

Data Mining – Final Poster			
Student	MEHER ARASADA		
Dataset	Tableau Superstore		
Marking			
Abstract (Clarity, readability, flow, accuracy, completeness) An abstract should also give a summary of the results, as well as the questions being answered.			(10 marks) 6
Dataset Description (Where did the data come from? What does the data describe? Is the sample large enough? What data formats and types are involved? What were the objectives of the project?) Good description			(10 marks) 7
Data Processing (What primary and secondary preprocessing was needed? How was data integrity maintained?) Needs examples of what was changed.			(20 marks) 12
Analysis (What analyses were carried out? Are they appropriate?) This needs to say what tool/technique was used to answer each question.			(20 marks) 11
Results (Are the results clearly stated? Do they meet the objectives?) Clearly stated, but needs linking to the questions being asked.			(20 marks) 11
Graphic Design (Is it balanced and readable? Do the colours work? Are the graphics appropriate?) Good use of colour, layout and space			(20 marks) 15
General comments			
Date	20/5/19	Agreed Final Grade (%)	62
Tutor Signature	Nik Whitehead		
Second Marker Signature	 		

$$1408 - 14^{21} + 5$$

Data Mining – Final Presentation			
Student	MIHER ARASADAR		
Dataset	Tableau Superstore.		
Marking			
Presentation/Slides (Clarity, readability, flow, accuracy, completeness, performance) Clear, readable slides. Sometimes a bit too much text on ~~one~~ a slide. Try not to read from the slides. Good conclusion slide.			(10 marks) 6
Dataset Description (Where did the data come from? What does the data describe? Is the sample large enough? What data formats and types are involved? What were the objectives of the project?) Clear description of args & structure. Good use of visualisation to describe data.			(10 marks) 6
Preprocessing (What primary and secondary preprocessing was needed? How was data integrity maintained?) No preprocessing necessary due to artificiality of dataset. Sensible changes made for ease of use with Weka.			(25 marks) 13
Analysis (What analyses were carried out? Are they appropriate?) Some questions are not really appropriate – generation of lat/long is not really an issue. Forecasting done through Tableau, not Weka.			(20 marks) 10
Results (Are the results clearly stated? Do they meet the objectives?) Difficult to link displayed results with the stated questions. Good association analysis.			(25 marks) 15
Results (What further analyses could be carried out if you had more time?) General idea of just doing more stuff.			(20 marks) 11
General comments Needs closer link between the questions asked and the			
Date	20/5/19	Agreed Final Grade (%)	64.
Tutor Signature	Nik Whitehead		
Second Marker Signature	 		

61