

Assessment Details			
Academic Year	22/23	Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy
Date Of Submission	05/05/2023	Module Name	ACCB5029
Date Of Feed Back	26/05/2023		

Student Details			
Student Code	2021807/2	Plagiarism	NIL
Student First Name	JOSHUA JAMES		Comments Plagiarism not determined. Submitted to Gaynor. Zip
Student Surname	HARRIES		
Student Inst Email	2021807@student.uwtsd.ac.uk		
			Date Of Submission

Report with comments

Engagement with Literature Skills				0
Assessing Topics	Categorized Marks	Assessing Comments	Feed Back	Final Marks
Not Applicable	0			
Not Applicable	0			
Not Applicable	0			
Sub Total				0

Knowledge and Understanding Skills				15
Assessing Topics	Categorized Marks	Assessing Comments	Feed Back	Final Marks
Software Development and Design: Stage 1 - Introduction and Team Formation	2	Just attempted	To score high marks in this section you need to address, team formation, selection process for the scrum leader and other relevant roles, provide details about the GIT repository, and reflect on individual knowledge and understanding of the project.	
Software Development and Design: Stage 2 - Selecting SCRUM Leader and other Agile Team Roles	2	Just attempted	No team work documented. This assignment is not designed to work individually. Git repository link submitted. Attending the lectures and involving in the team work is the key for group activity like this.	
Software Development and Design: Stage 3 - GIT Repository (3.5, 3.6)	5	Very Good Work (80%)		4
Software Development and Design: Stage 4 - Individual Reflection - Your Knowledge and understanding about the project	6	Poor Work (30%)		1.8
This part can also include references, links etc	0			
Sub Total				5.8

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills				15
Assessing Topics	Categorized Marks	Assessing Comments	Feed Back	Final Marks
Software Development and Design: Stage 3 - Choosing Options with Justification and Objectives for the Task	4	Poor Work (30%)	To score high marks in this section, justify your project selection with clear objectives, provide evidence of project planning, cover future enhancement plans, and include individual reflections on troubleshooting skills and active involvement in finding solutions to problems.	1.2

Software Development and Design: Stage 3 - Project Planning Evidence and Future Enhancement	4	Poor Work (30%)	Justification on options given. More focus on project planning required. Future enhancement addressed.	1.2
Software Development and Design: Stage 4 - Individual Reflection - Your reflection on Troubleshooting Skills and Involvement in finding out solutions	7	Just attempted		
Sub Total				2.4

Practical Application Skills				45
Assessing Topics	Categorized Marks	Assessing Comments	Feed Back	Final Marks
Evidence of Design	6	Poor Work (30%)	To score high marks in this section, include evidence of design, source code, access to GitHub, implementation details, testing methods, successful execution of the application, and provide individual reflections on version control systems, supported by screenshots as evidence.	1.8
Source Code (based on errors or without errors, access to GitHub etc)	8	Satisfactory (50%)	Screenshots of the design/ code/ implementation/ documented. Did the code tested? Could have used automated testing. Created python code and used api. However, aspects like testing, version control etc lacks clarity.	4
Implementation evidence	8	Very Poor work (20%)		1.6
Test methods (application of CI/CD) etc	8	Just attempted		
Successful execution of the Application	5	Very Poor work (20%)		1
Software Development and Design: Stage 4 - Individual Reflection - Your reflection/ involvement in versions (VCS)	10	Very Poor work (20%)		2
This part includes screenshots, source code, github sharing with the lecture, test methods etc)	0			
Sub Total				10.4

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice				25
Assessing Topics	Categorized Marks	Assessing Comments	Feed Back	Final Marks
Logical order of ideas, Flow of ideas in the report from the beginning to end with appropriate chapters, sub chapters and continuation	1	Poor Work (30%)	To score high marks in this section, demonstrate a creative approach, showcase effective teamwork, provide evidence of collaborative problem evaluation, include individual reflections on lessons learned from working as a group, and ensure that the report is complete, of high quality, well-presented, and organized with a logical flow of ideas.	0.3
Professionalism, Spelling and Grammar, Complete Report, Proper Presentation, Quality of the report	1	Poor Work (30%)	Lack of team work. Needs more improvement. Working a group task individually needs more effort, knowledge and time. You are in a course and you have to involve considerable amount of time in doing the tasks for the module. Just because you can't contribute to a team doesn't pose any risk and can't be a preference to work alone.	0.3
Creative Approach, Team work, evidence of Collaborative evaluation of Problem	18	Just attempted		
Your reflection/ involvement in the project as a Team Member (What you learned from the project? What is your contribution etc)	5	Very Poor work (20%)		1
Sub Total				1.6

Total	20