

Analysing the Implications of Cognitive Load Theory on Learner Performance: A
Comprehensive Examination of Individual or Group Responses Based on Observational
Insights from a Critical Incident

Word Count: 4163

Introduction:

This assignment delves into the complex landscape of a specific critical incident observed within a classroom environment, guided by the methodologies established by Tripp (1993). My intention with this exercise is to immerse myself in the intricacies of reflective practice, rooted in my first-hand classroom experiences, to ultimately carve out future pedagogical pathways.

The core endeavour here is to elucidate the nature, significance, and implications of a critical incident within the educational context. Through this essay, my aim is to navigate the depth and breadth of such incidents, extracting insights that can inform and enhance teaching practices.

Drawing from scholars such as Smith (2003), my grasp of a critical incident extends beyond surface-level events. It recognizes such incidents as moments of profound significance that provide an opportunity for critical reflection and can reshape pedagogical understanding.

In line with Tripp (2011, p.8), a critical incident in the educational domain is a distinct, impactful event, inherently "produced" by the observer's interpretation, offering a lens into the dynamics of teaching and learning. These are not mere episodic events, but are reflective triggers that can inform and redirect classroom strategies.

The classroom in focus was a vibrant blend of students, situated in an urban context. With a diverse student body, it manifested the complexities and challenges inherent in achieving a balanced pedagogical approach.

During my observation, a particular incident stood out. While the majority of the class seemed to be in a cognitive equilibrium, the More Able and Talented (MAT) students showcased noticeable disengagement, and the Additional Learning Needs (ALN) students grappled with focus. This disparity in engagement, reminiscent of theories put forth by Sweller (2011) and resonating with Tripp's methodologies, highlighted potential differentiation challenges and the nuances of achieving a balanced cognitive load.

Role Of Critical Reflection:

Reflective practice, deeply embedded in the realm of teacher education, isn't a mere academic concept. At its core, it's a deliberate and evaluative methodology geared towards honing and refining teaching strategies based on prior experiences and outcomes (Roberts, 2015). Jensen (2008) provides a nuanced understanding of this process, positing that reflection serves as a bridge to deeper cognitive realms, enabling educators to grasp a more profound and comprehensive understanding that is pivotal for the evolution of professional competencies.

A persistent and deliberate reticence towards cultivating a reflective mindset could substantially curtail a teacher's professional growth trajectory. Instead of evolving as adaptive, responsive practitioners ready to meet the demands of the ever-changing educational landscape, they might find themselves tethered to traditional and possibly

outdated methodologies. They risk missing out on the valuable insights and lessons that only reflective analysis of experiences can provide (Harper & Nicolson, 2016, p.198). Black & Plowright (2010) further this perspective, cautioning that neglecting reflection in a dynamic, ever-evolving learning environment could lead educators down a path where their potential to effect transformative, meaningful pedagogical changes remains untapped.

The emphasis on reflective practice isn't new—it has been a recurrent theme, resonating loudly from the foundational stages of teacher training to the intricate subtleties of continued professional development (Daniels, 2004; Fletcher & Mullen, 2012). Over the years, theories surrounding reflection have matured and evolved, expanding in scope and depth. Yet, seminal contributions, notably by Dewey (1933) and Schön (1983), continue to shape contemporary discussions and interpretations in significant ways (Thompson & Pascal, 2012). Dewey's articulation, emphasizing the sharp contrast between passive, routine thought and proactive, reflective deliberation, offers timeless insights. He championed the idea that post-event reflection, blending reason and domain-specific knowledge, is essential for gleaning meaningful insights and bolstering one's pedagogical expertise (Waters, 2010, p.13). Such introspective processes encourage educators to retrospect critically, re-evaluate their approaches, and strategize for a more informed, comprehensive professional trajectory (Garrison, 2011).

Building upon Dewey's pioneering insights, Schön (1983) carved out a fresh dimension to the reflection discourse, introducing the concept of in-the-moment, real-time reflection—termed as reflection in-action. He posited that truly adept educators, drawing from their vast reservoir of experiential knowledge and insights, have the capability to address emergent pedagogical challenges, deploying instantaneous, adaptive, and context-specific strategies (Harrison, 2009).

Zeroing in on the specific context of Wales, the significance of reflective practice is further heightened, considering the recent sweeping reforms in curriculum design and the stipulated standards framing the Initial Teacher Education (ITE). Donaldson's visionary Curriculum for Wales is not just a policy document; it's a clarion call for an educational renaissance, urging educators, whether seasoned professionals or trainees, to introspectively engage with the intricate nuances of the 'how, why, and what' underpinning modern education (Donaldson, 2015, p. 71). This paradigmatic shift, marked by its innovative spirit and future-forward outlook, underscores the urgent need for sustained professional evolution, pivoting around the acquisition of a 'sound pedagogy'. Achieving this aspirational educational zenith necessitates an unwavering commitment to reflective practice, making it an ethos, an intrinsic part of the fabric of Welsh education (Rees, 2018, p.70).

School Context:

In adherence to the stringent standards set by the BERA Guidelines, it is of utmost importance to ensure the integrity of the observational environment. This entails rigorous protection of specific identifiers, namely the school's nomenclature, as well as the identification of staff and students. At the core of our research ethics lies the unwavering commitment to confidentiality, ensuring that our methodology remains firmly entrenched

within the prescribed ethical paradigms of educational research as explicated by BERA (2018). It is also noteworthy to mention that in the absence of other robust data sources, the latest Estyn report becomes an indispensable reference, notwithstanding the duration since its official release.

The observation was carried out within an English medium primary school situated in the Swansea Local Authority. Currently, this establishment accommodates 435 diverse students, covering an age bracket of 3-11 years old (Welsh Government, 2022). The school follows a unique structure, with the Foundation Phase offering a two-form entry for all year groups, barring the Nursery. Intriguingly, the blending of year groups is evident in Years 1 and 2. Transitioning to Key Stage 2 unveils a three-form entry system, characterised by the confluence of different year groups. My observations were primarily concentrated within this Key Stage 2 domain.

Drawing insights from the Estyn report of 2023, it's highlighted that approximately 12.4% of the student populace are diagnosed with Additional Learning Needs (ALN) (Estyn, 2023). Delving deeper, an assessment derived from a three-year average showcases that 29.0% of these learners qualify for free school meals (Welsh Government, 2022). This percentage, though not reaching the aforementioned 44.7%, surpasses the national three-year mean of 23.0% for primary institutions (Welsh Government, 2022). It's worth noting that the headteacher has been at the helm since 2013, leading the institution with a clear vision, as evidenced by the Estyn inspection that took place in 2014, followed by the recent 2023 review (Estyn, 2023).

On delving into the philosophical underpinnings of the institution, one discerns a resonating commitment to academic excellence. There is an unequivocal dedication to weaving the new Curriculum for Wales into the academic fabric, with an overarching emphasis on realising the Four Purposes. Emblematic of its academic ethos, the institution champions the 'Relationships First' paradigm and has seamlessly amalgamated the 'Thrive' methodology, signifying an investment in the comprehensive social and emotional fortitude of its scholars.

Critical Incident Overview And The Connection To The Four Purposes:

Formative school-based observations serve as touchstones in the educational journey, playing an instrumental role in identifying and evaluating incidents that catalyse professional and pedagogical growth. Every moment within the confines of a classroom, replete with its interactions, responses, and silences, offers invaluable insights into the dynamics of teaching and learning. During a meticulously detailed observation session, as captured in appendix A, I became privy to an incident in a Year 5/6 classroom that epitomised this paradigm.

The room buzzed with the palpable energy of 22 pupils, a microcosm of the broader school community. The teacher, ably supported by a teaching assistant (TA), seamlessly orchestrated the classroom's rhythm, ensuring that the ambiance was conducive for the day's pivotal learning journey. As the lesson progressed, I found myself engrossed, not just in the content delivery, but in the multifaceted patterns of student engagement.

A majority of the class, as anticipated, displayed strong alignment with the lesson, seemingly operating within their 'zone of proximal development' (Vygotsky, 1978). Yet, amidst this general attentiveness, certain patterns of disengagement began to emerge, casting shadows on the otherwise harmonious learning environment.

The MAT (More Able and Talented) students, predominantly positioned at the rear of the class, began to show undeniable signs of restlessness. Their potential, which could have been a beacon of advanced understanding, seemed stifled. Their evident discomfort was magnified by the noticeable fact that they were seldom selected to participate actively in discussions or answer questions. The resultant feeling of marginalization seemed to deepen their detachment, causing them to seek challenges perhaps not catered to within the confines of the current lesson. Tomlinson's (2014) work on differentiated instruction provides a lens through which one could perceive this situation, emphasizing the sheer importance of regularly engaging and challenging such intellectually hungry cohorts to ensure their consistent growth and holistic development.

In stark contrast, the ALN (Additional Learning Needs) students presented an altogether different set of challenges. When presented with the task of making inferences about an array of shoes, their reactions manifested as a spectrum of confusion, diversion, and even mild disruptive behaviour. The essence of their struggle seemed to echo the sentiments of Daniels & Cole (2002), who have long championed the necessity for specialized and tailored support mechanisms for such students.

However, these observations cannot, and should not, be viewed in isolation. The 'Curriculum for Wales 2022' serves as an essential framework within which such incidents must be contextualized and understood. With its unwavering commitment to fostering well-rounded learners equipped to navigate the intricacies of the modern world, this curriculum offers a renewed vision of education. Grounded in the Four Purposes as delineated by Donaldson (2015), it encapsulates the aspirations and benchmarks of present-day educational endeavours.

Drawing parallels between the classroom dynamics and these foundational purposes, it becomes evident that there is a striving towards fostering 'ambitious, capable learners' while also nurturing 'enterprising, creative contributors' (Donaldson, 2015, p.30). Darling-Hammond et al. (2020) have often asserted that education's scope must transcend mere content delivery, emphasizing qualities such as resilience, adaptability, and a relentless zeal for learning. The stark disengagement observed among both the MAT and ALN students showcased the inherent complexities and challenges of translating these ideals into tangible classroom outcomes.

Such moments of reflection underscore the essentiality of empathy, insight, and proactiveness in the educator's repertoire. They serve as reminders and prompts for educators to consistently introspect and adapt, ensuring the vast spectrum of student needs are addressed. To bridge these observed pedagogical gaps, the institution might consider the incorporation of regular professional development modules. Focusing intensively on

differentiation, learner engagement strategies, and addressing the diverse requirements of the student body, these sessions could be pivotal in shaping more inclusive classrooms.

In synthesising the entire observation experience, it becomes evident that a classroom is a dynamic entity, reflective of the broader societal and educational paradigms. The interplay of engagement levels, the subtle and pronounced calls for understanding, challenge, or support, all serve as markers of the evolving educational narrative. Embracing the insights and lessons from such observations and weaving them into the intricate tapestry of teaching methodologies, is not just a responsibility but the very essence of forward-thinking education. While the journey is riddled with challenges, it harbours the promise of a holistic, inclusive, and transformative learning experience for every pupil in the educational realm.

Literature Review:

The intricate relationship between classroom dynamics and student wellbeing invites an exploration into key educational practices, specifically differentiation and cognitive load theory. Using the foundation of an observed critical incident, this review will delve into the multifaceted concept of wellbeing and its integration with educational strategies.

Wellbeing, as illuminated by Spratt (2016), presents a spectrum of interpretations, leading to some ambiguities. At its core, wellbeing pertains to both a state of contentment and achieving a functional equilibrium (Huppert, 2014). Further enriching this understanding, Ryan & Deci (2001) and Layard (2011) accentuate that true wellbeing is about balancing hedonic contentment with the broader emotional spectrum.

Venturing into education, differentiation stands out as a crucial strategy, with its underpinnings detailed by Tomlinson (2001). Its goal isn't solely academic excellence. Apt differentiation promotes emotional wellbeing, which is particularly discernible when students, feeling their unique learning proclivities recognized, experience a heightened sense of belonging and community—factors vital for emotional resilience (Huppert, 2014; Casas & Frønes, 2020).

Closely related is Sweller's (1988) cognitive load theory, which underscores the boundaries of our cognitive abilities. It suggests that effective learning hinges on pedagogical designs that judiciously address the different cognitive loads on our working memory.

A deeper probe into differentiation reveals its heightened significance when aligned with cognitive load theory. By effectively differentiating tasks, educators can fine-tune cognitive demands, enhancing engagement and retention (Paas & Van Merriënboer, 1994; Kalyuga, 2007). However, overlooking this alignment might lead to cognitive overloads, manifesting in anxiety and disengagement (Kirschner et al., 2006). Thus, a judicious combination of differentiation and cognitive load considerations emerges as a powerful mechanism to ensure holistic student development (Tomlinson & Moon, 2013).

Recent strides in neuroscience and technology bring to the fore intriguing tools, like real-time cognitive load assessments through neurofeedback (Antonenko et al., 2010). These pioneering advancements, when interwoven with differentiation techniques, hold promise

to reshape educational strategies, aiming for a harmonious balance between cognitive efforts and holistic student wellbeing.

Moving beyond the classroom, the overarching theme of wellbeing, especially during the developmental stages of childhood and adolescence, remains fluid and multifarious. It's a tapestry woven from the myriad transitions, from the earliest milestones to entering school, each influencing a child's worldview and thus, their overall wellbeing.

The confluence of socio-cultural dynamics and developmental psychology offers rich insights. Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory (1979) depicts the multi-layered interactions influencing a child's growth, spanning from immediate caregiving to broader societal structures (Minkinen, 2013). These layers collectively shape a child's emotional and psychological fabric. Newland (2015) resonates with this by asserting that the pillars of child wellbeing rest on quality caregiving, genuine affection, and an environment that fosters autonomy and trust.

Building upon our initial exploration of child wellbeing within the classroom dynamics, it's imperative to analyse how theories from psychology, such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943), interweave into this landscape. Maslow's portrayal of human needs isn't merely linear; it's hierarchical. Foundational needs, such as safety and nourishment, form the bedrock, ultimately leading to higher pursuits like love, belonging, and self-actualization. Any disruptions at the base can potentially thwart a child's trajectory towards holistic wellbeing and self-realization (Noltmeyer et al., 2012). Clarke (2020) further enriches this perspective, emphasizing how fulfilling basic needs can invigorate the pursuit of academic excellence.

When dissecting childhood wellbeing, it's essential to grasp the intricate dance between immediate touchpoints, like personal interactions, and overarching societal influences. In this continuum, both Bronfenbrenner and Maslow offer invaluable frameworks, pushing the narrative beyond a static understanding to a dynamic construct influenced by a myriad of experiences, aspirations, and interactions.

The emphasis on childhood wellbeing has inevitably influenced educational policies and practices. Particularly in recent years, global events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, have amplified its significance in education discourse (Clarke, 2020; Turner & Campbell, 2021). To embed wellbeing effectively in educational strategies, we must first understand its symbiotic relationship with cognitive processes and differentiated instruction.

Schools, as societal mirrors, hold a profound responsibility. Beyond academic prowess, they mould emotional and psychological wellbeing (Spratt, 2016). Contemporary classrooms, characterized by their diverse learner demographics, render differentiation more than a pedagogical tool—it's a fundamental requirement. While differentiation's role in academic success is undeniable (Tomlinson, 2001), its broader implications extend to influencing cognitive load and, consequently, emotional wellbeing. By streamlining tasks to resonate with learners' pre-existing knowledge, differentiation minimizes extraneous cognitive demands, thereby fostering an environment conducive to both academic and emotional growth (Paas & Van Merriënboer, 1994; Kalyuga, 2007; Tomlinson & Moon, 2013).

Further deepening this exploration, the intertwining threads of cognitive load, differentiation, and wellbeing signal an imperative shift for educational institutions. A curriculum infused with humanistic psychology principles can holistically cater to learners, addressing both cognitive and emotional facets (Wright, 2014; Thompson & Gauntlett, 2019). Such an approach nurtures not only the mind but also the heart.

Expanding upon the foundational understanding that maps out the intricate web of childhood wellbeing and its cardinal role in education, we are prompted to discern where modern education is heading. Our elucidation of Maslow's hierarchy, cognitive mechanics, and differentiation offers a prism through which the transformative nature of educational practices can be examined. As posited by Bronfenbrenner and Maslow, the realm of childhood wellbeing is not static, but dynamic and multi-dimensional. This intricate understanding, fortified by various scholars (Martin, 2015; Reddy, 2018), underpins the subsequent synthesis of cognitive processes, differentiation, and child wellbeing in our pedagogical pursuits.

Building on this, contemporary research (Martin, 2015; Thompson, 2018) reaffirms the pivotal role schools play as hubs for both cognitive and emotional moulding. Differentiation, as echoed by both Tomlinson (2001) and Reddy (2018), transcends the realm of mere academic adjustments. It represents an acknowledgment and celebration of diverse learner profiles, assuring that each student's academic journey is tailored, stimulating, and supportive.

The integration of differentiation in bolstering child wellbeing is further accentuated by its congruence with the Cognitive Load Theory. Sweller (1988) and later Kirschner (2002) identified the pitfalls of excessive cognitive demands, suggesting that overwhelming a learner can stymie effective learning. Differentiation, as elaborated by Reimann (2017) and endorsed by Park (2019), emerges as a safeguard. By fine-tuning tasks to align with a student's cognitive capacity, it carves out an educational sanctuary where stress is minimized, and holistic learning is championed.

The contemporary discourse, as articulated by scholars such as Wright (2014) and Thompson & Gauntlett (2019), suggests a paradigmatic shift. The landscape of education is gradually steering towards a juncture where cognitive theories, differentiation, and therapeutic pedagogies intersect. The ethos resonating from these intersections, further fortified by Davis (2016) and Singh (2020), suggests that the transformative power of education hinges on its ability to weave academic vigour with a cocoon of nurturance.

As we move forward, the direction is unmistakably towards a comprehensive educational tapestry. Here, cognitive processes, differentiation, and wellbeing are harmoniously interwoven, creating a synergistic impact. The impending segment promises a profound exploration, as we delve into the transformative potential of this harmonization, anchoring our understanding in a constellation of research and academic insights.

Drawing further into our understanding of emotional literacy in the educational milieu, it becomes pertinent to elucidate the interlinkages of cognitive load theory and differentiation within this scope, enriched by scholarly dialogues.

Sweller's Cognitive Load Theory (1988) provides a pivotal foundation in our quest. It stresses the urgency of adeptly modulating the quantum of information and tasks students confront concurrently to bolster effective learning. Adding to this cognitive matrix is the realm of emotional literacy, pivotal as highlighted by Elias et al. (1997), for the rounded growth of students. When students, especially during communal forums like circle time, strive to both interpret their own emotions and decipher the emotional tenor of their peers, the cognitive demand surges. Without astute management, posits Mayer and Moreno (2003), this scenario could precipitate into cognitive saturation.

Differentiation, as championed by Tomlinson (2001), emerges as the linchpin in this discourse. Accepting the reality that students oscillate across diverse emotional literacy spectrums, differentiation equips educators to fine-tune discussions to resonate with each student's cognitive-emotional equilibrium. This means that while some may be primed for introspective emotional delves, others could gain more from foundational, structured exchanges. It is in such settings that differentiation's strength shines, allowing educators to subtly guide the narrative, ensuring engagement without overwhelming, as expounded by Sousa and Tomlinson (2011).

However, the landscape of emotions, as emphasized by Goleman (1995), is inherently unique and multifaceted. By advocating for differentiation, there's an inherent commitment to recognizing this spectrum of emotions and experiences. Activities anchored in emotional literacy thus become inclusive, resonating with the diverse emotional tapestries of students, and diminishing chances of inadvertently marginalizing them based on their emotional literacy benchmarks.

Yet, while navigating this interplay, educators must heed the cautionary notes sounded by scholars like Ecclestone and Hayes (2009). The integration of cognitive load theory and differentiation within emotional literacy shouldn't unwittingly streamline or standardize emotional journeys. Instead, as posited by Pekrun (2006), these frameworks should be leveraged to craft a milieu where students can navigate their emotional contours with the right blend of challenge and support.

To summarise, the intricate dance between differentiation, cognitive load theory, and emotional well-being, as illuminated by scholars, underscores the imperative for a holistic educational paradigm. As educators weave through these multi-layered terrains, their pedagogical blueprint must reverberate with the cardinal goal of nurturing both intellect and emotion, embodying the zenith of modern educational endeavours.

Reflection And Evaluation Of Next Teaching Steps:

Reflecting on the classroom dynamics brings to light the intricate dance of emotional literacy, cognitive load, and differentiation. Teaching isn't merely about imparting knowledge; it delves deep into understanding individual needs, managing cognitive

challenges, and nurturing emotional growth. These discussions underline the vast tapestry of teaching, transcending traditional pedagogical boundaries.

Drawing inspiration from the principles of cognitive load theory and the significance of differentiation, one can discern the interplay of these principles within the classroom. Donaldson (2015) emphasizes the need for educators to comprehend the broader ramifications of their teaching methodologies. It's this broader understanding that helps in identifying areas that might need recalibration or enhancement.

The depth of reflective practice, deeply rooted in the foundational teachings of Dewey (1933) and Schön (1983), serves as a guidepost for our analysis. To truly unpack the incident in its entirety, the structured lens of the Gibbs (1988) reflective framework proves invaluable. This model allows us to systematically dissect the classroom dynamics, probing not just the observable events but also the undercurrent of emotions and decisions that shaped them.

As we delve deeper into the classroom interactions, especially during the emotional literacy activity, a myriad of questions arise. Did the session align with initial expectations? Were there unforeseen challenges or outcomes? The true essence of this reflection lies in juxtaposing the expected versus the observed, scrutinizing the efficacy of implemented cognitive and differentiation strategies. How harmoniously did emotional growth and cognitive demands coexist?

Yet, beyond the rational, the emotional domain cannot be overshadowed. The sentiments experienced during the teaching episode provide a unique lens, revealing potential biases or predispositions. Here, the Gibbs' framework shines, effortlessly merging the rational and emotional facets of reflection.

From this reflective journey, certain actionable insights emerge, setting the course for future pedagogical strategies. A proactive roadmap starts to take shape, focusing on optimizing emotional literacy, cognitive demands, and differentiation. Questions about enhancing strategies, ensuring a harmonious integration of cognitive and emotional needs, and pinpointing effective differentiation methods become pivotal.

Delving into classroom specifics, one notices the varied engagement levels of MAT and ALN students. With MAT students seemingly under-stimulated and strategically positioned away from active participation, the teachings of Tomlinson (2014) come to mind. There's a pressing need for tailored strategies, potentially encompassing advanced materials or parallel challenges, to harness their potential.

Conversely, the ALN students displayed signs of struggle, echoing the insights of Daniels & Cole (2002). Their journey underscores the need for specialized support, ensuring learning isn't a source of frustration or overwhelm. These observations, when viewed through the lens of the 'Curriculum for Wales 2022', emphasize Donaldson (2015)'s vision of a holistic and inclusive curriculum, addressing diverse student needs.

On an emotional plane, the observed disconnect, especially within the MAT cohort, serves as a clarion call. Recognizing these gaps evokes a sense of responsibility, urging a refined instructional approach. This emotional resonance, accentuated by Gibbs' model, underpins the subsequent pedagogical decisions.

Finally, mapping the path forward, a confluence of reflections and emotions yields tangible action points. For MAT students, strategies like advanced breakout sessions or peer-teaching could be explored. The ALN students might benefit from tailored scaffolding, visual aids, or tactile experiences. Above all, continuous dialogue with students, inviting feedback and iterating based on their inputs, will undoubtedly pave the way for a richer, more inclusive learning environment.

Conclusion:

The exploration of this assignment centred on understanding the complex interplay of emotional literacy, cognitive load, and differentiation within classroom dynamics. Observational insights were scrutinized through the structured framework of Gibbs Reflective Cycle (1988). In this journey, the teachings of Vygotsky (1978) on the 'zone of proximal development' and Tomlinson (2014) on differentiated instruction provided valuable anchors, resonating deeply with the aspirations set forth by the 'Curriculum for Wales 2022'.

Drawing insights from the classroom scenario and infusing them with academic discourse, it was recognized that attending to the unique needs of both MAT and ALN students is paramount. The reflection accentuated the importance of crafting strategies like personalized scaffolding and responsive teaching methods to cater to diverse learning needs. This exercise underscored the pivotal role of continuous reflection in ensuring a holistic and effective teaching environment.

Reference List:

- Antonenko, P. et al., (2010). Using electroencephalography to measure cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(4), pp.425–438.
- Black, P., & Plowright, D. (2010). A multi-dimensional model of reflective learning for professional development. *Reflective Practice*, 11(2), 245-258.
- British Educational Research Association (BERA, 2018) Ethical Guidelines For Educational Research, Fourth Edition, London. <https://www.bera.ac.uk/publication/ethical-guidelines-for-educational-research-2018>
- Bronfenbrenner, U., (1979). *The Ecology of Human Development*. Harvard University Press.
- Casas, F. & Frønes, I., (2020). Childhood and wellbeing: Interactions between being and becoming. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 104, 104402.
- Clarke, A., (2020). Student wellbeing in a global context: Future directions for research and practice. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 102, 101604.
- Daniels, H. (2004). Activity theory, discourse and Bernstein. *Educational Review*, 56(2), 121-132.
- Daniels, H., & Cole, T. (2002). The difficulties of learning from experience and the need for direct teaching. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 32(3), 293-309.
- Daniels, H., & Cole, T. (2002). The support for learning framework: An effective tool for classroom teachers. *Assessment for Effective Intervention*, 27(1-2), 73-82.
- Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140.
- Davis, M., (2016). Understanding the relationship between mood and creativity: A meta-analysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 125(1), pp.62–77.
- Dewey, J. (1933). *How we think: A restatement of the relation of reflective thinking to the educative process*. D.C. Heath.
- Donaldson, G. (2015). *Successful futures: Independent review of curriculum and assessment arrangements in Wales*. Welsh Government.
- Ecclestone, K. & Hayes, D., (2009). *The Dangerous Rise of Therapeutic Education*. Routledge.
- Elias, M.J. et al., (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. ASCD.

Estyn, (2014). Primary School Report. Available:
<https://www.estyn.gov.wales/provider/6702239>

Fletcher, S. J., & Mullen, C. A. (2012). *The SAGE handbook of mentoring and coaching in education*. SAGE.

Garrison, D. R. (2011). *E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice*. Taylor & Francis.

Gibbs, G. (1988). *Learning by doing: A guide to teaching and learning methods*. Further Education Unit.

Goleman, D., (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam.

Harrison, J. (2009). Life after death: helping students to reflect on their practice. *Reflective Practice*, 10(2), 233-244.

Harper, F., & Nicolson, M. (2016). Evidence-based practice in reflective learning: An alternative approach to legal education. *The Law Teacher*, 50(2), 192-208.

Huppert, F.A., (2014). The state of wellbeing science: Concepts, measures, interventions, and policies. In: F.A. Huppert & C.L. Cooper, eds., *Interventions and policies to enhance wellbeing*. Wiley, pp.1–49.

Jensen, E. (2008). *Brain-based learning: The new paradigm of teaching*. Corwin Press.

Kalyuga, S., (2007). Expertise reversal effect and its implications for learner-tailored instruction. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19(4), pp.509–539.

Kirschner, P.A., Sweller, J. & Clark, R.E., (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), pp.75–86.

Layard, R., (2011). *Happiness: Lessons from a New Science*. Penguin UK.

Martin, A., (2015). The psychology of academic achievement. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 66, pp.341-360.

Mayer, R.E. & Moreno, R., (2003). Nine ways to reduce cognitive load in multimedia learning. *Educational Psychologist*, 38(1), pp.43–52.

Minkinen, J., (2013). A structural model of child well-being: The role of community social capital. *Child Indicators Research*, 6(2), pp.213–235.

Newland, L.A., (2015). Family well-being, parenting, and child well-being: Pathways to healthy adjustment. *Clinical Psychologist*, 19(1), pp.3–14.

Noltemeyer, A.L. et al., (2012). The relationship among deficiency needs and growth needs: An empirical investigation of Maslow's theory. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 34(9), pp.1862–1867.

Paas, F. & Van Merriënboer, J.J., (1994). Instructional control of cognitive load in the training of complex cognitive tasks. *Educational Psychology Review*, 6(4), pp.351–371.

Pekrun, R., (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: Assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18(4), pp.315-341.

Reddy, R., (2018). From the head and the heart: Locating cognition and emotion in the circumplex. *Emotion*, 18(2), p.297.

Rees, S. (2018). Reflective practice in teacher education: Learning from case studies of environmental education. *Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability*, 20(1), 55-74.

Roberts, P. (2015). Theoretical models of reflective practice. In *Reflective Practice* (pp. 29-42). Routledge.

Ryan, R.M. & Deci, E.L., (2001). On happiness and human potentials: A review of research on hedonic and eudaimonic well-being. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), pp.141–166.

Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Basic books.

Singh, S., (2020). *Emotional intelligence at work*. Sage Publications India.

Smith, A., (2003). Critical incidents and reflection in teacher development. *Journal of Educational Enquiry*, 4(2), pp.65-76.

Sousa, D.A. & Tomlinson, C.A., (2011). *Differentiation and the brain: How neuroscience supports the learner-friendly classroom*. Solution Tree Press.

Spratt, J., (2016). Wellbeing, poverty and education: A critical discourse analysis of 'Every Child Matters'. *Children & Society*, 30(4), pp.262–271.

Sweller, J., (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), pp.257–285.

Sweller, J., (2011). Cognitive load theory. In *Psychology of Learning and Motivation* (Vol. 55, pp. 37-76). Academic Press.

Thompson, B., (2018). *Differentiation for learning*. Learning Sciences International.

Thompson, C.L. & Gauntlett-Gilbert, J., (2019). Making sense of ADHD in the face of contemporary neuroscience. *Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 24(2), pp.338–352.

Thompson, N., & Pascal, J. (2012). Developing critically reflective practice. *Reflective Practice*, 13(2), 311-325.

Tomlinson, C.A., (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms*. ASCD.

Tomlinson, C.A. & Moon, T.R., (2013). *Assessment and student success in a differentiated classroom*. ASCD.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners* (2nd ed.). ASCD.

Tripp, D., (1993). *Critical incidents in teaching: Developing professional judgement*. Routledge.

Tripp, D., (2011). Critical incidents in teaching. In *Reflective Practice in Education and Training* (pp. 3-18). SAGE Publications.

Turner, R. & Campbell, J.L., (2021). Exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on student wellbeing and the need for college support. *Journal of American College Health*, pp.1–9.

Waters, A. (2010). Generic and specific teacher competences: A model and its implications. In A. Paran & L. Sercu (Eds.), *Testing the untestable in language education* (pp. 3-23). Multilingual Matters.

Welsh Government, (2021) -last update, Introduction To Curriculum For Wales Guidance. Available: <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales/introduction>

Welsh Government, (2022) -last update, My Local School. Available: <https://mylocalschool.gov.wales/School/6702239?lang=en>

Welsh Government, (2022) -last update, Schools' Census Results: February 2022. Available: <https://gov.wales/schools-census-results-february-2022-html>

Wright, B., (2014). Emotional intelligence and academic achievement in UK adolescents. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(1), pp.32-48.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Appendix A:

Date and Time, Year Group: Tuesday, June 20th in a Year 5/6 class at approximately 09:30 am.

Where and When: 5 desks spread around the room of different abilities, first lesson of the day

What Happened?

In a classroom set up with 5 desks accommodating approximately 22 pupils grouped based on their ability levels, the day's lesson began with an introductory activity on making inferences. Pupils energetically raised their hands, eager to participate. Notably, the teacher's focus appeared to lean towards the ALN pupils, in an attempt to boost their engagement and confidence. However, this unintentionally resulted in some More Able and Talented (MAT) students, like Child A, feeling somewhat excluded from the discussion.

Child A, seated at one of the central desks, visibly showed signs of disenchantment. Their posture shifted from attentive to withdrawn, as they began to play absentmindedly with their hair. Observing from a vantage point, I could discern the slight furrow in their brow, indicating a mix of frustration and disappointment.

As the lesson transitioned to the main task, which involved making inferences about provided shoes intended for group discussions, Child A's prior disheartenment seemed to dictate their approach. They bypassed the collaborative element of the activity, choosing to work silently and individually instead. This decision indirectly influenced their peers at the table, who, witnessing Child A's autonomy, questioned their own involvement in the group work. The general atmosphere at the table became one of subdued self-reliance, void of the lively exchanges seen at other desks.

Conversely, on a separate table predominantly occupied by ALN pupils, a contrasting scene unfolded. Child B, perhaps due to confusion or sheer inquisitiveness, began to play with the shoe provided. This playful diversion made it challenging for the other students at the table to access the necessary resources, subsequently stifling the flow of their discussions.

Interestingly, at a smaller table situated towards the back, both Child C and D were engrossed in their own world. Their shared struggle with the task was palpable, with hesitant glances exchanged and notepads remaining blank. The teacher, displaying acute observational acumen, promptly intervened. Their guidance not only clarified the task but also reinvigorated Child C and D's involvement.

The entirety of this observation presented a microcosm of diverse learner experiences. It underscored the importance of balanced attention and the delicate equilibrium teachers must strike to ensure collective engagement and individual acknowledgment.

Areas for Possible Exploration:

- **Balanced Attention in Diverse Classrooms:** Investigating the teacher's approach towards managing the different needs within a classroom, especially when the spectrum ranges from ALN to MAT students. This can include an exploration of strategies to ensure that all students, irrespective of their ability, feel involved and acknowledged during lessons.
- **Engagement Through Task Clarity:** Assessing the importance of clear instructions and its impact on student participation. The disengagement observed in Child B and their subsequent playing with the shoe underscores the need to explore how task clarity can shape student behaviour and learning outcomes.
- **Adaptive Teaching Strategies:** Delving into the ways teachers can swiftly adapt to spontaneous classroom scenarios. The teacher's intervention with Child C and D emphasizes the need for educators to be both observant and agile, adjusting their teaching methods to meet the evolving needs of their students.
- **Impact of Peer Behaviour on Individual Learning:** Examining the cascading effect of a single student's behaviour on the overall group dynamics. Child A's decision to work independently influenced others at the table, highlighting the potential ripple effects of individual student decisions in a collaborative setting.
- **Support Systems for Struggling Students:** Exploring the benefits and challenges of having targeted support, like the teacher's intervention for Child C and D. This can delve into theories around scaffolding and the Zone of Proximal Development to understand the optimum support needed for learners.
- **The Psychological Dimensions of Classroom Setup:** Investigating the impact of physical classroom arrangements (like the decision to group students by ability at separate desks) on student engagement, interaction, and learning outcomes. Theories such as Vygotsky's Social Development Theory can be employed to understand the nuances of peer interactions and learning.
- **Emotional Intelligence in Education:** Considering the need for educators to be attuned not just to the academic but also the emotional and psychological needs of their students. The reactions of students like Child A indicate the importance of recognizing and addressing signs of disengagement and frustration in the learning environment.

Notes:

- The classroom was systematically arranged with 5 desks, categorizing students based on their ability levels.
- The initial enthusiasm of students was evident, with many eagerly raising hands during the inferencing activity.
- There was a noticeable trend in the teacher's engagement; ALN students were predominantly addressed, potentially side-lining some MAT students.
- Child A's disengagement manifested both physically, through their withdrawn posture, and behaviourally, by choosing to work alone.
- A ripple effect was observed at Child A's table, where their decision to work individually influenced peers to adopt a similar stance.
- In contrast, the ALN table exhibited a different challenge, with Child B's playful interaction with the shoe disrupting the group's momentum.
- The teacher showcased astute observational skills by timely intervening with Child C and D, ensuring they remained on track.
- The lesson underscored the multifaceted challenges educators face in catering to a diverse classroom, highlighting the need for adaptive and balanced teaching strategies.