

Laura Harris

Student Number: 2319676

Module: BMPF7323D

Word Count: 4,123

Critically evaluate a range of methodological approaches, research designs, and research methods appropriate for gathering data from complex work-based settings.

INTRODUCTION

The professional context for this discussion involves the vocal performance industry, where maintaining vocal health is crucial for sustained performance and career longevity.

In this assignment, I will refer to several groups of voice users: Occupational Voice Users (OVUs) are a group that includes teachers and group fitness instructors and are described as “workers who often need increased duration, loudness, and endurance of voice” (Aiken et al., 2022), while Professional Voice Users (PVUs) includes actors and singers, and describes “workers who may need increased duration, loudness, endurance of voice but also increased skill, versatility, artistry, and control of voice” (Aiken et al., 2022).

Understanding and supporting vocal health is crucial not only for singers but also for actors and voice actors. Continual research and the development of support methods are fundamental to ensuring long-term vocal health and performance sustainability. This is particularly paramount for two subgroups within that voice-acting community who depend on vocal endurance and quality for their livelihood (Lerner et al., 2013): Audiobook Narrators (ABNs) and Video Game Voice Artists (VGVA).

VGVA and ABNs face unique vocal demands that necessitate specialised research approaches to address and understand the sustained impact of vocal fatigue and even long-term damage, including laryngeal hyperfunction, vocal nodules, “laryngeal mucosa irritation, edema, benign mass lesions [and] haemorrhage” (Amir et al., 2013). This assignment aims to critically evaluate a range of methodological approaches, research designs, and research methods suitable for gathering data in this complex work-based setting.

RESEARCH FRAMEWORK

Ontology and epistemology are not only foundational components of research but also represent philosophical stances that significantly influence the selection of methodologies and methods employed in a study; as Al-Ababneh (2020) explains, “linking research and philosophical traditions helps researchers to clarify their research’s theoretical frameworks” (p.90). These philosophical underpinnings shape the researchers’ approach to understanding and investigating phenomena, guiding the overall research design and ensuring coherence between the theoretical framework and the research methods used.

Ontology

Ontology refers to the study of being and reality, addressing the nature of what exists and can be known (Guba & Lincoln, 2017). In research, ontology shapes our understanding of the nature of phenomena under investigation, influencing how we perceive and interact with the subject matter (Crotty, 2015; Blaikie & Priest, 2019). Key ontological positions include Realism and Relativism, each offering a distinct perspective on the existence and nature of reality.

Realism asserts that reality exists independently of human perception, with Edelheim (2015) noting, “Realism assumes that there is a reality out there that can be objectively measured and understood, irrespective of individual biases or perspectives” (p.45). In relation to a study of vocal fatigue among voice artists, realism would posit that vocal strain is a measurable phenomenon that exists regardless of individual perceptions or interpretations (Patton, 2015; Hammersley, 2018).

Quantitative measures such as vocal load and frequency can be employed to objectively assess this reality, providing empirical evidence that is not influenced by personal bias. As Yin (2018) emphasises, “The strength of quantitative research lies in its ability to produce precise, measurable, and replicable findings, allowing researchers to establish clear relationships and causality” (p.34); similarly, Mertens (2020) underscores that “quantitative methods provide a systematic approach to assessing reality, minimising subjective interpretation through statistical rigour” (p.67).

As noted by Cohen, Manion, and Morrison (2018), “Realist research seeks to uncover objective truths through systematic observation and measurement, ensuring that findings are not influenced by the researchers’ subjectivity” (p.30). Therefore, in our example investigation, utilising equipment to monitor vocal strain and measure the frequency and intensity of voice use objectively would represent a realist approach to the study.

Relativism, however, suggests that reality is constructed and varies depending on perspectives and contexts (Crotty, 2015; Burr, 2015). From this viewpoint, reality is not fixed but rather shaped by social, cultural, and personal contexts, meaning that different people may experience the same phenomenon in diverse ways (Guba & Lincoln, 2017; Denzin & Lincoln, 2018). As Burr (2015)

articulates, “Relativism posits that there are multiple realities, each shaped by different perspectives and contexts” (p.21). In the same study of vocal fatigue, a relativist might argue that the experience of vocal strain is subjective and can differ based on individual perceptions, cultural backgrounds, and personal contexts (Silverman, 2020). From this stance, qualitative methods are more effective in capturing and understanding these varying experiences, allowing researchers to explore the nuanced and context-dependent nature of vocal fatigue (Mason, 2018; Bryman, 2021). Conducting interviews with voice artists to explore how they personally experience and describe vocal fatigue would constitute a Relativist approach, emphasising the importance of personal narratives and subjective interpretations (Denzin & Lincoln, 2018; Guba & Lincoln, 2017).

Epistemology

Epistemology concerns the nature and scope of knowledge. It examines the relationship between the researcher and what is being studied, questioning how we come to know what we know (Crotty, 2015). As Blaikie and Priest (2019) assert, “Epistemology is fundamental in shaping how researchers conceptualise the world, influencing the questions they ask and the methods they use” (p.24). Epistemological positions such as positivism and interpretivism guide researchers in selecting appropriate methods for data collection and analysis.

Positivism relies on observable and measurable facts, typically favouring quantitative methods. Mertens (2020) notes that “Positivism is rooted in the belief that reality can be objectively measured, with statistical analysis providing a rigorous way to uncover truths about the social world” (p.35). In research on vocal fatigue, a Positivist approach would involve using statistical analysis of vocal load data to draw conclusions about vocal strain. For example, as Yin (2018) states, “Quantitative research allows for precise measurement and analysis of variables, enabling researchers to establish clear relationships and causality” (p.50).

Interpretivism emphasises understanding the meaning and experiences behind social phenomena, often employing qualitative methods. According to Denzin and Lincoln (2018), “Interpretivist research seeks to delve into the subjective meanings and experiences of participants, acknowledging that reality is constructed through social interactions” (p.56). In the context of vocal fatigue research, an interpretivist approach would focus on understanding the personal experiences and coping strategies of voice artists through interviews, observations, and focus groups. Crotty (2015) highlights that “Interpretivism values the depth and richness of qualitative data, which provides insights into the lived experiences of individuals” (p. 67).

Along with positivism and interpretivism, an additional strand of dynamic epistemological investigation is pragmatism. This philosophical tradition originated in the late 19th and early 20th centuries with thinkers like Charles Sanders Peirce, William James, and John Dewey. Pragmatism is concerned with the practical consequences of knowledge and ideas. It posits that the truth of an

idea or theory lies in its observable and practical effects (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018; Morgan, 2007; Johnson & Onwuegbuzie, 2004).

As an epistemological position, pragmatism values practical solutions and the use of multiple methods to address research questions effectively. It emphasises flexibility, contextual understanding, and problem-solving, making it a versatile approach for complex research scenarios. By adopting a pragmatic stance, researchers can leverage the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative methods to gain a comprehensive understanding of their research problems and generate actionable insights (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016)

Through critically evaluating the literature and gaining an understanding of both ontological and epistemological approaches, I propose two positions as being most conducive to conducting research on voice and vocal fatigue measures in our identified research population (VGVAs and ABNs). These positions are (1) Realist Ontological and (2) Pragmatic Epistemological.

A realist ontological stance primarily supports the use of quantitative measures (vocal load, frequency, and intensity) to assess vocal health objectively and aligns with the definitions of realist ontological approaches as described by Edelheim (2015) and Crotty (2015). As Crotty (2015) emphasises, “Realist ontology demands that researchers seek objective truth through empirical observation and measurement, striving to uncover facts that exist independently of personal biases and perceptions” (p. 27).

Adopting a Pragmatic Epistemological stance, however, could be equally beneficial for this research context. Pragmatism allows for the integration of both positivist and interpretivist approaches, recognising the value of multiple methods to address complex research questions. This stance is particularly relevant in studying vocal fatigue, where both objective measurements and subjective experiences provide essential insights.

For instance, quantitative methods such as acoustic analysis and vocal assessment tools can objectively measure vocal fatigue, while qualitative methods such as interviews and focus groups can capture the personal experiences and coping strategies of voice artists. These methods will be discussed in more detail later in this work. By integrating these approaches, this mixed-methods strategy ensures a holistic understanding of vocal health, aligning with the pragmatic epistemological perspective as discussed by Creswell & Plano Clark (2018)

QUANTITATIVE, QUALITATIVE AND MIXED METHODS RESEARCH

Appendix 1 (p.15) provides a list of research methods. In this section, I will discuss the processes, strengths, limitations, and practical applications of quantitative, qualitative, and mixed research methods.

Approach	Strengths	Limitations
Quantitative	Provides measurable and comparable data. Enables statistical analysis and generalisability.	May overlook contextual depth and complexity. Relies on operational definitions that can be restrictive.
Qualitative	Offers deep, contextual insights into participants' experiences. Flexible and adaptable to context.	Findings often not generalisable. Data collection and analysis are time-consuming and complex.
Mixed Methods	Combines strengths of both quantitative and qualitative methods. Provides a comprehensive understanding.	Resource-intensive. Requires expertise in both methodologies. Integration and interpretation can be complex.

Quantitative Research:

Quantitative methods can be used to objectively measure vocal load, frequency, and intensity among voice artists. This approach allows for the identification of patterns and trends, leading to data-driven recommendations for reducing vocal fatigue.

In a study by Smith et al. (2015), surveys and statistical analysis were used to measure the effectiveness of vocal training programs. The researchers distributed structured questionnaires to a large sample of voice artists to gather quantitative data on various aspects of vocal training, including vocal endurance, range, and overall vocal health. The statistical analysis revealed that structured vocal training programs significantly improved vocal endurance and range among participants. The study also found a reduction in vocal fatigue incidents, indicating the effectiveness of these programs in promoting vocal health.

The quantitative data was particularly valuable because it allowed the researchers to objectively assess changes in vocal performance and health across a large sample. By using statistical tools, they were able to identify significant trends and relationships that might not have been apparent through qualitative methods alone. This objectivity is crucial in establishing the efficacy of vocal training programs, as it reduces the influence of individual biases and perceptions. Moreover, the ability to generalise findings from a large sample means that the conclusions drawn can be applied more broadly within the voice artist community. This, in turn, enables the development of standardised recommendations for vocal training that are based on empirical evidence, ensuring that interventions are both effective and widely applicable.

Qualitative Research:

Qualitative methods can provide rich, detailed insights into how voice artists experience vocal fatigue and their coping strategies. Interviews and focus groups can uncover personal narratives that inform tailored interventions and support mechanisms.

Jones (2017) conducted in-depth interviews to explore the personal experiences of voice-over artists with vocal fatigue. Through a series of semi-structured interviews, the researcher gathered rich, detailed narratives from participants about their struggles with vocal fatigue. The findings highlighted the subjective nature of vocal fatigue, with artists describing it as a multifaceted issue influenced by long recording sessions, inadequate rest, and stressful working conditions. The study provided insights into the coping strategies employed by voice-over artists, such as vocal rest, hydration, and specific vocal exercises, underscoring the importance of individualised approaches to managing vocal fatigue.

The qualitative data was particularly valuable because it allowed for a deep exploration of the personal and often complex experiences of voice-over artists. Unlike quantitative methods, which focus on measurable data, qualitative methods are well-suited to capturing the nuanced and multifaceted nature of vocal fatigue as it is lived and perceived by individuals. This approach enabled Jones to uncover the specific contexts and emotional aspects of vocal fatigue that would be difficult to quantify, such as the stress and anxiety associated with prolonged vocal strain. Additionally, these qualitative methods allowed for the identification of coping strategies directly from the artists' perspectives, providing a foundation for developing tailored interventions that are responsive to the unique needs of each artist. By focusing on personal narratives, the study was able to highlight the diversity of experiences and solutions, emphasising that effective management of vocal fatigue requires a personalised approach rather than a one-size-fits-all solution.

Mixed Methods Research:

Combining quantitative measurements with qualitative insights can provide a nuanced view of how vocal strain develops and persists. This comprehensive approach can lead to practical recommendations for prevention and management, enhancing vocal health in my professional practice.

Brown and Green (2019) integrated survey data with focus group discussions to examine both the prevalence and personal impact of vocal strain among actors. The quantitative phase involved a survey distributed to a broad sample of actors to quantify the prevalence of vocal strain and identify common contributing factors. The qualitative phase consisted of focus group discussions, where participants elaborated on their personal experiences and the impact of vocal strain on their professional and personal lives. The combined findings indicated a high prevalence of vocal strain among actors, with significant personal and professional impacts, such as reduced performance

quality and emotional stress. The study emphasised the need for comprehensive support systems and preventive measures to address vocal strain in the acting profession.

These studies demonstrate the value of different research methodologies in understanding and addressing vocal health issues among voice professionals. Quantitative methods provided measurable evidence of training effectiveness, qualitative methods offered deep insights into personal experiences and mixed methods combined these strengths to deliver a comprehensive understanding of vocal strain's prevalence and impact.

In the paper *Linking Ontology, Epistemology and Research Methodology*, Al-Ababneh (2020) examines the interrelationships between these philosophical positions and provides a compelling and critical analysis of how these stances influence research methodology and methods, emphasising the importance of aligning them to ensure a coherent and rigorous research design.

When determining an appropriate research design, it is crucial to consider the underlying research paradigms that shape the design and guide the researcher in selecting the most suitable methodologies and methods. These paradigms provide a framework that influences the overall approach to the research and ensures coherence between the research questions, methodology, and methods used (Guba & Lincoln, 1994; Creswell, 2013).

RESEARCH PARADIGMS AND METHODOLOGIES

Appendix 2 (p.16) provides a comprehensive, though not exhaustive, list of paradigms and methodologies.

Regarding an exploration into the effect of vocal fatigue on PVUs, particularly ABNs and VGVAAs, I have identified Phenomenology, Grounded Theory, Ethnography, and Action Research as potential paradigms for the research I would look to plan, develop, and undertake.

I have selected these paradigms based on the following considerations:

Phenomenology

The focus of Phenomenology revolves around understanding the lived experiences of individuals. As a research paradigm, its aim is to explore how people perceive and make sense of their experiences. As Creswell (2013) notes, "Phenomenological research is a strategy of inquiry in which the researcher identifies the essence of human experiences about a phenomenon as described by participants" (p.13).

Strengths	Limitations
Provides deep insights into personal and subjective experiences.	Findings are often not generalisable.
Helps uncover the essence of phenomena as experienced by individuals.	Data collection and analysis can be time-consuming and complex.
Suitable for exploring complex, nuanced aspects of vocal health.	Requires skilled interpretation to avoid researcher bias.

This paradigm is particularly well-suited for exploring the lived experiences of ABNs and VGVAs regarding vocal fatigue and vocal health. By focusing on these individuals' subjective experiences, phenomenology allows for a deep exploration of how vocal fatigue affects not only their professional performance but also their personal well-being. This approach is crucial for understanding the nuanced and often personal challenges a participant faces that may not be fully captured by more objective measures (Creswell, 2013).

Grounded Theory

According to Charmaz (2006), "Grounded theory methods consist of systematic, yet flexible guidelines for collecting and analysing qualitative data to construct theories grounded in the data themselves" (p.2). This approach balances structure with adaptability, making it particularly well-suited for developing new theoretical insights from the empirical data collected. This is particularly important in contexts where the research is exploratory or where existing theories may not fully explain the phenomena under study.

Strengths	Limitations
Generates theory that is closely linked to empirical data.	Time-intensive and requires rigorous data coding and analysis.
Flexible and iterative, allowing for the refinement of research questions.	The emergent nature of theory can make initial research planning challenging.
Can reveal underlying processes and patterns in vocal fatigue.	Requires a high level of methodological expertise.

Grounded Theory is valuable for generating new theories based on empirical data. Since the specific experiences and challenges of vocal fatigue in ABNs and VGVAs may not be well-documented in existing literature, this paradigm provides a structured method for developing a

theory that is grounded in the data collected from these professionals. This is particularly important for identifying patterns, processes, and potential interventions that are directly informed by the experiences of the participants (Charmaz, 2006).

Ethnography

Ethnography involves the immersive study of people and cultures and, therefore, allows for an immersive study of the cultural and social contexts in which ABNs and VGVAAs work. Ethnographic research aims to understand the social context and practices that shape individuals' experiences (Pink, 2015). As Hammersley and Atkinson (2007) explain, the ethnographic approach as “a form of social research ... seeks to understand the social meanings and activities of people in a given ‘field’ or setting” (p.3).

Strengths	Limitations
Provides comprehensive, context-rich data.	Time-consuming and requires prolonged fieldwork.
Captures the complexity of social interactions and cultural practices.	Potential for researcher bias due to close involvement with participants.
Useful for studying environments where vocal fatigue occurs.	Findings may be difficult to generalize beyond the studied context.

Ethnography can be used to study the environments and practices of voice artists in detail. By observing and interacting with these professionals in their natural work environments, such as recording studios and performance spaces, Ethnography can reveal the social practices, environmental factors, and cultural norms that contribute to vocal fatigue. This approach emphasises an understanding of how these contexts influence vocal health environmentally and culturally and can be used to develop interventions that are sensitive to the specific work environments of these professionals, leading to actionable insights for creating healthier vocal practices and work environments based on the *environmental*, social and cultural experience of the participants and the insights gained by the researcher.

Action Research

Action Research is a participatory and iterative approach that focuses on solving practical problems through cycles of planning, acting, observing, and reflecting. It involves collaboration between researchers and participants to implement and evaluate interventions aimed at improving practices and outcomes (Kemmis & McTaggart, 2005).

In the context of vocal fatigue, this paradigm allows for the immediate application and evaluation of interventions aimed at reducing vocal strain among ABNs and VGVAAs. Action Research not only

seeks to understand the issue but also to enact change, making it a dynamic and applied research approach that is well-suited to the needs of this professional group.

Strengths	Limitations
Practical Application: Directly addresses real-world problems by involving practitioners in the research process.	Time-Consuming: The iterative cycles of planning, action, and reflection can be lengthy and resource-intensive.
Empowerment: Engages participants, fostering a sense of ownership and empowerment.	Potential Bias: Close involvement of researchers and participants may introduce bias and affect objectivity.
Flexible and Adaptive: Allows for adjustments and refinements throughout the research process based on ongoing feedback and observations.	Complexity: Managing collaborative efforts and ensuring effective communication can be challenging.
Promotes Change: Aims not only to understand issues but also to bring about tangible improvements in practice.	Generalisability: Findings are often context-specific and may need to be more easily generalisable to other settings.

As action research is both ever-evolving and goal-oriented through tracking and implementation, this approach is not only concerned with practical solutions but also emphasises collaboration between researchers and participants to effect meaningful change. As described by Gullion and Tilton (2020), 'Community-based action research is a goal-oriented approach to social change ... the major difference between this type of research and other justice-oriented approaches is the collaborative aspect' (p.70).

By actively involving voice professionals in the research process, tailored interventions can be developed, implemented, and evaluated in real-world settings such as recording studios, rehearsal spaces, and performance environments. This approach facilitates continuous feedback and adaptation, ensuring that the strategies devised are practical and effective in addressing the specific needs and challenges faced by voice artists.

Insider Research

In considering how to effectively conduct such research, it is also essential to explore the roles of insider and outsider researchers. Each stance offers unique advantages and potential challenges that can significantly impact the research process and outcomes.

Insider research is conducted by individuals who are part of the group or community being investigated. These researchers have a personal connection or active participation within the community, which provides them with an in-depth understanding of the community's norms, values,

and practices. This insider status facilitates the establishment of trust and rapport with participants, often leading to richer and more accurate data (Mercer, 2007). The existing relationships and trust within the community can result in easier access to participants and more candid responses (Brannick & Coghlan, 2007). Additionally, insiders are typically more attuned to the community's context and subtleties, which can enhance the relevance and specificity of the data collected (Unluer, 2012).

Outsider Research

Outsider research is conducted by researchers who do not belong to the group or community being studied. These researchers maintain a more detached and objective stance, offering a fresh perspective and potentially noticing aspects of the community that insiders might overlook (Gobo, 2008). This critical distance can help reduce bias and challenge assumptions that may be taken for granted by insiders (DeLyser, 2001). Furthermore, the clearly defined roles of researcher and participant in outsider research help reduce the potential for ethical conflicts (Merton, 1972).

However, Beals et al. (2019), while discussing Pike's position on insider/outsider research on *Language in Relation to a Unified Theory of the Structure of Human Behaviour* (1954), highlight Pike's stance that "all researchers enter the cultural settings of their participants with their own emic (particular) point of view" (p.5). They go on to examine how even outsider researchers, despite their initial detachment, may find their own perspectives influencing the research as they become more immersed in the community and context they are studying. Pike argues that this point of view must be suspended during the research process to avoid biasing the findings (Pike, 1954).

This raises the question of whether true objectivity is ever fully attainable in outsider research, as the boundaries between insider and outsider can become blurred over time and with researcher investment in the given area of study. Sherif (2001) further contributes to this discussion by noting that "the relationship between the 'Self' and the 'Other' is not a clear-cut binary distinction, making it increasingly difficult to distinguish who is the researcher and what is being studied" (p.437).

Hybrid Approaches and Application to Professional Context

Combining insider and outsider perspectives through collaborative research teams can leverage the strengths of both stances. As noted by Banks et al. (2013), this hybrid approach can offer a more holistic understanding of the research subject, as it integrates the nuanced insights of insiders with the critical distance of outsiders. Collaboration between insiders and outsiders "can lead to a richer, more multi-faceted analysis that addresses both the depth of individual experiences and broader contextual factors" (p.265).

Breen (2007) highlights the importance of reflexivity in practice, stating the importance of considering "how partnerships are established, power is distributed, and control exerted" (p.164).

Researchers must continuously reflect on their positionality, biases, and the impact of their presence on the research process. This self-awareness is crucial in addressing ethical dilemmas and ensuring the integrity of the research.

Reflexivity involves being critically aware of how one's background, perspectives, and interactions with participants may shape the research outcomes. This self-awareness helps in identifying potential biases and ensuring that the research remains as objective and rigorous as possible. It also involves engaging with participants in a manner that respects their experiences and perspectives, thus enhancing the validity and reliability of the findings.

Breen suggests that the ethical challenges of insider and outsider research can be navigated through careful reflection, ethical rigour, and an understanding of the researcher's evolving role throughout the study and presents the case that rather than an either/or dichotomy of insider or outsider, "the role of the researcher is better conceptualised on a continuum" (p.163). Breen discusses the benefits of this middle-ground continuum in relation to a study of grief experience responses following fatal crashes in Western Australia and posits that holding a position which is neither entirely insider nor outsider as a researcher maximises the advantages and minimises the disadvantages connected with both (Breen, 2007).

Maintaining ethical rigour, transparency, and respect for participants is crucial, regardless of the researcher's positionality (Breen, 2007). Ethical rigour includes obtaining informed consent, ensuring confidentiality, and being transparent about the research goals and methods. It also involves being honest about the researcher's role and the potential impacts of their involvement on the community. Transparency helps in building trust with participants, which is essential for obtaining accurate and candid data. Respecting participants means valuing their input, addressing their concerns, and ensuring that the research does not harm them in any way.

In studying vocal fatigue among voice artists, an insider researcher who is themselves a voice artist can provide valuable insights into the lived experiences and nuanced challenges of vocal fatigue. They can capture the intricacies of vocal strain from a personal perspective, offering depth and context to the findings. Their intimate knowledge of the profession can help in identifying specific stressors and coping mechanisms that might not be apparent to outsiders.

However, combining both insider and outsider research approaches - or building a mixed insider/outsider research team - could enrich the study, ensuring comprehensive and balanced findings. By leveraging the strengths of both insider and outsider perspectives, the research can address the complex, multi-dimensional nature of vocal fatigue more effectively, leading to sustainable improvements in vocal health and performance, along with more effective interventions and support mechanisms for voice professionals.

CONCLUSION

This assignment critically evaluates a range of methodological approaches, research designs, and research methods appropriate for gathering data in complex work-based settings, with a particular focus on PVUs in the vocal performance industry. The nuanced demands of vocal health VGVA's and ABNs necessitate a robust and comprehensive research framework.

Establishing the foundational perspectives that influence research design through an examination of ontological and epistemological positions, I identified the realist ontological position and pragmatic epistemological stance as particularly suitable for this research context, as each position supports both quantitative and qualitative methods to provide a holistic understanding of vocal fatigue.

Through statistical analysis and structured surveys, quantitative research offers measurable evidence of vocal training effectiveness and patterns in vocal strain, which is crucial for developing standardised vocal health guidelines in voice pedagogy. This approach allows educators and researchers to identify trends in vocal fatigue across different populations of voice professionals, such as actors, singers, and voice-over artists. Qualitative research, on the other hand, provides rich, contextual insights into personal experiences and coping strategies, which are essential for understanding the subjective nature of vocal fatigue and the unique challenges faced by individuals in vocally demanding professions. These insights are invaluable in tailoring vocal training programs to address the specific needs of different groups, ensuring that interventions are not only effective but also resonate with the lived experiences of the performers. Mixed methods research, integrating both approaches, ensures a comprehensive analysis, combining objective measurements with personal narratives. This holistic approach is particularly effective in voice pedagogy, where understanding both the physiological and psychological aspects of vocal health is key to fostering sustainable vocal practices and preventing long-term damage.

The evaluation of various research paradigms, including phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, and action research, highlights their respective strengths and limitations. In the context of voice pedagogy and vocal health, these paradigms offer unique insights and practical applications. Phenomenology provides deep insights into the lived experiences of voice professionals, such as actors, singers, and voice-over artists, allowing researchers to explore how vocal fatigue and strain are perceived and managed by individuals, while Grounded Theory is beneficial for uncovering underlying processes and patterns in vocal strain, helping to develop new theoretical frameworks that can inform evidence-based practices in vocal training and therapy.

Ethnography offers a detailed understanding of the social contexts and practices within which voice professionals operate, such as the dynamics of rehearsal spaces, recording studios, and live performance environments. This approach is particularly useful for identifying environmental and

cultural factors that contribute to vocal health challenges, thereby informing the development of supportive work practices and environments.

Action Research, with its participatory and iterative approach, proves particularly useful in developing, implementing, and evaluating tailored interventions in real-world settings. By involving voice professionals in the research process, Action Research ensures that the interventions are directly relevant and practically applicable, leading to sustainable improvements in vocal health and performance. This paradigm is especially valuable in voice pedagogy, where continuous feedback and adaptation are crucial for addressing the evolving needs of voice users and maintaining long-term vocal wellness.

By leveraging the strengths of various paradigms and methods, researchers can generate actionable insights to improve vocal health, enhance performance, and reduce the incidence of vocal fatigue, ensuring the longevity and well-being of voice artists who depend on their vocal instrument to sustain their livelihood. To return to Al-Ababneh's (2020) observation, "Linking research and philosophical traditions helps researchers to clarify their research's theoretical frameworks, ensuring that the methodologies chosen are not only scientifically robust but also ethically sound and practically applicable" (p.90). This alignment of theory and practice is crucial in developing interventions that are both effective and sustainable and that ultimately support the long-term success of voice professionals for whom their vocal instrument is their livelihood.

APPENDIX 1:

TYPES OF RESEARCH METHODS:

Qualitative Methods:

Interviews: In-depth, semi-structured, or structured conversations that allow for detailed exploration of participants' experiences and perspectives.

Focus Groups: Group discussions guided by a facilitator to gather diverse opinions and insights on a specific topic.

Observations: Systematic recording of behaviours and interactions in natural settings, often used in ethnographic studies.

Case Studies: In-depth analysis of a single case or a small number of cases within their real-life context.

Content Analysis: Systematic coding and interpretation of textual data to identify themes, patterns, or trends.

Quantitative Methods:

Surveys/Questionnaires: Structured instruments with closed or open-ended questions designed to collect data from a large number of respondents.

Experiments: Controlled studies where variables are manipulated to observe their effect on other variables, often to establish causality.

Statistical Analysis: Application of statistical techniques to analyse numerical data and draw inferences or test hypotheses.

Secondary Data Analysis: Use of existing data collected for other purposes to conduct a new analysis.

Mixed Methods:

Convergent Parallel Design: Collecting both qualitative and quantitative data simultaneously, then integrating the findings.

Sequential Explanatory Design: Quantitative data is collected and analysed first, followed by qualitative data to explain or build upon the quantitative results.

Sequential Exploratory Design: Qualitative data is collected and analysed first to explore a phenomenon, followed by quantitative data to test or expand upon the findings.

APPENDIX 2:

RESEARCH PARADIGMS & METHODOLOGIES

1. **Positivism:** Emphasises objective measurement and quantifiable data.
2. **Post-Positivism:** Recognises that while objective reality exists, our understanding of it is inherently imperfect. This paradigm often employs rigorous scientific methods but acknowledges the limitations of human knowledge.
3. **Interpretivism:** Focuses on understanding the meaning of social phenomena through qualitative methods.
4. **Critical Theory:** Aims to uncover power structures and promote social change, often through critical analysis of societal norms and practices.
5. **Pragmatism:** Emphasises practical outcomes and integrates multiple methods to address research questions effectively.
6. **Constructivism** suggests that knowledge is constructed through social processes and interactions. It emphasises the subjective nature of reality and often uses qualitative methods.
7. **Phenomenology** focuses on individuals' lived experiences and aims to understand phenomena from the perspective of those experiencing them.
8. **Ethnography** focuses on studying cultures and communities through immersive observation and participation. It involves the researcher spending extended periods of time within the community, often adopting the role of a participant-observer.
9. **Ethnomethodology:** Studies the methods people use to understand and produce the social order in which they live. It often involves detailed observation and analysis of everyday interactions.
10. **Feminist Paradigm:** Emphasises the importance of gender and aims to address issues of inequality and promote social justice. It often employs both qualitative and quantitative methods.
11. **Postmodernism:** Questions the existence of any single reality or truth, emphasising the relative nature of knowledge and the role of power in shaping what is accepted as truth.
12. **Realism/Critical Realism:** Acknowledges an objective reality but asserts that social, cultural, and linguistic factors mediate our understanding of it.
13. **Action Research:** Involves iterative cycles of planning, action, and reflection, often with the goal of solving practical problems and promoting change within a community or organisation.
14. **Grounded Theory:** Focuses on generating theory from data through systematic collection and analysis, typically using qualitative methods.

References:

- Adler, P.A., & Adler, P. (1987). *Membership Roles in Field Research*. Sage Publications.
- Aiken, P., Rumbach, A., and Madil, C (2022). Listening to Video Game Voices: A Call for Action for Research into the Vocal Health of Video Game Voice Actors, *Journal of Voice*, <https://doi-org.ezproxy.uwtsd.ac.uk/10.1016/j.jvoice.2022.09.009>
- Amir, O., Primov-Fever, A., Kushnir, T., Kandelshine-Waldman, O., and Wolf, M (2013). Evaluating Voice Characteristics of First-Year Acting Students in Israel: Factor Analysis, *Journal of Voice*, Volume 27, Issue 1, Pages 68-77 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2012.09.003>
- Al-Ababneh, M.M. (2020) Linking Ontology, Epistemology and Research Methodology, *Science & Philosophy*, 8(1), pp. 75-91. doi: 10.23756/sp.v8i1.500.
- Banks, S., Armstrong, A., Carter, K., Graham, H., Hayward, P., Henry, A., & Strachan, A. (2013). Everyday Ethics in Community-based Participatory Research. *Contemporary Social Science*, 8(3), 263-277.
- Beals, F., Kidman, J., & Funaki, H. (2019). Insider and Outsider Research: Negotiating Self at the Edge of the Emic/Etic Divide. *Qualitative Inquiry*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800419843950>
- Berger, R. (2015). Now I See It; Now I Don't: Researcher's Position and Reflexivity in Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Research*, 15(2), 219-234.
- Brannick, T., & Coghlan, D. (2007). In Defense of Being Native: The Case for Insider Academic Research. *Organisational Research Methods*, 10(1), 59-74.
- Breen, L.J. (2007). The Researcher In the Middle: Negotiating the Insider/Outsider Dichotomy. *The Australian Community Psychologist*, 19(1), 163-174.
- Brown, K., & Green, D. (2019). Vocal Strain in Actors: A Mixed Methods Study. *Performing Arts Health*, 7(2), 105-120.
- Burr, V. (2003). *Social Constructionism*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge
- Chavez, C. (2008). Conceptualising from the Inside: Advantages, Complications, and Demands on Insider Positionality. *The Qualitative Report*, 13(3), 474-494.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide through Qualitative Analysis*. London: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Creswell, J.W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J.W., & Plano Clark, V.L. (2018). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Sage Publications.
- Crotty, M. (1998) The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process. London: Sage Publication
- Collis, J. and Hussey, R. (2003) Business research: A practical guide for undergraduate and postgraduate students. 2nd ed. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- DeLyser, D. (2001). Do You Really Live Here? Thoughts on Insider Research. *Geographical Review*, 91(1-2), 441-453.
- Denzin, N.K., & Lincoln, Y.S. (2011). The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Research. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dwyer, S.C., & Buckle, J.L. (2009). The Space Between: On Being an Insider-Outsider in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(1), 54-63.
- Edelheim, J. (2014). Epistemology, Ontology, and Axiology in Research. *Journal of Philosophical Inquiry*, 31(4), 29-45.
- Gobo, G. (2008). Doing Ethnography. Sage Publications.
- Greene, J. (2014). On the Inside Looking In: Methodological Insights and Challenges in Conducting Qualitative Insider Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 19(29), 1-13.
- Guba, E.G., & Lincoln, Y.S. (1994). Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Research. In *Handbook of Qualitative Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gullion, J. S., & Tilton, A. (2020). Researching with : A Decolonising Approach to Community-Based Action Research. Brill Sense.
- Hamlyn, D. W. (1995) History of epistemology, in Honderich, T. (ed.) *The Oxford companion to philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 242-245
- Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (2019). *Ethnography: Principles in Practice*. 4th ed. London: Routledge
- Jones, A. (2017). Exploring Vocal Fatigue: Personal Experiences of Voice-Over Artists. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 23(6), 523-534.
- Johnson, R.B., & Onwuegbuzie, A.J. (2004). Mixed Methods Research: A Research Paradigm Whose Time Has Come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.

- Kawalek, A. (2024). Strengthening the Theoretical Commitments Underpinning Therapeutic Jurisprudence Research: Ontology and Epistemology. *The Liverpool Law Review*, 45(1), 73–103. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10991-023-09329-7>
- Kemmis, S., & McTaggart, R. (2005). Participatory Action Research: Communicative Action and the Public Sphere. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Research*. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, pp. 559-603.
- Killam, L. (2013). Research Terminology Simplified: Paradigms, Axiology, Ontology, Epistemology and Methodology. Sudbury, ON: Laura Killam.
- Kincheloe, J.L., & McLaren, P. (2002). Rethinking Critical Theory and Qualitative Research. In *Ethnography and Schools: Qualitative Approaches to the Study of Education*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Maynard, M. (1994) Methods, practice and epistemology: The debate about feminism and research, in Maynard, M. and Purvis, J. (Eds.) *Researching women's lives from a feminist perspective*. London: Taylor and Francis, pp. 10-27
- McNiff, J., & Whitehead, J. (2011). All You Need to Know About Action Research. 2nd ed. London: Sage Publications.
- Mercer, J. (2007). The Challenges of Insider Research in Educational Institutions: Wielding a Double-Edged Sword and Resolving Delicate Dilemmas. *Oxford Review of Education*, 33(1), 1-17.
- Merton, R.K. (1972). Insiders and Outsiders: A Chapter in the Sociology of Knowledge. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(1), 9-47.
- Merriam, S.B. & Tisdell, E.J. (2016). Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation. 4th ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass
- Morgan, D.L. (2007). Paradigms Lost and Pragmatism Regained: Methodological Implications of Combining Qualitative and Quantitative Methods. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(1), 48-76.
- Naples, N.A. (2003). Feminism and Method: Ethnography, Discourse Analysis, and Activist Research. Routledge.
- Pike, K. L. (1954). Language in relation to a unified theory of the structure of human behaviour. Glendale, CA: Summer Institute of Linguistics.
- Pink, S. (2015). I. 2nd ed. London: Sage.

- Reason, P., & Bradbury, H. (Eds.). (2008). *The SAGE Handbook of Action Research: Participative Inquiry and Practice*. 2nd ed. London: Sage Publications.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2009) *Research methods for business students*. 5th ed. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- Sherif, B. (2001). The Ambiguity of Boundaries in the Fieldwork Experience: Establishing Rapport and Negotiating Insider/Outsider Status. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 7(4), 436-447.
- Smith, J., Brown, P., & Johnson, L. (2015). Measuring the Effectiveness of Vocal Training Programs. *Journal of Voice Research*, 29(4), 421-429.
- Stringer, E.T. (2013). *Action Research*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Tarozzi, M. (2020). What is Grounded Theory?. London: Bloomsbury ACADEMIC. Retrieved July 25, 2024, from <http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781350085275References>
- Unluer, S. (2012). Being an Insider Researcher While Conducting Case Study Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 17(29), 1-14.