



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

University of Wales Trinity St Davids

Bachelor of Arts : International Business

Semester : January 2024

Module Code: BMLW5009 Contract Law

Level 5

Evaluate the Role of Consideration in Contract Law

Name	Stephanie Yong Mun Lei
Student ID	2244733
Email	2244733@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Lecturer	Zita Draper

Consideration is a key notion in contract law that governs the establishment and enforcement of agreements. This article will critically examine the role of consideration in contract law, including its historical evolution, present application, and function within the larger framework of contract enforcement. The appraisal of consideration will be carried out in order to offer a full grasp of the concerns, tracing their origins back to the rules of common law and then incorporating into current legal systems. The concerns are: the issues of pre-existing duty and moral consideration. A balanced strategy is required that recognises the importance of consideration while also allowing for adaptation and versatility to fit changing society standards and economic practices. Therefore, revised recommendations will be provided as examples of how to address concerns with consideration in contract law. Consideration is always along with offer, acceptance and intention to create legal relations which are crucial in a legal contract.

The establishment of a contract is a difficult but necessary process in the field of legal relationships, indicating the point whereby parties come together to generate enforceable duties and rights. [1] Formation of a contract is essentially a sequence of stages and factors that must be met for an agreement to be legally binding. It usually begins with an offer, in which one party makes a proposal to enter into a contract, describing particular terms, conditions, and considerations. This offer, once made, may be accepted by the other party, indicating unequivocal agreement to the suggested terms. Acceptance must accurately reflect the parameters of the offer and can frequently be expressed in a variety of ways, including verbal, written, or inferred acts. Consideration is required to demonstrate the contract's binding character. [2] Understanding the complexities of contract formation is critical because it sets the framework within which contractual rights and responsibilities are established, ensuring reliability in commercial and personal transactions alike.

Consideration refers to the amount paid in exchange for products or services within a contract, or an agreement to do (or not do) something in return. The price is generally money, although it might be anything of worth. Consideration of value is essential to make the contract legally binding, and it must be provided by the contractual party - not a third party. [3] Consideration is one crucial component in the construction of a contract, and it must be legally adequate for the contract to be enforced. For example, an employer's commitment to pay an employee's earned commissions at the time of termination is insufficient consideration

for a waiver of claims because staff are already constitutionally entitled to receive payment for earned incentives. [4]

To be legally legitimate, consideration must be either present (executed) or guaranteed in the future (executory). [5] Executory consideration consists of a promise to accomplish something in exchange for a similar commitment. Consideration is said to have been executed when one of the parties has fulfilled his end of the contract, allowing the other party to carry out his responsibilities under the contract. In the case of executed consideration, both the promise and the act are fundamental aspects of the transaction. The concept that consideration can never be past indicates that a promise to perform anything now in exchange for previously delivered services is not enforceable since there is no consideration at all. [6]

As previously stated, consideration must have sufficient legal worth to be considered 'valid consideration'. Consideration might involve a practical advantage you provide to the other individual or a disadvantage to yourself. Benefits to others might include money, a commitment to create artwork, or a legal claim to property, such as giving someone your car or house. English courts have adopted a wide interpretation of what constitutes contemplation. In one example, the court even stated that peppercorn may be an acceptable consideration. As a result, consideration criteria that include providing an advantage to the other person are typically simple to meet. [7] When drafting commercial contracts, you must fulfil the minimal consideration for the contract to be considered legitimate. The parties concerned must agree that the stated consideration terms are useful and acceptable. As a result, the court will not decide whether the contemplation was enough or not. [8]

One of the issues that need attention is the pre-existing duty issue. Pre-existing responsibility in contract law refers to instances in which a party is already obligated to do a specified activity. [9] If a previous duty is reaffirmed in a fresh contract, it might not be considered acceptable since it does not create a new obligation or advantage for the promisor. This concept guarantees that contracts are not created only on the basis of previously made promises, preserving the fairness and accuracy of contractual arrangements by necessitating genuine transfers of value between the parties.

In the case of *Stilk v. Myrick* (1809), a ship's crew left, leaving the captain with a personnel shortfall. In return, the captain offered the surviving crew members more pay if

they decided to finish the cruise and accomplish the deserters' tasks. However, once at the location, the captain broke his commitment and refused to pay the extra salary. The court determined that the promise of further earnings was invalid owing to a lack of consideration. As all of the crew members were already required to complete their tasks aboard the ship, their consent to continue working did not represent a new factor for the captain. As a result, the commitment lacked the requisite ingredient to establish a legitimate contract, demonstrating the concept that pre-existing responsibilities do not constitute acceptable compensation in contractual agreements. [10]

According to *Williams v. Roffey Bros. and Nicholls (Contractors) Ltd.* (1991), Roffey Bros. offered to pay Williams, a subcontractor, more money to ensure the swift conclusion of a building project. Williams claimed that this pledge lacked deliberation since he was just fulfilling a pre-existing contractual duty. However, the court determined that the promise of extra money was legitimate consideration. Despite Williams' pre-existing responsibility to perform the job, the court determined that the real-world benefit to Roffey Bros. of avoiding potential fines for late completion was sufficient consideration. This ruling enlarged the traditional idea of pre-existing responsibility by acknowledging the notion of practical utility as a legitimate factor. [11]

Reforming contract law to address the issues created by the pre-existing obligation issue necessitates a number of strategic methods aimed at improving justice and clarity while retaining contractual integrity. One option is to give clearer definitions and criteria for what comprises a pre-existing duty, allowing parties and courts to more easily determine its application in contractual agreements. Furthermore, requiring further consideration when a party promises to execute a pre-existing obligation in a new contract might guarantee that the promise provides new value or advantage to the promisor, thus strengthening the contract's enforceability. Furthermore, increasing courts' ability to review the merits of each case separately allows for more nuanced assessments of pre-existing obligation difficulties, enabling judges to assess both the justice and circumstances around the promise rather than imposing a hard rule. Modernising contract law to more accurately reflect modern commercial activities, as well as encouraging education and awareness of pre-existing obligation concerns among legal practitioners, firms, and individuals, can all help to avert misunderstandings and conflicts. By implementing these reform proposals, contract law may

adapt to the complicated nature of modern agreements while maintaining justice, equity, and contractual clarity.

The second situation is the moral consideration issue in contract law. It refers to instances in which commitments are made based on moral responsibilities or previous favours rather than actual advantages. Contracts created primarily on moral grounds are often invalid owing to a lack of legitimate deliberation. However, in some cases, exceptions may apply, permitting enforcement notwithstanding the lack of a physical exchange. This topic emphasises the contrast between ethical obligations and legal requirements in contractual partnerships, as well as the difficulty of establishing the legality of agreements based only on moral grounds. [12]

In *Eastwood v. Kenyon* (1840), a guardian paid expenditures to sustain his ward, a young girl, including her schooling and upkeep fees. When the ward reached maturity and inherited money, she vowed to compensate the guardian for any costs expended on her behalf. However, when she failed to keep her commitment, the guardian took action in court for breach of contract. The court ruled that the commitment to repay was unconstitutional due to a lack of regard. It reasoned that the guardian's activities were motivated by moral responsibilities and an existing obligation to care for the ward, rather than an exchange of advantages or an agreed-upon exchange. [13] As a result of the lack of proper consideration, the agreement lacked the basic ingredient required to constitute a legally binding contract, making it invalid under the law.

In *Re McArdle* (1951), a widow renovated the house she lived in with her late husband's brothers. Although the widow was under no legal responsibility to make these changes, the siblings informally agreed to reward her with £488 as a token of gratitude. Following completion of the repairs, the siblings broke their agreement, resulting in a court fight. The court acknowledged that, while the widow's actions started out motivated by moral reasons, the siblings' commitment to pay was acceptable consideration. Notably, the pledge was made after the improvements were done, creating a new contractual duty independent of any moral concerns. As a result, the court determined that the commitment was enforceable, emphasising the different nature of the commercial relationship made by the promise to pay, notwithstanding its moral gratitude roots. [14]

To solve the moral consideration issue, contract law reform requires a number of strategic methods aimed at striking a balance between moral responsibilities and legal enforceability. One proposal is to develop more specific principles or criteria for evaluating whether morally motivated commitments should be legally binding. This might include adopting particular criteria to differentiate between commitments made out of true moral obligation and those with insufficient legal validity. Furthermore, requiring some type of concrete compensation, even if little, might assist guarantee that pledges based on moral responsibilities are backed by genuine consideration. Furthermore, educating and raising awareness among contract parties and legal practitioners about the difference between moral and legal responsibilities might assist to avoid misunderstandings and disputes. Furthermore, giving courts more leeway to weigh the equities of each case separately might lead to more complex assessments of pledges based on moral concerns, allowing judges to review the fairness and conditions surrounding the promise. By following these reform recommendations, contract law may better handle the intricacies of moral responsibilities while maintaining the values of fairness, predictability, and enforceability in contractual interactions.

In conclusion, consideration is essential in contract law, guaranteeing that contractual agreements are legitimate and enforceable. Its significance roots from its position as the method by which parties establish their mutual consent and determination to be committed by the provisions of a contract. As previously stated, consideration ensures justice, equity, and contractual integrity by forcing parties to provide something of value in return for the promises of the other. The analysis of numerous concerns surrounding consideration, such as pre-existing responsibilities, and moral considerations, demonstrates that proper thought is critical for maintaining contract validity and enforceability. Reform proposals aimed at resolving these challenges, such as clearer norms, increased flexibility, and education campaigns, highlight the continuous attempts to modernise contract law while maintaining essential values of fairness and predictability. Thus, thought is essential in negotiating the complexities of contractual relationships, fostering trust, collaboration, and fairness among all parties involved. [15]

References

- [1] Marshall, E. (2019, October 15). *Formation of contract and enforcement of terms*. Walker Morris. Retrieved from <https://www.walkermorris.co.uk/in-brief/formation-of-contract-and-enforcement-of-terms-what-negotiators-need-to-know/>
- [2] *Formation of contracts*. (2024, August 3). Pinsent Masons. Retrieved from <https://www.pinsentmasons.com/out-law/guides/formation-of-contracts>
- [3] *Consideration in Contract Law*. (n.d.). Claims.Co.Uk TM. Retrieved March 12, 2024, from <https://www.claims.co.uk/knowledge-base/contract-law/consideration-in-contract>
- [4] *Consideration*. (n.d.). Practical Law. Retrieved March 12, 2024, from [http://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/7-503-9758?transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)&firstPage=true](http://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/7-503-9758?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default)&firstPage=true)
- [5] *Consideration: Legal Definition, Types, Requirements and Exceptions*. (2023, December 15). <https://juristopedia.com/consideration-legal-definition/>
- [6] *Contact us via LiveChat!* (n.d.). Retrieved March 11, 2024, from <https://secure.livechatinc.com/>
- [7] Kati, E. (2022, August 26). *What is Consideration in Contract Law?* | LegalVision UK. <https://legalvision.co.uk/commercial-contracts/consideration-contracts/>
- [8] LLC, O. B. of S. H. L. (2022, November 21). *What is valid consideration for a contract?* <https://www.steveharveylaw.com/blog/2022/11/valid-consideration-for-a-contract/>
- [9] *Contract Consideration: The Pre-Existing Duty Rule*. (n.d.). Retrieved March 15, 2024, from <https://www.lawshelf.com/shortvideoscontentview/contract-law-the-pre-existing-duty-rule/>
- [10] *Stilk v Myrick [1809] 2 Camp 317—Case Summary*. (2020, January 2). Oxbridge Notes. https://www.oxbridgenotes.co.uk/law_cases/stilk-v-myrick

[11] *Williams v Roffey Bros & Nicholls [1990] 1 All ER 512—Case Summary*. (2020, January 2). Oxbridge Notes. https://www.oxbridgenotes.co.uk/law_cases/williams-v-roffey-bros

[12] *Foundations of Law—Moral or Past Consideration*. (n.d.). Retrieved March 15, 2024, from <https://www.lawshelf.com/coursewarecontentview/moral-or-past-consideration>

[13] *Foundations of Law—Moral or Past Consideration*. (n.d.). Retrieved March 15, 2024, from <https://www.lawshelf.com/coursewarecontentview/moral-or-past-consideration>

[14] (DOC) *CASES ON CONSIDERATION Re McArdle (1951 | odeke isaac—Academia.edu*. (n.d.). Retrieved March 15, 2024, from https://www.academia.edu/37491386/CASES_ON_CONSIDERATION_Re_McArdle_1951

[15] *Consideration: Contract law: Meaning and types in commercial law*. (n.d.). Retrieved March 15, 2024, from <https://hallelis.co.uk/contractual-consideration/>