

45.

First marker, RH (RH 4/1/21)

The standard of this work is low compared to your first assignment. Perhaps you were pushed for time? In any case, it is quite rushed with claims put forward without a leading argument. Indeed, there is a lack of attention to detail in terms of the arguments but also in terms of the presentation.

The intro. in particular needs further attention - say how you'll approach the essay: which arguments & authors will you use?

A further consideration of G's cases is needed - what is it that makes a case a 'Gettier' one? Yes, here's the element of epistemic luck but also gallibing, making the conditions for knowledge necessary but not sufficient.

Overall, although this essay reveals some knowledge of relevant ideas & arguments and it draws in appropriate sources, referencing them throughout, the essay has quite a few deficiencies. But these could very well be overcome by addressing the above points.

Do feel free to get in touch if you'd like to discuss. Since you received 65% for your first assignment, you've passed the module, but happy to discuss if you want to.