


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research
Methods (ECPS4001)

Study One Study Code: Swan_credit_DMG

Study Code: Swan_credit_DMG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

I was provided with enough information about the study in order to give full consent, I was also given enough information to understand what was expected of myself. I was made aware that I had the right to withdraw my participation at any time during the study. The protection from harm was followed as a disclaimer and was included in the description of the research explaining how if the topic upsets or offends you, not to sign up for the study. Lastly, I was provided with a debrief sheet to take away once the study was complete.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to fill out 3 questionnaires regarding horoscope, paranormal and a personality test. Once I had completed the 3 questionnaires, I had to complete one last personality test to compare the influence that the horoscope and paranoid questionnaire had on my mood.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Overall the participation was a positive experience. I enjoyed the questionnaires and found the topic very intriguing. I finished the research task feeling inspired.

I was provided with a debrief form to take away. There was no opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion, however the researcher did inform me that if I had any enquiries her email was on the debrief form.

[illegible]

Nothing needed improvement as it was excellently presented and simple enough to understand, however I would suggest more opportunity to discuss how I felt after completion.

[illegible]Date: 7/2/18

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical margin line, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be part of a notebook or a set of loose-leaf papers.

[illegible]

Online Study Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Two Study Code: Swan_credit_JC

Study Two Study Code: Swan_credit_JC

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

I was aware that my participation was voluntary and I had the right to withdraw my research at any time. The protection from harm was followed as an entry requirement was provided on the application explaining what would be expected. Finally I was provided with a debrief sheet and was made aware that if I had any issues or questions to contact the researchers email on the debrief form.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

During the study I had to complete a questionnaire based on my own personal preference to music then I had to complete a mood questionnaire based on how I was feeling at the time before participation. Then I had to listen to a genre of music I had specified as my least favourite for 10 minutes, then another mood questionnaire to record any changes in my mood after listening to music I don't like.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Positive aspects of the study include monitoring the changes in my mood in a discomforting setting and allowing my own personal preference to be highly involved in the study itself.

I was asked how I was feeling after listening to the music and told if I had any questions or issues to contact the email on the debrief form.

[illegible]

Overall, I think the study was very good and followed all the appropriate guidelines along with a very friendly researcher. I would not change anything.

[illegible]

Sign: LWilliams

Date: 16/3/18

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Study Four Study Code: _____

Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Note:

Some ethical considerations for this may be a possibility of the participant being distressed from the music being played.

Study Three Study Code: Swan_credit_TB1_online

Study Code: Swan_credit_TB1_online

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Before signing up, a description of the study was provided explaining that if the subject matter should offend or upset the participant not to sign up for the study. As well as a debrief form.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

At the end of the study a debrief message appeared on the screen to thank participants for their participation in the study.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Nothing needed improvement within this study however some issues that this study may have is there is no human interaction between researcher and participant.

Name (PRINT): Lauren Williams

Sign: LWilliams

Date: 15/2/18

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

The online study displayed instructions on the screen explaining what would be asked of the participant. The study involved answering a quick questionnaire on personal opinions towards authorised immigrants.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

The study was very interesting with the selection of questions on authorised immigrants in the UK. I enjoyed taking part as the questions were eye opening.
