: 20 January 2025 / Published online: 1 February 2025
 © Association for Educational Communications & Technology 2025

Abstract

This paper explores Stanford University's evolution in artificial intelligence (AI) education, emphasizing its interdisciplinary approach and collaboration with Silicon Valley. Building upon the university's foundational integration of liberal arts and industry partnerships, Stanford has facilitated frontier research in AI domains. Key initiatives such as Stanford AI4ALL, SAIL-Toyota Center, and the SAIL-JD AI Research Initiative showcase the university's commitment to inclusive AI technologies. The analysis offers insights into Stanford's strategies, highlighting the institution's role as a global leader in shaping AI education.

Keywords Artificial intelligence · Interdisciplinary approach · Stanford university · University reform

Introduction

As global interest in artificial intelligence (AI) continues to rise, American universities are intensifying their efforts to expand AI education programs (Lu, 2023). Stanford University, with a long history and significant achievements in AI, has become a hub for academic collaboration, producing pioneering research in areas such as human–robot interaction and biotechnology (Stanford University, 2019; Stanford University, 2022).

Despite Stanford's prominent role in AI education, there is a lack of historical analysis on the evolution of its AI programs. Existing studies (Zhai et al., 2021; Luckin et al., 2016; Garrett et al., 2020) tend to overlook the university's organizational development and interdisciplinary strategies that have shaped its AI education. As one of the top-ranked AI programs in the U.S. (U.S. News & World Report, 2024), a closer examination of Stanford's AI education evolution is necessary.

This paper explores how Stanford University has structured and developed its AI education program, focusing on the key factors that have driven its growth and leadership

in the field. By providing this historical analysis, the paper aims to offer a deeper understanding of the strategies and motivations behind Stanford's success in AI education.

Stanford's Liberal Arts Foundation and Silicon Valley Synergy

From its inception, Stanford University demonstrated a strong commitment to a liberal art, which not only shaped the university's internal culture but also deeply influenced its role in the development of Silicon Valley industries. In a 1919 correspondence between Harvard University President Charles William Eliot and David Starr Jordan, Stanford's inaugural president, the vision for Stanford included ambitious projects such as a technical school for engineering and a public lecture hall, with the suggestion to house them under a university framework (Elliott, 1977). Stanford's organizational structure, which began with 21 departments spanning humanities, social sciences, science, and technology (Allen, 1980), reflected a dedication to holistic education and interdisciplinary exchange. This liberal arts foundation evolved under successive leadership, notably during Ray Lyman Wilbur's presidency in the early twentieth century (Swain, 1950). Wilbur addressed the silos created by the initial departmental system, making an academic environment that supported the free exchange of ideas and interdisciplinary collaboration

✉ Chan Aristella Lu
 cl25054@uga.edu

¹ Department of Lifelong Education, Administration & Policy, University of Georgia, 101 College Station Road, Building A, Athens, GA 205A30605, USA

(Allen, 1980). These reforms laid the groundwork for Stanford's later role in connecting academic excellence with industrial innovation. The Stanford's growth as an engineering hub, combined with its liberal arts tradition, has been fundamental in producing startup companies specializing in convergent technologies, such as computing and biotechnology or nanotechnology and communications (Lenoir, 2014).

Stanford's proximity to Silicon Valley further shaped the region's technological innovation and economic expansion. Acting as a social capital anchor alongside U.C. Berkeley and U.C. San Francisco, Stanford forged strong ties with industries, facilitating the commercialization of research and attracting global talent (Cohen & Fields, 1999). Stanford's entrepreneurial foundation traces back to the late nineteenth century, initially rooted in engineering before spreading to the physical and biological sciences (Etzkowitz, 2022). Between 1945 and 1965, Stanford established four principal programs of outreach to the local business community, including the Stanford Research Institute (SRI), Stanford Industrial Park, the Honors Cooperative Program, and the Industry Affiliates Program (Adams, 2005). Among these programs, The Stanford Industrial Park, later renamed Stanford Research Park, became a nucleus for technological growth, hosting firms like Hewlett-Packard, Varian Associates, and Shockley Semiconductor Laboratories, many founded by Stanford alumni and faculty (Gillmor, 2004). Post-WWII, the region experienced rapid growth, with 123 electronics-based firms established by 1958, spanning from San Bruno to San Jose (Gillmor, 2004). Early companies like Eitel-McCullough and Litton Industries thrived in the north, while industry giants such as Lockheed, General Electric, and IBM dominated areas to the south (Gillmor, 2004). Also, during 1979–1999, the semiconductor industry alone expanding around 30-fold in Silicon Valley (Cohen & Fields, 1999; Kenney, 2000; Lécuyer, 2006). At the center of this ecosystem, the Stanford Industrial Park served as an incubator, promoting innovation and collaboration (Lenoir, 2014). In 1988, Stanford-based firms generated over half of Silicon Valley's revenue, and in 2000, Stanford alumni and faculty had founded over 1800 technology companies, accounting for 37% of the region's high-tech employment (Gibbons, 1994; Lenoir, 2014; Byers et al., 2000). Stanford's contributions to computing and networking further cemented its role. Faculty and students pioneered technologies that birthed companies like Sun Microsystems and Cisco

Systems, leveraging university research (Gibbons, 2000). Innovations such as ARPANET, NSFNET, and the World Wide Web revolutionized the Internet, creating opportunities for rapid commercialization and positioning Silicon Valley as the epicenter of emerging firms in the 1990s (Rowen, 2000). Stanford, through its liberal arts tradition, industry partnerships, and entrepreneurial initiatives, has become synonymous with Silicon Valley's identity as a center for cutting-edge technology and industry-driven research (Gillmor, 2004; Lenoir, 2014).

The Evolution of Computer Science Education at Stanford

Artificial Intelligence is fundamentally a subset of computer science (Russell & Norvig, 2016). Stanford University's approach to developing computer science education reflects its dedication to liberal arts, interdisciplinary collaboration, and strong ties with industry. This vision has evolved through significant institutional reforms, which grouped departments with intersecting interests into broader schools. Recognizing the demands for a wider academic foundation at the undergraduate level, followed by more specialized learning at the graduate level, Stanford University consolidated the Schools of Humanities, Biological Sciences, Social Sciences, and Physical Sciences into the School of Humanities and Sciences in 1948 (Allen, 1980). By 1980, this school accounted for over three-quarters of undergraduate units and a third of graduate units, which included the Computer Science department established in 1965 (Allen, 1980; Stanford University Libraries, n.d.). The School of Engineering, which initially lagged in technical advancements following World War II, underwent a profound transformation under the leadership of Frederick Terman (Allen, 1980). Returning to Stanford as Dean after his wartime success directing Harvard's Radio Research Laboratory, Terman recognized the mutual benefits of strengthening relationships between the university and local industries (Allen, 1980). His leadership catalyzed the creation of an ecosystem where academic innovation and industrial application coexisted. Fortune magazine, in 1974, largely attributed the establishment of an impressive array of 800 pioneering technology companies along the San Francisco Peninsula to Terman's efforts (Allen, 1980). This collaboration set the stage for Stanford's enduring influence on innovation and education.

Under the leadership of Jim Gibbons, who served as the Dean of the School of Engineering from 1984 to 1996, Stanford University made a strategic decision to integrate computer science from the department of Humanities and Sciences into the electrical engineering department (Stanford School of Engineering, 2023). This was an innovative step. Gibbons recognized the transformative power of computer science and its capacity to infuse all aspects of engineering, prompting the move (Thomas, in Beasley et al., 2018). Gibbons believed that this integration would be beneficial for computer science, facilitating its interaction with electrical engineering (Thomas, in Beasley et al., 2018). Moreover, within Stanford's organizational structure, Deans held considerable power, including the authority to oversee promotions and tenure, ensuring effective funding management and preserving the autonomy of individual schools (Shoven and Beasley, in Beasley et al., 2018). But the integration of computer science presented certain challenges. Administrators faced the task of maintaining the appeal of undergraduate programs amidst the rapid rise of computer science (Long, in Beasley et al., 2018). One of the key challenges was developing a compelling and engaging undergraduate curriculum (Saller, in Beasley et al., 2018). As a reaction, Stanford implemented a major-minor system, establishing computer science as a major within the School of Humanities and Sciences (Saller, in Beasley et al., 2018). Jim Plummer, a strong advocate for a holistic educational approach, proposed the importance of engineering students leveraging the broad array of opportunities offered at a liberal arts institution like Stanford (Plummer, 2018). Stanford's innovative approach to engineering education gained widespread recognition when the National Science Foundation initiated its Graduate Fellowship Program in 1952 (Goldsmith et al., 2002). Fellowship recipients were free to choose their institution, and Stanford emerged as the second most preferred choice for engineers, trailing only M.I.T (Allen, 1980). To further support the professional development of engineering students, Honors Cooperative Program was launched to undertake engineering courses while continuing their professional roles, thereby merging academic pursuits with practical industry experience (Allen, 1980). The integration of computer science and electrical engineering at Stanford strengthened the university's collaboration with industry. This synergy expanded the market potential for artificial intelligence education, positioning

the university to lead advancements in AI by aligning academic programs with industry needs and promoting interdisciplinary initiatives.

Advancing AI Education through Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Engineering schools have made substantial contributions to the advancement of AI education at Stanford. From 1999 to 2014, James D. Plummer served as the Frederick Emmons Terman Dean at Stanford's School of Engineering (Stanford University, n.d.-b). During his leadership, the school underwent major infrastructure improvements, saw a remarkable increase in the enrollment of engineering students, and promoted interdisciplinary collaboration through the establishment of programs such as the Bio-engineering Department, a partnership with the Medical School, and the Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering (Plummer, 2018). Plummer emphasized the importance of interdisciplinary approaches to tackle complex modern challenges that transcend traditional academic boundaries—an approach well-aligned with the broad and integrative nature of AI research (Plummer, 2018).

The setup of the Stanford Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (SAIL) in 1965 by John McCarthy and Les Earnest marked another major development in Stanford's AI journey (Stanford University, n.d.-a). SAIL has consistently contributed to the advancement of AI and has broadened the scope of computer science at Stanford, making independent contributions in various domains including computer design, computer vision, robotics, and laser printing (SAIL, n.d.). Notably, SAIL introduced the world's first office desktop computer displays in 1971, revolutionizing computer–human interaction (Stanford University, n.d.-a). As a pioneer in AI research, SAIL has continually adapted its organizational structure and research focus to stay at the forefront of the evolving AI landscape.

In recent years, SAIL has established several specialized subprograms and centers that focus on inclusive, humanistic, and socially beneficial AI technologies. These include:

- 1. Stanford AI4ALL** (formerly known as the Outreach Summer Program at the Stanford Artificial Intelligence Laboratory) commenced in 2015 (Stanford University, n.d.-c). Its goal is to introduce high school students from underrepresented backgrounds to the field of AI, fostering diversity within the field (Stanford University, n.d.-c). The

program offers participants practical learning opportunities, allowing them to explore how AI tools can be applied to solve real-world problems (Stanford University, [n.d.-e](#)). Students also benefit from a supportive peer community and mentorship by AI professionals and researchers (Stanford University, [n.d.-e](#)). Stanford AI4ALL is affiliated with Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (HAI), which aims to advance AI research, education, policy, and practice to enhance human well-being (Stanford University, [n.d.-e](#)). HAI connects multidisciplinary research with policy development, providing policymakers with resources to comprehend and regulate AI technology effectively (Stanford University, [n.d.-f](#)). In 2024, HAI's policy publications addressed topics such as public sector AI, AI benchmarks, research ethics, health algorithm adjustments, AI-generated propaganda, AI governance in healthcare, the regulation of health AI, responsible development of large language models, and dual-use foundation models (Stanford University, [n.d.-g](#)). These publications reflect HAI's commitment to producing actionable policy insights and shaping ethical AI practices, which contributing to the advancement of Stanford AI4ALL.

2. SAIL-Toyota Center dedicates to the development of human-centered AI for intelligent robotics (Stanford University, [n.d.-d](#)). This initiative is a collaboration between SAIL and the Toyota Research Institute, focusing on visual computing, machine learning, and robotics (Stanford University, [n.d.-d](#)). Targeting interdisciplinary challenges, it has contributed to AI applications that prioritize human interaction and usability, such as dynamic driving environments, robust robotic manipulation, and human-centered action prediction (see Table 1).

3. SAIL-JD AI Research Initiative, established in partnership with JD.com, uses e-commerce and logistics data to tackle AI research challenges (SAIL, [2019](#)). This partnership has expanded SAIL's scope to include more collaborative projects in diverse AI applications (SAIL, [2019](#)). Widely known as the “Amazon of China,” JD.com has integrated AI, big data, and robotics as core components of its strategy to transform the retail sector (Marr, [2018](#)). This collaboration has resulted in impactful projects that incorporate AI technologies into JD.com's operations, such as the development of AI-driven software for

managing logistics drones and automated package sorting systems (Shu, [2018](#)). One of the center's key initiatives is leveraging technology to create a seamless integration of online and offline shopping experiences through an end-to-end retail solution that oversees product management, warehousing, pricing, and payment processing (Marr, [2018](#)). AI Catapult, an accelerator program designed to drive advancements in artificial intelligence initiatives (Marr, [2018](#)). Through the SAIL-JD partnership, collaborative efforts have spanned major steps of the retail industry, further reinforcing the impact of AI on people's daily life.

Conclusions

The evolution of AI education at Stanford is intricately tied to its interdisciplinary approach and close connection to industries. With a foundation in liberal arts and a synergistic relationship with Silicon Valley, Stanford has established an environment encouraging innovation and collaboration. This forward-thinking approach was further solidified during James D. Plummer's tenure as Dean of the School of Engineering, where infrastructure improvements and interdisciplinary education elevated Stanford to a leadership position in AI research and education.

In recent years, several key programs have exemplified Stanford's ongoing commitment to advancing AI research and education. Stanford AI4ALL, part of the Stanford Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (HAI), offers advanced AI training designed to prepare the next generation of learners and practitioners. The SAIL-Toyota Center focus on the application of AI in mechanical systems, tackling interdisciplinary challenges and driving progress in human-centered AI innovations. Similarly, the SAIL-JD AI Research Initiative explores AI's potential in the retail sector, showcasing how the technology can enhance daily life and revolutionize consumer experiences. Collectively, these initiatives highlight Stanford's important role in shaping the future of AI education and innovation.

In sum, Stanford's interdisciplinary approach and its symbiotic relationship with Silicon Valley have been critical to its leadership in AI education, ensuring that the university remains at the cutting edge of technological advancement.

Appendix

Table 1 Projects of SAIL-Toyota Center for AI Research

Category	Projects
Current Projects	• Immersing Drivers in Virtual Reality to Test Shared Control Concepts • Revisiting the "Video" in Video-Language Understanding • Articulated Objects? I'll Let My Robot Figure That Out! • Intelligent Testing with Interpretable and High-Capacity Agents by Embedding Logical Structure in Neural Architectures • Human-centered Action recognition and Prediction • Autonomous Drifting: Demonstrating a Reciprocal Architecture for Motion Planning and Control • Planning Set-Based Trajectory Envelops in Dynamic Driving Environments for Guaranteed-Safe Guardian Autonomy • Hardware, control and perception for robust manipulation in cluttered environments • Leveraging Language for Generalizable, Composable, and Interactive Robot Learning • Learning Traffic Screenplays through 3D Object Detection and Tracking • Learning to interact with articulated objects • Physical and Functional Inductive Biases for Visual Representation Learning
Completed Projects	• Two-Hand Robotic Manipulation Skills • Model Predictive Control for Safe Limit Driving in Highly Dynamic Environments • Task-Driven Visual Perception • Supersizing Robot Learning through Hybrid Imitation • Joint Contextual Forecasting of Vehicle Behaviors, Actions, and Trajectories • A Model Guardian to Enforce and Improve Intelligent Vehicle Safety • Individual Driving Styles: Learning, Modeling, and Improving Driver Safety • Safe Feedback Interactions in Human-Autonomous Vehicle Systems • An Engagement Learning Approach to Generating Massive Labeled Datasets for Training AI Systems • Cloud-Aided Computer Vision for Autonomous Devices • Exploiting Depth and Motion Cues for Robust Semantic, Instance-level Segmentation and Tracking • Acquiring, Representing, and Transporting Manipulation Knowledge • Soft Robotics and Haptics for Shared Autonomy and Teleoperation • Dexterity and Perception Enhancement for Robots • Emergent Behaviors in Multi-Agent Human–Robot Teams • Interaction-Aware Control for Cars that Anticipate and Explain Complex Environments • Robust and Interpretable Machine Learning via Natural Language Explanations • Hands and Sensors for Robots That Can Do Dishes • Data-efficient Algorithms for Machine Learning with Provable Guarantees • Data-Driven Analysis of Multi-Modal Sensory Inputs for the Design of Smart Environments • Intelligent Wearable Robotics • Closing the Visual-Motor Loop with Deep Reinforcement Learning • A Macroscopic for Fleets of Intelligent Vehicles • Dynamic Choice Models of Human Decision-Making • Rich Physical Human/Robot Interaction Skills in Versatile Real/Life Scenarios • Joint Contextual Forecasting of Vehicle Behaviors, Actions, and Trajectories • Uncertainty on Uncertainty, Robustness, and Simulation • Human-Centric Autonomous and Assistive Driving • Understanding Driver State in Laboratory and Naturalistic Environments • Human Behaviors and Interaction for In-Car Experiences

Source. Stanford University. (n.d.-h). <https://aicenter.stanford.edu/research>

Acknowledgements There is no acknowledgement to be cited here.

Funding There is no financial support to be cited here.

Data Availability This study is based on historical research, utilizing publicly available and fully cited sources. All references and citations have been clearly marked within the manuscript. No additional datasets were generated or analyzed in this study.

Declarations

Conflicting Interests The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

Human Participants There were no human participants; however, pertinent ethical guidelines are observed in terms of journal's policies.

References

- Adams, S. B. (2005). Stanford and Silicon Valley: Lessons on becoming a high-tech region. *California Management Review*, 48(1), 29–51. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166326>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Allen, P. C. (1980). *Stanford: From the foothills to the bay* (1st ed.). Stanford Alumni Association & Stanford Historical Society. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Beasley, M. R., Shoven, J. B., Thomas, E. A. C., Saller, R. P., & Long, S. R. (2018). *A conversation with the deans of the school of humanities and sciences, 1988–2018*. Stanford Historical Society. <https://purl.stanford.edu/jt269jj8022>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Byers, T., Keeley, R., Leone, A., Parker, G., & Autio, E. (2000). The impact of a research university in Silicon Valley: Entrepreneurship of alumni and faculty. *The Journal of Private Equity*, 4(1), 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.3905/jpe.2000.319972>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Cohen, S. S., & Fields, G. (1999). Social capital and capital gains in Silicon Valley. *California Management Review*, 41(2), 108–130. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41165989>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Elliott, O. L. (1977). *Stanford University: The first twenty-five years*. Arno Press. (Original work published 1937). All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2022). Entrepreneurial university icon: Stanford and Silicon Valley as innovation and natural ecosystem. *Industry and Higher Education*, 36(4), 361–380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09504222221109504>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Garrett, N., Beard, N., & Fiesler, C. (2020). More than “if time allows”: The role of ethics in AI education. *Proceedings of the AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, (pp. 272–278). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3375627.337586>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Gibbons, J. (2000). The role of Stanford University: A Dean's Reflections. In C. Lee, W. Miller, M. Hancock & H. Rowen (Eds.), *The silicon valley edge: A habitat for innovation and entrepreneurship* (pp. 200–217). Stanford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781503619180-016>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Gibbons, J. F. (1994). Silicon valley: Startups, strategies, and the stanford connection. *MRS Bulletin*, 19(7), 4–10. <https://doi.org/10.1557/S088376940004745X>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Gillmor, C. S. (2004). *Fred Terman at Stanford: Building a discipline, a university, and Silicon Valley*. Stanford University Press. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Goldsmith, S. S., Presley, J. B., & Cooley, E. A. (2002). National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program: Final evaluation report (Prepared under Contracts REC 9452969 and 9912174). WestEd Evaluation Research Program. National Science Foundation. https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2002/nsf02080/nsf02080_1.pdf. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Kenney, M. (2000). *Understanding Silicon Valley: The anatomy of an entrepreneurial region*. Stanford University Press. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Lécuyer, C. (2006). *Making Silicon Valley: Innovation and the growth of high tech, 1930–1970*. MIT Press. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Lenoir, T. (2014). Inventing the entrepreneurial university: Stanford and the co-evolution of Silicon Valley. In T. J. Allen & R. P. O'Shea (Eds.), eds. *Building Technology Transfer within Research Universities: An Entrepreneurial Approach* (pp. 88–128). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139046930.007>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Lu, C. (2023). An analysis of organizational approaches for advancing American University AI education. In *2023 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)* (pp. 1–6). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE58773.2023.10343264>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed. An argument for AI in Education*. Pearson. <https://static.googleusercontent.com/media/edu.google.com/en/pdfs/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Marr, B. (2018, August 3). *The amazing ways retailer JD.com uses AI, big data, robotics to become the global e-commerce leader*. Forbes. Retrieved from <https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2018/08/03/the-amazing-ways-retailer-jd-com-uses-ai-big-data-robotics-to-become-the-global-e-commerce-leader/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Plummer, J. D. (2018). *An oral history: James D. Plummer. Stanford Historical Society*. Retrieved from <https://searchworks.stanford.edu/view/bb799db3606>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Rowen, H. S. (2000). Serendipity or strategy: how technology and markets came to favor Silicon Valley. In C. Lee, W. Miller, M. Hancock & H. Rowen (Eds.), *The Silicon Valley Edge: A Habitat for Innovation and Entrepreneurship* (pp. 184–199). Stanford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781503619180-015>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education Limited. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Shu, C. (2018). *JD.com's new accelerator focuses on blockchain startups*. TechCrunch. Retrieved from <https://techcrunch.com/2018/02/26/jd-coms-new-accelerator-focuses-on-blockchain-startups/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (SAIL). (n.d.). *About SAIL*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://ai.stanford.edu/about/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford School of Engineering. (2023). *Jim Gibbons modeled what it means to be dean at Stanford*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://engineering.stanford.edu/news/jim-gibbons-modeled-what-it-means-be-dean-stanford>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-b). *Jim Plummer: Profile*. Stanford Profiles. Retrieved from <https://profiles.stanford.edu/jim-plummer>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.

- Stanford University. (2019). *Stanford's robotics legacy*. Stanford Report. Retrieved from <https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2019/01/stanford-robotics-legacy/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (2022). *Biotechnology incubator at Stanford Research Park anchors growing life sciences district*. Stanford Report. Retrieved from <https://news.stanford.edu/stories/2022/06/biotechnology-incubator-stanford-research-park-anchors-growing-life-sciences-district/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University Libraries. (n.d.). *Timeline. Stanford University Computer Science Exhibit*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://exhibits.stanford.edu/cs/about/timeline>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-a). *A history of Stanford*. Stanford University. Retrieved From <https://www.stanford.edu/about/history/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (SAIL). (2019). *Stanford artificial intelligence laboratory brochure*. Stanford University School of Engineering/Computer Science Department. Retrieved from https://ai.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/SAIL_Brochure_2019_LowRes.pdf. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-c). *Stanford AI4ALL*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://ai.stanford.edu/outreach/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-d). *The SAIL-Toyota center*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://aicenter.stanford.edu/>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-e). *HAI: Stanford AI4ALL*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://hai.stanford.edu/stanford-ai4all>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-f). *HAI:About*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://hai.stanford.edu/about>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-g). *HAI: Policy Publications*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://hai.stanford.edu/policy/policy-publications>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Stanford University. (n.d.-h). *SAIL-Toyota center for AI research*. Stanford University. Retrieved from <https://aicenter.stanford.edu/research>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Swain, R. E. (1950). Ray Lyman Wilbur: 1875–1949. *Science*, 111(2883), 324–327. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1676885>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- U.S. News & World Report. (2024). *Artificial intelligence rankings*. U.S. News & World Report. Retrieved from <https://www.usnews.com/best-graduate-schools/top-science-schools/artificial-intelligence-rankings>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., ... & Li, Y. (2021). A review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 2021(1), 8812542. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542>. All online resources were retrieved on 01/25/2025.

Originality Note The author confirms that the manuscript is their original work, and if others' works are used, they are properly cited/quoted.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.