

Literature Review – Student 2031185, Rhys Evans

The British police force, steeped in centuries of history, now patrols the beat in a landscape profoundly altered by the digital revolution. The introduction of technology into the realm of law enforcement has been both hugely beneficial and a source of contention, heralding a new era of policing that is as complex as it is efficient. As society wades deeper into the digital domain, the police service has been compelled to follow suit, equipping officers with an array of sophisticated tools that were once the stuff of science fiction.

The very essence of policing – to serve and protect – remains unchanged, but the means to that end have evolved dramatically. Gone are the days when the police officer's primary tools were a notebook and a keen sense of judgment. Today, they are supplemented, and in some cases replaced, by body cameras, drones, and algorithms that promise a safer, more transparent community.

This literature review seeks to navigate through the myriad of academic discourse that has arisen around these technological introductions. It will critically assess the literature that chronicles the adoption of technology in UK policing, examining the depth of its integration and its reception by those on the front lines as well as the community at large.

In tracing this evolution, the review will address the increased capacity for surveillance, the enhanced ability to predict and prevent crime, and the heightened standards for accountability. It will also give due consideration to the voices that caution against an overzealous embrace of these new tools, pointing out the potential for overreach and the erosion of civil liberties.

As this body of literature grows, so does our understanding of the delicate balance required to wield technology effectively within the policing domain. This review will endeavor to distill the collective wisdom of key studies, draw connections between them, and shine a light on the areas still shrouded in shadow, setting the stage for future research to illuminate the path forward.

Key Studies in Technological Advancements in Policing

The tapestry of technological integration within UK policing is rich and varied, woven through seminal research that provides both clarity and raises new questions. The pivotal study by Ariel, Farrar, and Sutherland (2015) on body-worn cameras serves not only as an empirical evaluation of these devices but also as a beacon in the discourse on law enforcement transparency. Their methodology, a randomized controlled trial, sets a robust standard for research in this field. However, this study is not without its complexities; while the results indicate a reduction in the use of force and complaints against the police, subsequent research has pointed to the nuanced nature of these interactions. It prompts the question of how officer discretion and public awareness of being filmed might alter behavior in both parties.

Further studies such as those by Garnett and Braga (2019) offer a different lens through which to view body-worn cameras. Their systematic review questions the unequivocal effectiveness of these devices, suggesting that anticipated improvements in community relations are not a guaranteed outcome. This highlights a broader issue in policing technology: the gap between potential and realized benefits. It underscores the need for a continuous feedback loop between policy, practice, and research to ensure that the deployment of such technologies truly serves the public interest.

The intricate dance between data collection and privacy is deftly explored in Babuta (2017) analysis of Big Data in policing. While championing the potential for data to revolutionize crime prediction and resource management, Babuta also acknowledges the challenges, echoing Ferguson (2017) concerns about algorithmic bias and the ethical conundrums presented by predictive policing. This dialogue reflects a growing awareness within the field of the need for ethical frameworks and oversight mechanisms to guide the use of Big Data in policing.

Turning the lens on public surveillance, Goold (2004) provides a thought-provoking examination of CCTV's role in policing public spaces in Britain. His critical analysis balances the technology's contributions to crime prevention against the potential for an intrusive surveillance state. The debate is further enriched by Norris and Armstrong (1999), whose earlier work on the surveillance society interrogates the normalization of public monitoring and its implications for civil liberties.

The strategic approach to digital integration in policing is outlined by the Police Digital Service and National Police Technology Council (2020) report, which details the UK government's vision for a modernized police force. This report provides a strategic framework for ethical digital policing. This document serves as guiding stars for operational practice, emphasizing the importance of upholding public trust while navigating digital transformation.

Each study, while contributing valuable insights into the use of technology in policing, also reveals the unfilled gaps in our understanding. They show us that technology's efficacy and societal acceptance are not static and must be continuously assessed in light of evolving legal frameworks, public sentiment, and the dynamic nature of crime itself. The international perspective, such as Chan's (2001) insights from Australian police departments, offers a comparative angle that can inform best practices in the UK context.

Objective 1: Unpacking the Tech-Toolkit of the UK Police

Embarking on a journey through the technological landscape of UK policing reveals a patchwork quilt of digital tools, each with its promise and pitfalls. At the forefront are body-worn cameras, which have been heralded for their potential to foster accountability and transparency within the force. The nuanced research by Ariel et al. (2015) opens up this conversation, not only by presenting quantitative reductions in use-of-force incidents but also by inviting qualitative reflections on how the presence of a recording device might alter the intricate dynamics of police-public encounters.

Yet, the toolkit extends beyond what is worn on the uniform. Babuta (2017) steers us towards the vast ocean of Big Data, where the predictive algorithms and databases lie in wait. The potential here is as vast as the data itself – from predictive policing to smarter allocation of resources. But Babuta's work is not a one-sided tribute; it serves as a reminder of the weighty responsibility that comes with wielding such powerful tools. It's a sentiment echoed by Ferguson (2017), whose critical stance on Big Data policing raises the specter of algorithmic bias and the ethical minefields that could ensnare the unwary.

Information systems, too, have found their place in the modern officer's arsenal, as Chan (2001) documents in his examination of their role in Australian police departments. While the context may differ, the lessons are universal, pointing to improved efficiency in crime analysis and a shift towards a more proactive form of policing.

As we traverse this digital terrain, the question arises: are these tools truly enhancing the policing experience? And to what extent are they being embraced by the officers themselves? Manning (2008) contemplates this, considering the rationality of crime control in an increasingly data-driven world. The study suggests that technology's adoption can sometimes be as much about the cultural shift within police departments as it is about the capabilities of the tools themselves.

In this objective, we see the technological toolkit of the UK police not as a static set of instruments but as a living, evolving entity. It is shaped by the hands that wield it and the society that both scrutinizes and relies upon it. Each piece of technology brings with it a narrative, one that is continually being written and rewritten as it is deployed in the line of duty.

Objective 2: Evaluating the Efficacy of Police Technologies

Stepping into the debate over the efficacy of these emergent tools, we encounter a landscape rife with varied findings and ongoing dialogue. The effectiveness of such technologies cannot be taken at face value; it requires rigorous scrutiny and a critical eye toward both the data and the lived experiences of those it affects. The work of Lum et al. (2011) provides a pivotal resource in this endeavor, introducing an evidence-based policing matrix that dissects the impact of technologies on police outcomes. Their matrix challenges the field to move beyond anecdotal success stories and examine the tangible, measurable impacts of these innovations.

Willis et al. (2004) delve into the Compstat model, which has been a linchpin in the realm of data-driven policing strategies. Compstat's emphasis on accountability and real-time problem-solving demonstrates the potential for technology to drive strategic decision-making in policing. However, the model is not without its critics, who question the pressure it may place on officers and the potential for statistics to overshadow community-oriented policing values.

Meanwhile, Goold (2004) takes a hard look at the omnipresent eye of CCTV. While the installation of cameras in public spaces was initially met with expectations of a significant deterrent effect on crime, the reality is more nuanced. Goold's analysis digs beneath the surface, examining not only the impact on crime rates but also the subtler effects on public behavior and societal norms.

The picture that emerges from this objective is one of cautious optimism, tempered with a healthy dose of skepticism. Garnett and Braga (2019) investigation into body-worn cameras highlights the gap that can exist between the intended use of technology and its actual effects within complex social systems. Their findings remind us that technologies are not absolute solutions; they are tools that must be wielded wisely and evaluated continually.

In synthesizing these studies, it becomes clear that while technology holds great promise for enhancing policing, its real-world application is laden with complexity. The effectiveness of these tools depends not just on their technological prowess but on the policies governing their use, the training of the officers using them, and the public's perception and acceptance of their role in society.

Objective 3: The Bigger Picture - Ethics and Public Perception

The deployment of technology in policing extends far beyond operational efficiency; it touches upon the foundational tenets of ethics and public trust. As such, the conversation about technology in law enforcement must also encompass how these tools are perceived by society and the ethical frameworks that guide their use.

The National Policing Digital Strategy (2020) is a critical starting point in this discourse, as it grapples with the need to maintain public trust as the policing service embraces more digital and data-driven methods. It recognizes that public support is essential for the effective use of technology in policing. The strategy proposes to enhance the citizen experience by offering more digital engagement channels and ensuring transparent and ethical handling of personal data, which could improve public perception by making interactions with the police more accessible and responsive.

Further, Ethical considerations are a significant focus within the strategy. There is a clear understanding that the use of data and technology comes with ethical implications, especially concerning privacy, data accuracy, bias, and decision-making informed by algorithms. The strategy aims to uphold the principle of "policing by consent" and ensure that technological advancements are employed responsibly and in a manner that maintains public trust and respects individual rights.

The dialogue around surveillance technologies, such as CCTV, as explored by Goold (2004) and Norris and Armstrong (1999), reveals a spectrum of public attitudes. While some citizens appreciate the sense of security these tools may bring, others are wary of the 'Big Brother' implications, fearing an encroachment into their private lives.

Trottier (2012) examination of social media as a policing tool further expands this ethical landscape. It illustrates the tension between the need for public safety and the potential for overreach into citizens' digital lives. Meanwhile, Bradford, Murphy, and Jackson (2014) address the potential for technology to influence the public's perception of the police. Their research suggests that while technology can reflect societal values and contribute to a sense of procedural justice, it may also exacerbate existing tensions if not implemented with community concerns in mind.

The literature converges on a poignant observation: the integration of technology into policing is not merely a functional evolution but a social experiment. Each new tool, each database, each camera installed has ramifications that ripple outwards, affecting how communities interact with their guardians, how information is collected and used, and how justice is both perceived and delivered.

Conclusion: Bridging the Gap Between Technological Promise and Practice

The comprehensive analysis of key studies in the technological transformation of UK policing reveals a richly textured understanding of the subject. The research collectively paints a picture of a law enforcement landscape in the midst of significant change, one that grapples with the rapid pace of digital innovation and its implications for society. The findings from studies by Ariel et al. (2015), Goold (2004), and the strategic report by the Police Digital Service (2020) and the NPCC (2020) offer invaluable insights into this transformation, each from their unique vantage points.

However, despite the depth of existing research, there remain notable gaps and areas ripe for further investigation. For instance, while body-worn cameras have been shown to influence police and public

behavior, questions linger about the long-term impacts on policing culture and the judicial process. Similarly, the use of Big Data and predictive analytics in policing, as discussed by Babuta (2017) and Ferguson (2017), is still in its infancy, with much to learn about the balance between effective law enforcement and individual rights.

Public surveillance, especially the use of CCTV and the emerging domain of social media monitoring, presents another area where more refined research is needed. The ethical considerations highlighted by Norris and Armstrong (1999) and Trottier (2012) underscore the need for a measured approach that respects privacy while leveraging technology for public good.

The literature review also points to a crucial requirement for a continuous dialogue between the police, policymakers, and the community. This dialogue should not only address the operational aspects of technology use in policing but also engage with deeper ethical considerations, such as those raised by Bradford, Murphy, and Jackson (2014). The aim should be to foster a policing model that is both effective and just, one that is viewed by the public as enhancing safety without eroding the liberties it seeks to protect.

The proposed study intends to fill these gaps by providing updated empirical data and a delicate analysis of the current state of technological adoption in UK policing. It will explore the effectiveness of these tools in the context of recent legal and societal developments, consider the ethical frameworks that govern their use, and evaluate public perception in an era of increasing digital surveillance.

In positioning this research within the existing literature, it is clear that while significant strides have been made in understanding technology's role in policing, there is still much ground to cover. The proposed study will not only add to the body of academic knowledge but also aims to inform the ongoing debate on the future of policing in a world where technology's role is ever-expanding and evolving.

By incorporating the findings from past studies and identifying the areas needing further exploration, this research will contribute to shaping a policing paradigm that is technologically advanced, ethically sound, and publicly endorsed. It is an endeavor that looks to bridge the gap between the promise of technology and the realities of its implementation in the day-to-day fabric of policing.

Bibliography

- Ariel, B., Farrar, W.A. and Sutherland, A., 2015. The effect of police body-worn cameras on use of force and citizens' complaints against the police: A randomized controlled trial. *"Journal of Quantitative Criminology"*, 31(3), pp.509-535.
- Babuta, A., 2017. Big Data and Policing: *"An Assessment of Law Enforcement Requirements, Expectations and Priorities"*. Royal United Services Institute for Defence and Security Studies.
- Bradford, B., Murphy, K. and Jackson, J., 2014. Officers as mirrors: Policing, procedural justice and the (re)production of social identity. *"The British Journal of Criminology"*, 54(4), pp.527-550.
- Chan, J., 2001. *"Technological Change and the Future of Policing: Information Systems and Crime Analysis in Australian Police Departments"*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Ferguson, A.G., 2017. *"The rise of big data policing: Surveillance, race, and the future of law enforcement"*. New York: NYU Press.
- Garnett, G. and Braga, A.A., 2019. The impact of body-worn cameras on police organizational outcomes: A systematic review. *"Journal of Criminal Justice"*, 60, pp.15-23.
- Goold, B.J., 2004. *"CCTV and policing: Public area surveillance and police practices in Britain"*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lum, C., Koper, C.S. and Telep, C.W., 2011. The evidence-based policing matrix. *"Journal of Experimental Criminology"*, 7(1), pp.3-26.
- Manning, P.K., 2008. *"The Technology of Policing: Crime Mapping, Information Technology, and the Rationality of Crime Control"*. New York: NYU Press.
- National Crime Agency, 2020. *"Emerging Technologies and their Impact on UK Law Enforcement Operations"*.
- National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC), 2020. *"National Policing Digital Strategy, Digital Data and Technology Strategy 2020-2030"*.
- Norris, C. and Armstrong, G., 1999. *"The maximum surveillance society: The rise of CCTV"*. Oxford: Berg Publishers.
- Police Digital Service, 2020. *"National Policing Digital Strategy, Digital Data and Technology Strategy 2020-2030"*.
- Trottier, D., 2012. Policing social media. *"Canadian Review of Sociology/Revue canadienne de sociologie"*, 49(4), pp.411-425.
- Willis, J.J., Mastrofski, S.D. and Weisburd, D., 2004. *"Compstat in practice: An in-depth analysis of three cities"*. Police Foundation.

