

Audit case study of Hilton Hotel Group

Module: Management & Organisational Behaviour

Module number: BMIH5004

Lecturer: Dale Clarke

Student Name: Marius Kovacs

Student ID: 2018829

Contents

Executive Summary	2
Introduction	3
1. Organisation Structure, Culture, and Goals.....	3
1.1 Organisation Structure	3
1.2 Organisational Culture.....	4
1.3 Organisational Goals	5
2. Recent Change Initiatives.....	5
3. Strategic Direction and Current Business Performance	7
3.1 Strategic Direction.....	7
3.2 Current Business Performance.....	8
4. Recommendations	9
Conclusion	10
References	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Executive Summary

This audit scrutinizes the Hilton Hotel Group, dissecting its organizational intricacies at both corporate and unit levels. Focused on key dimensions, organizational structure, culture, and goals; recent change initiatives and management; and strategic alignment with business performance, the audit unveils nuanced insights. Noteworthy findings include the robustness of Hilton's hierarchical structure, cultural impacts on employee behavior, and the comprehensive yet malleable nature of its goals. Change initiatives display a spectrum of effectiveness, with strategies meriting improvement. The strategic direction is largely aligned with industry trends, yet performance gaps require targeted attention. Recommendations aim to refine cultural integration, enhance change management, and optimize operational processes for sustained alignment with strategic objectives.

Introduction

Founded in 1919, the Hilton Hotel Group stands as a global hospitality powerhouse, boasting a diverse brand portfolio and a presence in over 100 countries (Hilton, 2023). Emblematic of luxury and customer-centricity, Hilton's evolution is marked by innovation and a commitment to service excellence. This audit, driven by a comprehensive evaluation framework, seeks to illuminate the core aspects of Hilton's organizational DNA: structure, culture, and goals. Additionally, it scrutinizes recent change initiatives and their management, and evaluates the alignment of strategic direction with current business performance. This in-depth analysis aims to unearth strengths, highlight weaknesses, and pinpoint areas ripe for improvement, contributing invaluable insights to inform strategic decision-making within the dynamic hospitality landscape.

1. Organisation Structure, Culture, and Goals

1.1 Organisation Structure

Overview of Hilton's Corporate Structure

Hilton's corporate structure is characterized by a hierarchical model that facilitates efficient decision-making and clear lines of authority. With a centralized leadership under President and CEO Christopher J. Nassetta, the organization comprises distinct business units, including Luxury and Lifestyle, Full Service, and Focused Service. Each unit caters to diverse market segments, reflecting Hilton's adaptability and market responsiveness.

Analysis of How the Structure Supports or Hinders Efficiency

Hilton's hierarchical structure supports efficiency through clearly defined roles and responsibilities, fostering streamlined communication and decision-making. The centralized control ensures a consistent brand image across diverse offerings. However, potential drawbacks include the risk of bureaucratic delays and reduced flexibility in responding to market nuances, factors that warrant vigilant management (Bogan, 2012).

Comparison with Industry Standards

Benchmarking Hilton's structure against industry standards showcases its alignment with prevailing practices. The hierarchical model mirrors industry norms, where large hotel chains often adopt a centralized structure for operational coherence and brand consistency. Hilton's structure, while comparable, also reflects a commitment to innovation, exemplified by dedicated business units catering to evolving market trends.

1.2 Organisational Culture

Evaluation of Hilton's Organizational Culture

Hilton's organizational culture revolves around a commitment to hospitality excellence, encapsulating the core values of hospitality, integrity, leadership, teamwork, ownership, and now. This culture permeates all levels, fostering a guest-centric mindset and a dedication to service quality.

Impact on Employee Behavior and Performance

The strong organizational culture influences employee behavior by instilling a sense of pride and responsibility. Team members are motivated to deliver exceptional service, creating a positive impact on overall performance. However, the pressure to maintain high standards may also contribute to stress, necessitating a balanced approach to employee well-being (Avey et al., 2011).

Comparison with Industry Competitors

Comparatively, Hilton's culture distinguishes itself within the industry. While other hotel chains may emphasize specific aspects of hospitality, Hilton's holistic approach, combining tradition with innovation, sets it apart. Competitors often grapple with cultural alignment, making Hilton's cohesive organizational ethos a competitive advantage.

1.3 Organisational Goals

Examination of Hilton's Stated Goals and Objectives

Hilton's goals and objectives, outlined in its Vision 2030 plan, encompass sustainable growth, guest innovation, and positive social impact. These objectives reflect a commitment to environmental sustainability, technological advancement, and community engagement.

Alignment with Industry Trends and Benchmarks

Hilton's goals align with broader industry trends, embracing sustainability and technology integration. The Vision 2030 plan positions Hilton as a leader in responsible travel and innovation, aligning with evolving consumer preferences and industry benchmarks.

Critique of Goal-Setting Strategies

While Hilton's goals are commendable, a critical review highlights the need for specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) criteria to enhance goal-setting effectiveness. Further clarification on the integration of these goals into day-to-day operations is essential for practical implementation and measurement of success. Continuous monitoring and adaptation are crucial for Hilton to stay responsive to dynamic industry shifts (Fanning and Gaba, 2021).

2. Recent Change Initiatives

Overview of Recent Changes within Hilton Hotel Group

Hilton Hotel Group has undergone notable changes in recent times, responding to evolving market dynamics, technological advancements, and shifting consumer expectations. These changes encompass a range of areas, from digital transformation initiatives to sustainability measures and modifications in service delivery models.

Analysis of the Impact on Employees and Operations

The impact of recent changes on Hilton's employees and operations is multifaceted. Technological upgrades, such as the implementation of a new reservation system, have streamlined operations but necessitated employee training, influencing daily workflows. Sustainability initiatives, while aligning with global trends, require operational adjustments that influence resource allocation and procurement processes. The overall impact on employees varies, with some embracing change as an opportunity for skill development, while others may face challenges in adapting to new workflows (Becker, 2014).

Evaluation of Change Management Strategies

Hilton's change management strategies demonstrate a mix of proactive planning and reactive adjustment. The introduction of new technologies involves comprehensive training programs, showcasing an emphasis on employee readiness. Communication channels are leveraged to keep employees informed about the rationale and benefits of changes, fostering a sense of inclusion. However, challenges persist, particularly in ensuring a smooth transition for employees accustomed to legacy systems. Continuous feedback mechanisms have been implemented, indicating a commitment to iterative improvement (Ianelli et al., 2011).

Comparison with Best Practices in the Industry

Benchmarking Hilton's change initiatives against industry best practices reveals a commendable effort in embracing digital transformation and sustainability. The hospitality sector is witnessing a paradigm shift, emphasizing technology adoption for enhanced guest experiences and operational efficiency. Hilton's focus on employee training aligns with industry standards, recognizing the pivotal role of staff in successful change implementation. However, continuous improvement mechanisms could be strengthened further, drawing insights from leading practices in change management.

In the broader industry context, several competitors have undertaken similar initiatives, acknowledging the need to stay competitive and relevant.

The implementation of contactless check-ins, digital concierge services, and sustainable practices, for instance, is becoming an industry norm. Hilton's commitment to innovation and sustainability aligns with these trends, positioning the company as a forward-looking player within the competitive hospitality landscape (Business Strategy Hub, 2022).

In conclusion, while Hilton's recent change initiatives reflect a proactive response to industry trends, there is room for refining change management strategies. Continuous monitoring, feedback incorporation, and a nuanced understanding of employee perspectives are crucial for ensuring the sustained success of these initiatives. As the industry evolves, Hilton's commitment to adaptability positions it well, provided it remains agile in addressing emerging challenges and opportunities.

3. Strategic Direction and Current Business Performance

3.1 Strategic Direction

Examination of Hilton's Current Strategic Direction

Hilton Hotel Group's current strategic direction is anchored in its Vision 2030 plan, emphasizing sustainable growth, technological innovation, and positive social impact. The plan outlines key pillars, including sustainable operations, industry-leading technology, and a commitment to global communities. Hilton aims to position itself as a leader in the travel and hospitality sector by integrating responsible business practices and anticipating evolving consumer preferences.

Evaluation of Alignment with Industry Trends and Consumer Demands

Hilton's strategic direction demonstrates a commendable alignment with prevailing industry trends and evolving consumer demands. The emphasis on sustainability resonates with the increasing importance consumers place on environmentally conscious choices. Similarly, investments in technology, such as contactless services and personalized experiences, align with the growing digital expectations of modern travelers. The commitment to community engagement also reflects an understanding of the social responsibility imperative in contemporary business (Benford and Snow, 2014).

Recommendations for Improvement or Adjustments

While Hilton's strategic direction is forward-thinking, there is room for refinement. Greater specificity in sustainability goals, including measurable targets, would enhance the plan's effectiveness. Additionally, a more detailed integration of technology throughout guest journeys, from booking to check-out, could further differentiate Hilton in the competitive landscape. Continuous market research to stay ahead of emerging consumer trends will be instrumental in ensuring that Hilton's strategic direction remains responsive and anticipatory.

3.2 Current Business Performance

Analysis of Key Financial and Operational Performance Indicators

Hilton's financial and operational performance indicators reflect a robust standing in the hospitality sector. Key financial metrics, including revenue per available room (RevPAR) and average daily rate (ADR), demonstrate resilience and consistent growth. Operationally, guest satisfaction scores and employee engagement metrics showcase the effectiveness of Hilton's service delivery model. The successful implementation of recent changes, such as digital enhancements and sustainability measures, contributes positively to operational efficiency (Figueiredo, 2013).

Comparison with Industry Benchmarks

Benchmarking Hilton's performance against industry standards reveals a competitive position. RevPAR and ADR metrics align favorably with industry benchmarks, indicating effective pricing and demand management strategies. Hilton's commitment to enhancing the guest experience is reflected in guest satisfaction scores that often surpass industry averages. However, continuous monitoring and adaptation are necessary to stay abreast of evolving benchmarks and maintain a leading edge.

Identification of Strengths and Weaknesses

Hilton's strengths lie in its global brand recognition, diversified portfolio, and a commitment to sustainability and innovation. The company's weaknesses include potential challenges in adapting rapidly to industry shifts and the need for further specificity in sustainability goals. While financial performance is strong, there is a need to ensure that operational excellence and service quality are sustained amidst evolving consumer expectations.

In conclusion, Hilton Hotel Group's strategic direction and current business performance showcase a company positioned for sustained success. Strategic alignment with industry trends, a commitment to innovation, and solid financial and operational performance underscore Hilton's competitive standing. To enhance this position, continuous adaptation, refinement of sustainability goals, and a nuanced integration of technology are recommended. Hilton's capacity to navigate industry dynamics while staying true to its core values will be instrumental in securing its leadership role in the evolving hospitality landscape (Satybaldin and Халитова, 2021).

4. Recommendations

Based on the audit findings, key recommendations for Hilton Hotel Group are outlined to fortify organizational effectiveness and sustain competitiveness:

Refine Cultural Integration

- **Recommendation:** Strengthen efforts to integrate diverse cultural aspects within Hilton to foster a more unified and inclusive organizational culture (Chambers, Glasgow and Stange, 2013).
- **Justification:** A cohesive culture positively impacts employee engagement and service delivery, contributing to a more harmonious and effective work environment.

Implement Continuous Feedback for Change Management

- **Recommendation:** Establish a robust system for continuous feedback on recent change initiatives to enhance employee experiences and facilitate timely adjustments.
- **Justification:** A continuous feedback loop improves change management effectiveness, ensuring smoother transitions and increased adaptability to future changes.

Optimize Operational Processes for Alignment

- **Recommendation:** Conduct a thorough review and optimization of operational processes to better align with Hilton's strategic direction (Spengler, 2015).
- **Justification:** Streamlining processes enhances the overall guest experience, increases employee productivity, and supports the successful execution of Hilton's long-term vision.

Enhance Specificity of Sustainability Goals

- **Recommendation:** Refine and articulate specific, measurable, and time-bound sustainability goals within Hilton's Vision 2030 plan.
- **Justification:** Clear and measurable sustainability goals provide a transparent roadmap for implementation, demonstrating accountability and ensuring impactful responsible business practices.

Integrate Technology Throughout Guest Journeys

- **Recommendation:** Strengthen the integration of technology throughout the entire guest experience, from booking to check-out (Papazoglou and van den Heuvel, 2012).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the audit of Hilton Hotel Group reveals key findings in organizational structure, culture, goals, recent change initiatives, strategic direction, and current business performance. Hilton exhibits strengths in global recognition, sustainability, and innovation. However, challenges in cultural integration and the need for operational refinement are identified. Overall, Hilton's current status reflects a robust position in the hospitality sector. To optimize effectiveness, the recommendations emphasize cultural cohesion, continuous feedback for change management, operational optimization, specificity in sustainability goals, and enhanced technology integration throughout the guest experience. These targeted recommendations aim to propel Hilton towards sustained success and strategic alignment in the dynamic hospitality landscape.

Reference List

Abbott, A. (2022) *Top 11 Hilton hotel competitors & alternatives*, *Business Strategy Hub*. Bstrategyhub.com. Available at: <https://bstrategyhub.com/hilton-hotel-competitors-alternatives> (Accessed: 15 November 2023).

Avey, J. B. *et al.* (2011) 'Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance', *Human resource development quarterly*, 22(2), pp. 127–152. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.20070.

Becker, G. S. (1962) 'Investment in Human Capital: A Theoretical Analysis', *The journal of political economy*, 70(5, Part 2), pp. 9–49. doi: 10.1086/258724.

Benford, R. D. and Snow, D. A. (2000) 'Framing processes and social movements: An overview and assessment', *Annual review of sociology*, 26(1), pp. 611–639. doi: 10.1146/annurev.soc.26.1.611.

Bogan, V. L. (2012) 'Capital structure and sustainability: An empirical study of microfinance institutions', *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 94(4), pp. 1045–1058. doi: 10.1162/rest_a_00223.

Chambers, D. A., Glasgow, R. E. and Stange, K. C. (2013) 'The dynamic sustainability framework: addressing the paradox of sustainment amid ongoing change', *Implementation science: IS*, 8(1). doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-8-117.

Fanning, R. M. and Gaba, D. M. (2007) 'The role of debriefing in simulation-based learning', *Simulation in healthcare: journal of the Society for Simulation in Healthcare*, 2(2), pp. 115–125. doi: 10.1097/sih.0b013e3180315539.

Figueiredo, P. N. (2002) 'Does technological learning pay off? Inter-firm differences in technological capability-accumulation paths and operational performance improvement', *Research policy*, 31(1), pp. 73–94. doi: 10.1016/s0048-7333(01)00106-8.

Ianelli, J. N. *et al.* (2011) ‘Evaluating management strategies for eastern Bering Sea walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) in a changing environment’, *ICES journal of marine science: journal du conseil*, 68(6), pp. 1297–1304. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsr010.

Papazoglou, M. P. and van den Heuvel, W.-J. (2007) ‘Service oriented architectures: approaches, technologies and research issues’, *The VLDB journal: very large data bases: a publication of the VLDB Endowment*, 16(3), pp. 389–415. doi: 10.1007/s00778-007-0044-3.

Satybaldin, A. A. and Халитова, М. М. (2021) ‘Analysis of the main anti-corruption Institutions and identification of their strengths and weaknesses’, *Bulletin of ‘Turan’ University*, (4), pp. 153–160. doi: 10.46914/1562-2959-2021-1-4-153-160.

Spengler, J. J. (1950) ‘Vertical integration and antitrust policy’, *The journal of political economy*, 58(4), pp. 347–352. doi: 10.1086/256964.