



**Critically evaluate the different approaches to leadership
and their effectiveness within the hotel industry.**

Management & Organisational Behaviour (BMIH5004)

Student name : Alexandra Beatrice Crisan
Student id: 220395

Tutor name: Daniel Payne
Word count: 2042

In the fast-paced and customer-focused world of hotels, good leadership is crucial for guiding companies to success (Clark et al., 2009).

The Managerial Grid, created by Robert Blake and Jane Mouton, sorts leadership styles by how much attention leaders give to their team members and getting the job done (Elida -Tomița Todăriță). It identifies five styles, from those who care very little about either (impoverished) to those who care a lot about both (team leadership)" (Elida -Tomița Todăriță).

In the hotel industry, where guest satisfaction and operational efficiency are paramount, the Team Leadership style is often deemed effective" (Clark et al., 2009). However, the Grid's simplistic dichotomy may overlook contextual nuances and fail to address the complexity of leadership dynamics in hospitality settings (Molloy).

The advantages include a simple framework for evaluating leadership styles based on the two primary dimensions represented by the concern for people and the concern for production; a clear framework for understanding various leadership styles based on those considerations (Elida -Tomița Todăriță; Clark et al., 2009).

By plotting these dimensions on a grid, it visually represents different leadership styles, ranging from low concern for both (Impoverished Leadership) to high concern for both (Team Leadership)" (Elida -Tomița Todăriță). So, it's easy to understand and can be applied at various levels of leadership within an organization" (Elida -Tomița Todăriță).

This model also identifies the Team Leadership style as the ideal, balancing both concern for people and concern for production" (Elida -Tomița Todăriță; Clark et al., 2009).

The cons: divided into five leadership styles might oversimplify the complexities of leadership dynamics, particularly in industries like hospitality where contextual factors play a significant role and it may not account for the fluid nature of leadership situations where a blend of different styles might be necessary. The Managerial Grid posits that the ideal leadership style is Team Leadership, which balances both concern for people and concern for production.

This style is characterised by high levels of employee satisfaction and productivity, as leaders prioritise both the needs of their team members and the achievement of organisational goals (Clark et al., 2009). By highlighting Team Leadership as the ideal, the Grid provides a benchmark for leaders to strive towards, promoting a culture of collaboration and performance excellence within the organisation.

John Adair's ACL model emphasises achieving the task, building and maintaining the team, and developing individuals simultaneously (Adair, 2009). In the hotel industry, where teamwork and adaptability are essential, Adair's model offers a holistic approach to leadership. By focusing on task accomplishment, team cohesion, and individual growth, leaders can navigate the multifaceted challenges inherent in hospitality operations.

However, implementing Adair's model requires a deep understanding of situational demands and the ability to balance competing priorities effectively. Adair's model offers a holistic approach by emphasizing task accomplishment, team building,

and individual development simultaneously. It takes a holistic approach to leadership by emphasizing three key elements concurrently: task accomplishment, team building, and individual development (Adair, 2009).

By focusing on these aspects concurrently, leaders can ensure not only the achievement of organisational objectives but also the development and cohesion of the team members. It provides flexibility by allowing leaders to balance and prioritise tasks, team needs, and individual growth based on situational demands. This adaptability is particularly valuable in the dynamic and fast-paced environment of the hospitality industry, where situations can change rapidly. Cons: Adair's model may appear complex to implement compared to more straightforward frameworks, requiring a deep understanding of leadership dynamics and situational awareness. Adair's model may appear complex to implement compared to more straightforward frameworks like the Managerial Grid. It requires a deep understanding of leadership dynamics and situational awareness, which may pose challenges for leaders who are not accustomed to such comprehensive approaches. Balancing task accomplishment, team cohesion, and individual development might be challenging, particularly in high-pressure environments where operational demands are intense. Leaders may find it difficult to allocate time and resources effectively to address all three aspects simultaneously, leading to potential trade-offs and compromises (Adair, 2009).

McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y propose contrasting assumptions about human nature and motivation in the workplace. Theory X views employees as inherently lazy and unmotivated, requiring strict supervision and control, while Theory Y posits that employees are self-motivated and capable of assuming responsibility (McGregor, 2003). In the hotel industry, where frontline staff interactions significantly

impact guest experiences, embracing Theory Y principles can foster a culture of empowerment and customer-centricity.

Pros: Insight into Employee Motivation: McGregor's theory offers insights into different assumptions about employee motivation, helping leaders understand and address the underlying beliefs that shape organizational culture. Theory Y encourages empowerment and employee involvement, which can foster a positive work environment and enhance customer satisfaction in the hospitality industry.

Cons: McGregor's theory might over-generalise employee behaviour by categorising them into rigid stereotypes (X or Y), overlooking individual differences and contextual factors. Embracing Theory Y principles, particularly in environments characterised by high turnover rates and hierarchical structures, may pose implementation challenges and resistance from traditional management paradigms.

In the hotel industry, how leaders do their job well depends on different things like company culture, the market, and how good they are at leading. Using a mix of the Managerial Grid, Adair's ACL model and McGregor's X and Y Theory can help leaders be better at their job in hotels.

The hospitality industry stands as a vibrant and dynamic sector, driven by the dual imperatives of guest satisfaction and operational efficiency. In this intricate ecosystem, effective leadership is not merely a preference but a necessity. The diverse and demanding nature of hospitality operations necessitates managerial styles that prioritise collaboration, employee development, innovation, and adaptability. Drawing insights from various leadership theories and the unique characteristics of the industry, this essay explores and elucidates the preferred managerial styles within the hospitality domain.

At the core of effective leadership in hospitality lies the concept of Team Leadership. As delineated by Blake and Mouton's Managerial Grid, Team Leadership strikes a delicate balance between concern for people and concern for production. In an industry where seamless teamwork is the linchpin of success, leaders who embody this style foster collaboration, empower their teams, and nurture a culture of accountability and ownership.

Complementing the ethos of Team Leadership is Adair's Model of Action-Centred Leadership (ACL), which advocates for a holistic approach encompassing task accomplishment, team building, and individual development. In the fast-paced and service-oriented environment of hospitality, ACL resonates deeply, emphasising the importance of effective teamwork, clear communication, and continuous learning. Leaders who embrace ACL principles prioritize both the immediate demands of daily operations and the long-term growth and cohesion of their teams.

While not explicitly delineated in traditional leadership theories, Transformational Leadership emerges as a preferred style within the hospitality industry. Transformational leaders inspire and motivate their teams by articulating a compelling vision, fostering innovation and creativity, and empowering employees to contribute their ideas and talents. In an industry characterised by intense competition and evolving consumer preferences, transformational leaders catalyse change, instil a culture of continuous improvement, and drive organisational excellence.

In the ever-changing landscape of hospitality, characterised by fluctuating demand patterns, evolving market dynamics, and unforeseen challenges, Situational Leadership emerges as a pragmatic approach to navigating complexity. Situational leaders assess the specific demands of each scenario and adjust their leadership style

accordingly, whether it involves providing direction, offering support, coaching, or delegating tasks. By adeptly navigating complex situations and effectively leveraging the strengths of their teams, situational leaders enable hospitality organizations to capitalize on opportunities, mitigate risks, and deliver exceptional outcomes.

The effectiveness of leadership approaches within the hospitality industry is intimately intertwined with the core function of managerial leadership. Across various leadership styles, such as Team Leadership, Action-Centred Leadership, Transformational Leadership, and Situational Leadership, several key functions emerge that are pivotal for success in hospitality establishments.

Firstly, leadership approaches provide strategic direction and vision for the organization. Leaders who articulate a compelling vision aligned with organizational goals and values inspire and motivate employees, guiding the organization towards its long-term objectives.

Secondly, leadership approaches influence the organizational culture and climate within hospitality establishments. Leaders who prioritize collaboration, employee development, and innovation shape a positive work environment characterized by trust, transparency, and mutual respect, fostering high levels of employee engagement and commitment.

Thirdly, effective leadership approaches emphasize team building and development. Leaders invest in building cohesive and high-performing teams capable of delivering exceptional guest experiences. Through employee training, mentorship, and professional development initiatives, leaders nurture talent and foster a culture of continuous learning and improvement.

Furthermore, leadership approaches play a crucial role in driving operational excellence within hospitality establishments. Leaders prioritize efficiency, productivity, and quality control, ensuring that operational processes are streamlined and optimized to meet guest expectations.

Moreover, in the dynamic and competitive landscape of the hospitality industry, adaptability and innovation are essential for success. Leadership approaches, such as Transformational Leadership and Situational Leadership, cultivate a culture of innovation, adaptability, and continuous improvement. By encouraging creativity, experimentation, and risk-taking, leaders empower employees to identify and capitalize on emerging opportunities, driving innovation and maintaining a competitive edge in the market.

Effective leadership in the hospitality industry goes beyond mere management; it encompasses the ability to inspire, motivate, and guide teams towards achieving organizational objectives while delivering exceptional guest experiences. According to a study by Avci and Avcikurt (2019), leadership styles significantly impact employee job satisfaction, which in turn influences guest satisfaction and organizational performance.

One prominent leadership approach within the hospitality industry is Team Leadership, characterized by a focus on both task accomplishment and team cohesion. Research by Pizam and Thornburg (2000) suggests that Team Leadership promotes collaboration, empowers employees, and enhances job satisfaction, leading to improved guest satisfaction and organizational performance. By prioritizing the needs of both employees and guests, leaders adopting a Team Leadership approach

can create a positive work environment conducive to high levels of employee engagement and productivity.

Adair's Model of Action-Centred Leadership (ACL) emphasizes the simultaneous achievement of tasks, team building, and individual development. This holistic approach is particularly relevant in the hospitality industry, where effective teamwork and continuous learning are essential for delivering exceptional service. According to a study by Walumbwa et al. (2008), ACL fosters employee creativity, innovation, and job satisfaction, ultimately enhancing organizational effectiveness and guest satisfaction. Leaders who prioritize task accomplishment, team dynamics, and employee development can create a culture of excellence and continuous improvement within hospitality establishments.

Transformational Leadership, characterized by visionary leadership, inspiration, and empowerment, is another effective approach in the hospitality industry. Research by Bass and Avolio (1994) suggests that transformational leaders inspire employees to transcend self-interests and work towards shared goals, leading to increased employee motivation, commitment, and performance. In the context of hospitality, where differentiation and innovation are crucial for success, transformational leaders can drive organizational change, foster a culture of innovation, and deliver memorable guest experiences. By encouraging creativity, empowering employees, and challenging the status quo, transformational leaders can propel hospitality establishments towards sustained growth and competitive advantage.

Situational Leadership emphasizes the need for leaders to adapt their leadership style based on the specific demands of each situation. In the hospitality

industry, characterized by fluctuating demand patterns, evolving guest expectations, and diverse workforce dynamics, situational leadership is invaluable. Research by Hersey and Blanchard (1969) suggests that situational leaders effectively assess the readiness and capabilities of their team members, adjusting their leadership approach accordingly to achieve optimal results. By providing the right guidance, support, or delegation based on situational demands, leaders can navigate complex scenarios, optimize team performance, and enhance guest satisfaction.

In conclusion, effective leadership is essential for success in the hospitality industry. Leadership approaches such as Team Leadership, Action-Centred Leadership, Transformational Leadership, and Situational Leadership offer valuable insights and strategies for fostering collaboration, engagement, innovation, and adaptability within hospitality establishments. By drawing upon these approaches and aligning leadership practices with organizational goals and values, hospitality leaders can inspire their teams, delight guests, and achieve sustainable success in a dynamic and competitive marketplace.

Bibliography

1. Adair, J. E. (1984). *Action-centred leadership*. London: McGrawHill.
2. Adair, J. E. (2009). *The inspirational leader: how to motivate, encourage and achieve success*.
3. Arnold, J. (Year). *Work psychology: Understanding human behaviour in the workplace* (4th ed.). Harlow, England.
4. Bloisi, W., Cook, C. W., & Hunsaker, P. L. (2007). *Management and organisational behaviour* (2nd European ed.).
5. Boddy, D. (2017). *Management: An introduction* (7th ed.). Harlow: Pearson.
6. Brooks, I. (2018). *Organisational behaviour: Individuals, groups and organizations* (5th ed.). Harlow: Pearson.
7. Buchanan, D. (2019). *Organizational behaviour* (10th ed.). Harlow: Pearson.
8. Buchanan, D. A. (2017). *Organizational behaviour* (9th ed.). Harlow: Pearson.
9. Babaita, C., Sipos, G., Ispas, A., & Nagy, A. (Year). *Leadership style and culture for innovation in hotel industry*.

10. Cho, J., & Dansereau, F. (2010). Are transformational leaders fair? A multi-level study of transformational leadership, justice perceptions, and organizational citizenship behaviours. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21(3), 409–421.
11. Clark, R. A., Hartline, M. D., & Jones, K. C. (2009). The effects of leadership style on hotel employees' commitment to service quality. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 50 (2), 209–231.
12. Davies, B., & Downward, P. (1996). The structure, conduct, performance paradigm as applied to the UK hotel industry. *Tourism Economics*, 2 (2), 151–158.
13. Dumdum, U. R., Lowe, K. B., & Avolio, B. (2002). A meta-analysis of transformational and transactional leadership correlates of effectiveness and satisfaction: an update and extension. In B.J. Avolio, & F.J. Yammarino (Eds.), *Transformational and charismatic leadership: The road ahead*. Oxford, UK.
14. Felfe, J., & Schyns, B. (2004). Is similarity in leadership related to organizational outcomes? The case of transformational leadership. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 10 (4), 92–102.
15. Hinkin, T., & Tracey, J. (1994). Transformational leadership in the hospitality industry. *Hospitality Research Journal*, 18, 49–61.

16. How to grow leaders: *The seven key principles of effective leadership development*. London: Kogan Page, 2005.
17. Jones, P. (1999). Multi-unit management in the hospitality industry: A late twentieth-century problem. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 11(4), 155–164.
18. Mullins, L. J., & Dossor, P. (2013). *Organisational behaviour in the workplace* (12th ed.). Harlow, United Kingdom: Pearson Education Limited.
19. Ogaard, T., Marnburg, E., & Larsen, S. (2008). Perceptions of organizational structure in the hospitality industry: Consequences for commitment, job satisfaction and perceived performance. *Tourism Management*, 29 (4), 661–671.
20. Molloy, P. L. (Year). *A Review of the Managerial Grid Model of Leadership and its Role as a Model of Leadership Culture*.
21. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. (2017). *Organizational behaviour* (17th ed.). Harlow: Pearson.
22. Rollinson, D. (2008). *Organizational behaviour and analysis: An integrated approach* (4th ed.). Harlow: Financial Times Prentice Hall.

