


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research
Methods (ECPS4001)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_credit_JC

Study Code: SWAN_credit_JC

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

__I was asked to complete a consent form. Right to withdraw was explained as well as data confidentiality.

Note:

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

As this was an online study, I didn't have the opportunity to discuss anything face to face with the researcher. I did however receive a debrief with information regarding the purpose and hypothesis of the study, as well as an email address to contact the researcher if I wished to.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I felt that this study was well structured, clear and easily understood.

Name (PRINT): John Rees

Sign: J.Rees

Date: 18.3.18

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

_ Firstly I completed 3 questionnaires; musical preference, mood and arousal. I then listened to 10 minutes of pop music, before completing mood and arousal questionnaires again.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I thoroughly enjoyed participating in this study and found it intriguing. I found it very interesting that my mood had changed for the better and I felt more alert after listening to the pop music for 10 minutes.

____ I was given a debrief form explaining the reasoning and hypothesis for the study. The researcher offered me time to discuss the study. I was also given an email address to contact the researcher if I wished to do so.

[illegible]

_I felt that there were no flaws or negative aspects to the study.

[illegible]

Sign: J. Rees

Date: 16.3.18

I was asked to view various images of males and females, and determine appropriate sentences for their related crimes. I was not fully aware of the purpose of this study until I received a debrief at the end, however I had an idea once id started.

[illegible]

I felt that I was giving fair sentences to individuals depending on the severity of the crime they were supposed to have committed, and I also believe I was non judgemental in regard to appearance or race. Despite this, I couldn't help but continuously question my decisions and wonder if ethnicity or appearance influenced my judgement in some way.

Online Study Study Code: SWAN_credit_CSL2

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

A description of what was expected of me was available prior to study commencement. I was also asked to provide consent, as well as my right to withdraw and data confidentiality.

[illegible]

Note:

[illegible]

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

I was asked to give consent to participate in the study as well as informed of my right to withdraw and data confidentiality explained.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I had to listen to 3 German sentences being firstly spoken, then sang to the tune of happy birthday. I then had to complete a jigsaw before being asked to recall as many German sentences as possible.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I enjoyed trying to learn phrases from another language but found it difficult to memorise anything after completing the jigsaw. I found it slightly uncomfortable trying to speak German phrases out loud.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

I was given a debrief form with information regarding the study. I was also given the opportunity to discuss the study with the researcher there or via email.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Perhaps a different melody or voice could be used to speak the German phrases in future studies. All other aspects of the study was well structured and planned.

Name (PRINT): John Rees

Sign: I Rees

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Study Four Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Three Study Code:

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard sheet of stationery.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

[illegible]

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

[illegible]

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical green margin line. The paper appears to be part of a notebook or a set of writing guides.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

[illegible]