

Student Name: Croitoriu Leonard-Andrei
Student ID / Number: 2410010
Module Code: LCLM5005
Module Title: Management and Organisational Behaviour
Assessment Title: Individual Report: Organisational Culture Analysis
(Toyota Motor Corporation)

A Critical Analysis of Organisational Culture, Structure, and Performance: A Case Study of Toyota Motor Corporation

1. Introduction

Organisational culture operates as the invisible neural network of modern enterprises, dictating not only employee alignment but also a firm's structural agility, strategic resilience, and operational efficiency. As conceptualised by Mullins and Christy (2016, p. 574), culture is fundamentally defined as "how things are done around here"—a pervasive composite of shared values, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors that profoundly shapes critical organizational processes. These processes include macro-level structural design and executive decision-making, as well as micro-level phenomena such as group dynamics, employee motivation, job satisfaction, and management control systems. In an era characterized by hyper-globalization, rapid technological disruption, and shifting macroeconomic paradigms, organizations have evolved into highly complex, multi-layered, and internationally distributed entities. Consequently, modern management faces the monumental challenge of synthesizing diverse workforces—comprising individuals from disparate nationalities, cultural backgrounds, and socio-economic realities—into a coherent, unified corporate culture.

This report delivers a comprehensive, critical evaluation of Toyota Motor Corporation, an automotive pioneer that has historically leveraged its unique cultural framework to secure global market leadership. Grounded in the theoretical foundations established by Mullins and Christy (2016), this study systematically analyzes how Toyota's organizational culture serves as both an enabler and a barrier to operational functioning. Specifically, the report applies seminal cultural frameworks to diagnose Toyota's prevailing cultural type, examines the direct influence of this

culture on hierarchical configurations and consensus-driven decision-making, and critically assesses how the organization adapts to external environmental volatility, such as the global electric vehicle (EV) transition and historical supply chain crises. Ultimately, the analysis culminates in strategic, evidence-based recommendations designed to optimize Toyota's performance in a volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) global market.

2. Identification and Analysis of Toyota's Prevailing Culture

2.1 Application of Schein's Three Levels of Culture

Edgar Schein (2010) posits that organizational culture cannot be understood through a singular lens; instead, it must be analyzed across three distinct, interacting levels that vary by their visibility and accessibility to observers: artifacts, espoused values, and basic underlying assumptions.

Level 1: Artifacts

At the surface level, Toyota's culture presents highly visible, tangible, and audible indicators. Unlike traditional Western automotive companies characterized by executive suites, separate dining rooms, and sharp class distinctions between blue-collar and white-collar workers, Toyota enforces egalitarian artifacts. Executives and assembly line workers wear the exact same grey uniform, and open-plan offices are standard across global headquarters. Another critical artifact is the physical Andon cord pulling mechanism on production lines and the Kaizen suggestion boxes distributed throughout the manufacturing plants. While these are physical objects, they serve as immediate entry points into the deeper cultural fabric, signaling that every employee is actively expected to participate in quality assurance.

Level 2: Espoused Values

Below artifacts lie espoused values—the explicitly stated philosophies, strategies, and goals that an organization champions. At Toyota, these are formally codified in "The Toyota Way", a document built upon two core pillars: Continuous Improvement (Kaizen) and Respect for People. These pillars are broken down into actionable principles such as Genchi Genbutsu (go and see for yourself to find the root cause of a problem) and Challenge (forming a long-term vision to meet socio-economic demands). These

values are systematically drilled into employees during onboarding and reinforced through daily morning briefings (Ch 朝礼 - Chorei), transforming abstract corporate philosophies into standard behavioral expectations.

Level 3: Basic Underlying Assumptions

The deepest, most powerful level of culture consists of unconscious, taken-for-granted beliefs that drive actual behavior. At Toyota, the fundamental assumption is that problems are hidden treasures. While Western corporate cultures historically incentivized the concealment of errors to avoid individual punishment, Toyota's psychological foundation assumes that an error is a systemic defect, not an individual failure. There is an implicit assumption that every employee is a knowledge worker capable of optimizing the system, meaning human capital is viewed as an asset to be developed rather than a variable operating cost to be minimized. Consequently, perfection is viewed not as a static goal, but as an infinite journey of incremental optimization.

2.2 Analysis via Charles Handy's Cultural Typology

Charles Handy's (1993) classic typology categorizes organizational cultures into four distinct models: Power (Web), Role (Greek Temple), Task (Net), and Person (Cluster). When applied to Toyota, the organization reveals a fascinating structural hybrid, blending a deeply institutionalized Role Culture with a highly dynamic, localized Task Culture.

Toyota's primary structural foundation is a Role Culture, symbolized by the Greek Temple. It relies heavily on functions, specializations, strict quality gates, and heavily documented Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). This role-based predictability is exactly what allows Toyota to manufacture millions of vehicles globally with microscopic defect rates. Every position has clearly defined boundaries, and authority is tied strictly to the position within the hierarchy rather than individual charisma. The strength of this culture lies in its exceptional stability and systemic consistency.

However, when a disruption occurs or a Kaizen objective is set, Toyota shifts into a Task Culture. This culture is collaborative and project-oriented. Toyota frequently forms jishuken groups—autonomous, cross-functional teams tasked with solving complex engineering or supply chain issues. In these scenarios, the rigid hierarchies of the Role culture fade away; power is distributed to whoever possesses the technical expertise required to solve the task at hand, aligning perfectly with Mullins and Christy's (2016) observation that culture dictates group behavior and work organization.

3. Influence of Culture on Structure and Decision-Making

3.1 Structural Architecture: The Fluid Bureaucracy

The relationship between organizational culture and structural design is reciprocal; culture shapes structure, and structure reinforces culture. Toyota utilizes a divisional, geographic structural framework designed to manage its vast global footprint. On paper, this resembles a traditional, highly formalized mechanistic bureaucracy characterized by clear chains of command and strict operational standardization. However, Toyota's cultural emphasis on Respect for People and decentralized problem-solving transforms this structure in practice.

In a traditional Western bureaucracy, operational control is centralized at the top, and low-level employees have minimal structural agency. At Toyota, the cultural mechanism of the Andon system structurally flips the hierarchy. The company operates on the cultural belief that the workers on the assembly line are the closest to the reality of production and are therefore the most qualified to make critical quality decisions. When a line worker pulls the Andon cord due to a spotted defect, they effectively exercise structural power, temporarily halting a multi-million-dollar manufacturing operation. This demonstrates that Toyota's culture infuses an otherwise rigid structural bureaucracy with a high degree of organic flexibility at the operational baseline, striking a balance between strict management control and decentralized empowerment.

3.2 Decision-Making Processes: The Nemawashi Framework

Decision-making within Toyota deviates significantly from the top-down, unilateral models frequently observed in large Western conglomerates. Instead, it is governed by the culturally embedded philosophy of Nemawashi (literally meaning "preparing the roots of a tree for transplanting").

Nemawashi is a thorough, consensus-building process wherein managers and executives consult all stakeholders before any structural or strategic adjustment is formalized. When a major decision needs to be made:

- 1 Low- and mid-level managers draft formal proposal documents (Ringisho).**
- 2 They engage in extensive informal discussions across various departments, actively gathering feedback, modifying the proposal, and smoothing out objections before the formal meeting.**

3 Once consensus is reached across all levels, the proposal is presented to senior leadership for formal sign-off.

While this process makes the initial phases of decision-making slow, it guarantees that once a decision is finalized, it is executed with rapid speed and minimal internal resistance. Because every stakeholder's input has been woven into the strategy, the execution phase requires far fewer course corrections, verifying Mullins and Christy's (2016) assertion that culture significantly influences management control, group behavior, and strategic alignment.

4. Leveraging Culture for External Environmental Adaptation

An organization's culture is its primary mechanism for navigating external turbulence. Toyota uses its cultural core to adapt to macroeconomic, political, and technological shifts, leveraging long-term relationship systems and institutionalized continuous improvement to outlast environmental volatility.

4.1 Supply Chain Resilience: The 2011 Fukushima Tsunami

Following the 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan, global automotive supply chains ground to a halt due to the destruction of critical semiconductor and electronic component facilities. Traditional transaction-based supply chains collapsed as Western companies sued or abandoned suppliers who failed to deliver components under force majeure conditions.

Toyota, however, leveraged its culture of long-term partnership and mutual prosperity—deeply embedded in its Keiretsu system. Instead of cutting ties or penalizing impacted suppliers, Toyota deployed hundreds of its own lean engineers directly to the factories of ruined suppliers (and even competitors like Renesas Electronics). Working side-by-side in catastrophic conditions without heating or electricity, Toyota's culturally driven response stabilized the regional automotive ecosystem months ahead of industry projections. This rapid recovery was a direct result of a culture that views suppliers not as dispensable vendors, but as core extensions of the Toyota family.

4.2 The Technological Frontier: Navigating the EV Transition

The modern automotive market faces massive disruption from electrification and autonomous driving, structural changes that test the

adaptive capacity of any incumbent firm. While critics have argued that Toyota is lagging behind pure-play electric vehicle manufacturers like Tesla or BYD, Toyota's cultural paradigm of Toyotism focuses heavily on multi-decade sustainability rather than short-term market hype or quarterly stock valuations.

Using Kaizen, Toyota chose to pursue a multi-pathway strategy, perfecting hybrid powertrains and investing deeply in next-generation solid-state batteries while keeping internal combustion engine architectures clean and viable for developing markets. Rather than rushing unproven technologies to market to appease speculative investors, Toyota's cultural commitment to quality and patient capital ensures that its transition to alternative drivetrains is structurally stable, safe, and sustainable over decades. The culture allows the company to withstand external media pressures by relying on its foundational belief in measured, data-driven long-term strategic evolution.

5. Critical Assessment: Culture as a Barrier vs. Enabler

Organisational culture is a double-edged sword; it can act as a potent strategic asset or an institutional straightjacket depending on how the external environment shifts.

Culture as an Enabler

Toyota's culture is arguably the industry's most potent enabler of operational excellence. By decentralizing quality control and viewing errors as systemic learning opportunities rather than personal failures, the culture minimizes waste (muda), driving high corporate profitability and unmatched consumer brand loyalty. Furthermore, the focus on employee development creates high levels of internal motivation and job satisfaction (Mullins and Christy, 2016). The shared belief system eliminates the need for aggressive, punitive management control systems, as employees are intrinsically aligned with the company's quality mission.

Culture as a Barrier

Conversely, Toyota's culture can manifest as a rigid barrier, particularly during macro-crises that demand rapid, non-linear communication and the bypassing of standard corporate protocols. A primary historical example is the 2009–2010 Toyota recall crisis, where vehicle safety complaints regarding unintended acceleration in the United States were downplayed and mismanaged.

The deeply institutionalized Japanese cultural values of deference to hierarchy, preserving corporate face, and seeking total harmony (Wa) created a toxic insularity. Regional managers in North America hesitated to escalate critical, negative regulatory feedback and safety data to senior executives in Toyota City, fearing that delivering bad news would disrupt corporate harmony. The consensus-driven Nemawashi process mutated into institutional groupthink, severely delaying the company's public response and recall deployment. This cultural inertia resulted in severe reputational damage, multi-billion-dollar legal penalties, and congressional hearings in the United States, proving that an over-reliance on consensus and hierarchical respect can paralyze an organization in a fast-moving external crisis.

6. Impact on Performance and Strategic Recommendations

Toyota's cultural framework has historically driven immense financial success, making it one of the most consistently profitable automakers globally with an unparalleled return on assets (ROA). However, to safeguard this performance against digital transformation, software-defined vehicles, and shifting global demographics, its culture must evolve.

Recommendation 1: Institutionalize Global Psychological Safety Programs

Theoretical Grounding: Applying Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions shows that Japan exhibits high Power Distance and Uncertainty Avoidance compared to Western subsidiaries in the United States and Europe. This discrepancy creates communication barriers between global branches and the centralized Japanese leadership.

Actionable Strategy: Toyota must explicitly decouple performance evaluations from the reporting of systemic flaws within its international software and marketing divisions. Developing anonymous digital reporting lines that bypass regional hierarchies will ensure that critical software bugs or local safety defects are escalated instantly to global headquarters, preventing another communication breakdown like the 2010 crisis.

Recommendation 2: Build Insulated "Agile Task Cells" for Digital Innovation

Theoretical Grounding: Shifting the operational equilibrium within Handy's Typology away from an over-reliance on Role Culture toward an autonomous Task Culture.

Actionable Strategy: To match the pace of software innovation seen in software-defined vehicles (SDVs), Toyota should establish separate R&D units that operate entirely outside the traditional Nemawashi consensus framework. These agile units should be granted the autonomy to test, fail, and iterate software rapidly, protecting the core manufacturing division's stability while accelerating digital innovation.

7. Deep-Dive Appendix: Contextualization of Theories and Frameworks

7.1 Cross-Cultural Conflict: The Interplay of Hofstede's Dimensions at Toyota

To understand why Toyota's culture behaves simultaneously as an enabler of domestic manufacturing precision and a barrier to international corporate communication, one must examine the friction between Japanese corporate culture and Western operational environments. Geert Hofstede's cultural dimensions framework provides the precise analytical toolkit required for this cross-border deconstruction.

Hofstede Dimension	Japan (Toyota HQ Profile)	United States / Europe (Subsidiary Profile)	Operational Friction Point		
Power Distance (PDI)	High (54) - Deference to structural hierarchy and senior authority is implicit.	Low (40) - Expectation of egalitarian communication and flat structures.	Western managers feel silenced by Japanese hierarchical protocols; Japanese executives view Western directness as disrespectful.		
Individualism (IDV)	Low (46) - Collectivist; harmony	High (91) - Individualistic;	Nemawashi frustrates individual		

	(Wa) and group consensus are prioritized over individual recognition.	personal accountability and rapid individual decision-making are incentivized.	istic Western managers who favor speed, while collectivists view unilateral decisions as reckless.		
Masculinity (MAS)	High (95) - Driven by competition, excellence, and perfection of craft (Monozukuri).	High (62) - Success-driven, but with greater emphasis on short-term financial KPIs and work-life balance.	Japan demands extreme overtime and absolute devotion to zero-defect metrics; Western subsidiaries prioritize immediat		

			e financial performa nce.		
Uncertai nty Avoidan ce (UAI)	High (92) - High reliance on standardi zation, protocols, and risk- mitigatio n framewor ks.	Low (46) - High tolerance for ambiguity , entrepre neurial risk, and rapid prototypi ng.	Toyota's core resists radical software experime ntation due to fear of failure; Western tech talent leaves due to procedura l stagnation .		
Long- Term Orientat ion (LTO)	High (88) - Multi- decade strategic planning;	Low (26) - Focus on quarterly earnings reports,	Disconnec t over EV strategy: Japan invests for		

	focus on sustainable market presence.	shareholder returns, and immediate stock prices.	2035 via solid-state batteries, while Western markets demand immediate pure EV rollouts.		
--	--	---	---	--	--

Analytical Synthesis of Hofstede's Matrix

The data in the table illustrates that Toyota's native organizational culture is rooted in a society characterized by high uncertainty avoidance, high long-term orientation, and high collectivism. This combination is highly effective for the mass production of physical engineering goods. High uncertainty avoidance naturally manifests as standard operating procedures, checklists, and rigorous quality gates, which are the core components of Handy's Role Culture. Collectivism ensures that workers on the assembly floor cooperate rather than compete, enabling the mutual support required to pull the Andon cord and solve defects as a team.

However, when this cultural matrix is exported to subsidiaries in highly individualistic, low power-distance societies like the United States, a structural disconnect occurs. American engineers and executives are trained to identify problems, claim personal ownership, and implement immediate, unilateral fixes. When forced to navigate the Nemawashi system, they experience severe frustration, viewing the consensus-building process as bureaucratic stagnation.

Conversely, Japanese executives stationed at international subsidiaries often view the rapid, independent initiatives of Western managers as dangerous deviations from standard operating procedures. This cross-cultural friction explains the core of the 2009–2010 recall crisis: the high power-distance culture at headquarters prevented Western safety data

from breaking through the hierarchy, as local managers lacked the cultural capital to challenge senior executives in Japan directly.

7.2 The Evolution of Toyotism vs. Fordism

To critically evaluate the structural impact of Toyota's culture, it must be contrasted with its historical predecessor: Fordism. The traditional mechanistic structure pioneered by Henry Ford was built upon an extreme division of labor, rigid central control, and a sharp separation between intellectual work (management) and manual labor (the factory floor). In a Fordist structure, workers were treated as replaceable biological components of the machinery; they were explicitly instructed not to think, but to execute repetitive physical motions. Control was maintained through coercive supervision and punitive measures.

Toyota revolutionized this approach by introducing Toyotism (The Toyota Production System). The fundamental cultural shift was the recognition that the worker on the shop floor possesses valuable operational insights. While Fordism separated thinking from doing, Toyotism structurally integrates them. Every assembly worker is explicitly trained to be a quality controller and a process innovator.

This structural integration is supported by the cultural belief that no process is ever perfect. Under Fordism, stopping an assembly line was a fireable offense because it interrupted production quotas. Under Toyotism, failing to stop the line when a defect is detected is considered an operational failure. This comparative analysis demonstrates that Toyota's culture represents a significant evolution in management theory, transforming the factory floor from a place of coercive compliance into a center of collaborative knowledge generation.

7.3 Detailed Theoretical Deconstruction of Kaizen and Muda

The concept of Kaizen (continuous improvement) is frequently discussed in management literature, but its successful execution requires specific cultural conditions. Kaizen cannot function as a top-down mandate; it must operate as a decentralized, bottom-up philosophy. Within Toyota, Kaizen is operationalized through the Deming PDCA Cycle (Plan-Do-Check-Act), but it is fueled by the cultural obsession with eliminating Muda (waste).

Toyota defines seven distinct types of Muda that the culture trains employees to identify and eliminate:

- 1 Overproduction: Manufacturing items before they are needed.**

- 2 Waiting: Idle time created by unsynchronized production steps.**
- 3 Transporting: Unnecessary movement of materials or products.**
- 4 Inappropriate Processing: Using complex tools where simple ones suffice.**
- 5 Unnecessary Inventory: Storing excess raw materials or finished goods.**
- 6 Unnecessary Motion: Ergonomically inefficient movement by workers.**
- 7 Defects: The production of sub-standard components requiring rework.**

The cultural significance of this classification system is that it gives the entire workforce a shared vocabulary for identifying operational inefficiencies. When an operator notices that they must walk three steps to retrieve a bolt, they do not simply tolerate the inconvenience; they identify it as Muda of Motion.

Through the local Quality Circle (small groups of workers meeting voluntarily to solve production problems), the operator drafts a proposal to reposition the bolt container. This small change, when scaled across thousands of workstations globally, yields massive cumulative cost savings and efficiency gains. This process confirms Mullins and Christy's (2016) assertion that organizational culture directly dictates work organization, employee motivation, and daily job satisfaction.

7.4 The Psychological Mechanism of the Andon Cord

The Andon cord is a useful case study for examining the concept of behavioral reinforcement within an organizational culture. In many organizations, employees are hesitant to report problems due to fear of negative career impacts, creating a culture of concealment. At Toyota, the pulling of an Andon cord triggers a series of supportive, non-punitive managerial interventions.

If the issue cannot be resolved within the cycle time of that specific workstation, the light turns red, and that entire segment of the assembly line stops. Crucially, there is no screaming, no formal reprimands, and no assignment of personal blame. Instead, the team leader thanks the worker for identifying the problem, and a cross-functional engineering team forms immediately to determine the root cause using the 5 Whys methodology.

By consistently reinforcing that identifying errors is a positive, valued contribution, Toyota eliminates the psychological fear of failure. This cultural mechanism provides strong justification for the conclusion that Toyota's culture acts as a powerful enabler of high operational

performance, fostering psychological safety and continuous learning on the factory floor.

7.5 Structural Failures: Groupthink and Institutional Blindspots

Despite its operational strengths, Toyota's culture can generate significant institutional blindspots when navigating complex external regulatory and political landscapes. The core issue stems from the psychological phenomenon of Groupthink—a state where the desire for harmony and conformity within a highly cohesive group results in dysfunctional decision-making.

In Toyota's traditional Japanese corporate structure, senior leadership positions have historically been occupied almost exclusively by Japanese executives who have spent their entire careers within the company. This extreme demographic and experiential homogeneity, while beneficial for maintaining cultural consistency, creates a high vulnerability to Groupthink.

When external stakeholders, such as the United States National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), raised concerns regarding mechanical accelerator pedal entrapment in 2009, the internal culture at Toyota City defaulted to a defensive posture. The shared assumption was that Toyota's engineering processes were functionally flawless, and therefore the external complaints were interpreted as driver errors or politically motivated attacks by foreign competitors.

The cultural value of Wa (harmony) actively discouraged lower-level international executives from challenging this consensus. To stand up in a corporate meeting and state that the core engineering design of a Toyota vehicle was fundamentally flawed would be seen as an act of corporate treason, breaking the harmony of the group.

Consequently, critical data regarding electronic throttle control glitches and mechanical stickiness was filtered out as it moved up the hierarchy, leaving the CEO insulated from the operational reality until the crisis exploded into the global media space. This structural breakdown demonstrates that when an organization's culture becomes too insular, its internal mechanisms for maintaining harmony turn into rigid barriers that block adaptation to external environmental realities.

8. Strategic Roadmap for Future Cultural Synthesis

To maintain its competitive edge in an automotive market defined by autonomous driving, artificial intelligence, and software-as-a-service

business models, Toyota must implement a structured evolution of its corporate culture. The traditional The Toyota Way framework must be upgraded to accommodate the realities of digital innovation.

8.1 Tactical Implementation Plan for Recommendation 1 (Psychological Safety)

The goal of institutionalizing global psychological safety requires shifting the behavioral norms within Toyota's international subsidiaries. This cannot be achieved through simple corporate memos; it requires changing the structural incentives that guide executive behavior.

Action Plan: Implement a global peer-review and anonymous feedback system for all managers at the level of director and above. This system will evaluate leaders not just on their operational output, but on their openness to negative feedback and dissent.

Structural Modification: Establish an independent Global Safety and Integrity Ombudsman Group. This group will report directly to the board of directors, bypassing the traditional regional corporate hierarchies. Any engineer or developer globally can report safety, software, or design flaws directly to this group without requiring approval from their immediate supervisors, dismantling the hierarchical communication bottlenecks that caused the 2009 crisis.

KPI Metric: Reduction in the average escalation time of critical safety and software defects from initial identification on the test track to executive board review, targeting a maximum window of 48 hours.

8.2 Tactical Implementation Plan for Recommendation 2 (Agile Task Cells)

To counter the threat of tech-centric automotive competitors, Toyota must build an internal ecosystem that embraces rapid prototyping and iterative software design, shifting Handy's cultural balance toward a Task Culture in specialized divisions.

Action Plan: Establish Toyota Digital Labs as structurally independent subsidiaries located in global technology centers (Silicon Valley, Berlin, Bangalore). These labs will be completely decoupled from the traditional manufacturing plants and will operate under a flat, decentralized Task Culture.

Structural Modification: Personnel within these labs will work in autonomous Scrum teams, with authority tied directly to technical expertise rather than seniority or corporate rank. The slow Nemawashi consensus process will be replaced with an Agile sprint

methodology, where software updates are coded, tested, and deployed in short, iterative cycles.

Integration Strategy: Once a software platform or autonomous driving algorithm is validated by the digital lab, it will be handed over to the core manufacturing division. The Role Culture will then take over to ensure the software is integrated into the physical vehicles with traditional Toyota precision and safety metrics. This approach creates a two-speed organization: an agile, fast-moving Task Culture for software development, and a highly controlled, zero-defect Role Culture for hardware manufacturing.

8.3 Conclusion

In summary, Mullins and Christy (2016) are correct in pointing out the deep impact of organizational culture on core corporate functions. At Toyota, the culture of The Toyota Way serves as the foundation for its world-class manufacturing efficiency and long-term resilience.

However, as the external environment grows more volatile and connected, the company must ensure its focus on hierarchy and consensus does not turn into a bureaucratic barrier. By intentionally flattening communication channels, supporting psychological safety, and protecting digital innovation units from rigid corporate protocols, Toyota can successfully balance its traditional strengths in hardware manufacturing with the fast-moving demands of the digital future, securing its position as a global automotive leader for decades to come.

References

- Deming, W. E. (2018). *Out of the Crisis*. Reissue edn. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ford, H. (2003). *Today and Tomorrow*. Reprint edn. Portland, OR: Productivity Press.
- Handy, C. (1993). *Understanding Organizations*. 4th edn. London: Penguin Books.
- Hofstede, G. (2011). *Dimensionalizing Cultures: The Hofstede Model in Context*. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), pp. 1-26.
- Liker, J. K. (2020). *The Toyota Way: 14 Management Principles from the World's Greatest Manufacturer*. 2nd edn. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Mullins, L. and Christy, G. (2016). *Management and Organisational Behaviour*. 11th edn. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.

Schein, E. H. (2010). Organizational Culture and Leadership. 4th edn. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T. and Roos, D. (2007). The Machine That Changed the World: The Story of Lean Production. New York: Free Press.