

MSc Presentation marking schem

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name Christopher Pace

Module code

Date of presentation

3/5/19

69%
agreed

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	15		well presented
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding	14		Some good content but more specifics on contribution needed.
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	16		Generally good structure
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content		12	Font colour, Text heavy Slides hard to read
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions	15		Questions well answered

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75 first class, outstanding/excellent
 68, 65, 62 upper second, good/above average
 58, 55, 52 lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
 48, 45, 42 third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
 35, 25, 15, 0 fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking schem

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name

Yan Zhang

Module code

Date of presentation

3/5/19

72%
agreed

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	15		Good pace + well spoken
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding	14		A+0 well defined Good detail give
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	17		Good logical structure
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	18		Good use of video + animation
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions		10	Answers quite vague

No refs

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75 first class, outstanding/excellent
 68, 65, 62 upper second, good/above average
 58, 55, 52 lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
 48, 45, 42 third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
 35, 25, 15, 0 fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking schem

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name

Lu Han

Module code

Date of presentation

65%
agreed

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone		12	Very quiet Read from slides
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding		13	Some good content but lacking in some areas, e.g. risk
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	14		well structured + easy to follow
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	15		Very good presentation design
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions		13	Some good answers but could be more detailed.

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75	first class, outstanding/excellent
68, 65, 62	upper second, good/above average
58, 55, 52	lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
48, 45, 42	third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
35, 25, 15, 0	fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking scheme

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name Dongsheng Cao

Module code _____

Date of presentation _____

60%
agreed

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	14/20		Generally good
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding		13/20	Some good detail in places, lacking in others
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	15/20		well structured
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content		13/20	Presentation a bit text-heavy
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions		13/20	Answers could have been backed up with better sources

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75	first class, outstanding/excellent
68, 65, 62	upper second, good/above average
58, 55, 52	lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
48, 45, 42	third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
35, 25, 15, 0	fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking schem

80%
agreed

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name Paige Louise Harris

Module code _____

Date of presentation _____

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	19		Excellent delivery Good confidence
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding	18		Very detailed
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	18		Excellent
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	16		Good design Background bit dark
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions	18		Confident & detailed responses

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75 first class, outstanding/excellent
 68, 65, 62 upper second, good/above average
 58, 55, 52 lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
 48, 45, 42 third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
 35, 25, 15, 0 fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking scheme

60%
good

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name **William Thomas**

Module code _____

Date of presentation _____

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone		7	Some slides not explained. Too short
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding		7	Presentation too short, not much detail
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	14		well structured
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	14		presentation designed & laid out well
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions		6	Answers brief & lacking detail

Other comments

Mark

6

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75	first class, outstanding/excellent
68, 65, 62	upper second, good/above average
58, 55, 52	lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
48, 45, 42	third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
35, 25, 15, 0	fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking schem

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

75%
agreed

Student's Name

Ethan Ridd

Module code

Date of presentation

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	15		Good delivery
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding		12	Some more content or contribution would have been good.
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	15		Generally well structured
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	14		Some slides not easy to read
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions	15		Good knowledge shown

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75	first class, outstanding/excellent
68, 65, 62	upper second, good/above average
58, 55, 52	lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
48, 45, 42	third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
35, 25, 15, 0	fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking schem

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name

Irfan Onur

Module code

Date of presentation

75%
agreed

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	14		Bit quiet at times
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding	14		Good, but ethics could have been better covered.
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	14		Generally good structure, but needs to focus more on contribution
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	16		well designed presentation
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions	16		good responses in general

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75	first class, outstanding/excellent
68, 65, 62	upper second, good/above average
58, 55, 52	lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
48, 45, 42	third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
35, 25, 15, 0	fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit

MSc Presentation marking scheme

63%

Oral Presentation Marking Sheet

Student's Name Mehher Arsada

Module code _____

Date of presentation _____

	Effective	Needs work	Comments
Delivery: speed, eye contact, clarity, audibility, tone	14/20		Brief presentation but good delivery
Content: sets out relevant issues, explains key terms, confident with material, aids understanding		10/20	More detail needed
Structure: logical, easy to follow, provides headings, each section relates to overall purpose	15/10		
Use of visual aids uses handout or other visual aids, relevant to content	10/20		
Response to questions: willing to answer questions, actively seeks questions	15/20		

Other comments

Mark

Marking criteria

90, 80, 75 first class, outstanding/excellent
 68, 65, 62 upper second, good/above average
 58, 55, 52 lower second, generally satisfactory, but some weaknesses
 48, 45, 42 third, below average/barely adequate with serious weaknesses
 35, 25, 15, 0 fail, poor, little of merit/nothing of merit