

Research Project Design

ACBA5024

Ross Montanari | Research Project Design | 07/04/2026

Abstract

This research proposal investigates whether timber frame construction offers measurable cost and better performance advantages over traditional brick and blockwork in the UK domestic housing. The UK construction industry is under great pressure to address housing shortages, with an estimated 300,000 new homes per year (Buildings 2022, 12, 1177). Despite this, traditional building methods remain dominant, with questions being asked about efficiency, cost and sustainability.

This study will take up a mixed methods approach, combining measurable analysis of construction cost, programme durations and lifecycle performance with qualitative data found through semi structured interviews with industry professionals. Existing research suggests that while timber frame construction offers benefits in speed and sustainability, masonry construction often remains more cost effective and widely used.

However, current literature lacks consistent real-world cost comparisons and does not fully account for industry decision-making factors. This research aims to address these gaps by providing a structured comparison of timber frame and masonry construction. The findings will contribute to improved understanding among developers, designers, and policymakers, supporting more informed decisions in response to housing demand and sustainability targets.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Literature Review
3. Research Design and Methodology
4. Timeline
5. Expected Outcomes

1. Introduction

1.1 Background Study

The UK residential construction sector faces significant challenges in meeting the housing demand, with estimates suggesting a requirement of approximately 300,000 new homes annually (UK Government, 2023). Despite government initiatives and policy interventions, delivery rates remain insufficient, highlighting inefficiencies within practices in the sector.

Traditional brick and block construction remains the dominant method in the UK housing sector. This is largely due to its established supply chains, widespread industry familiarity, and long-standing reputation for durability and reliability. However, this method is often criticised for being labour-intensive, time-consuming, and less adaptable to modern construction demands. Labour shortages within the industry have further exacerbated these issues, limiting the ability to scale up housing delivery effectively. (CITB, 2023)

In response, there has been a growing interest in alternative construction methods, particularly timber frame construction and Modern Methods of construction. Timber frame construction is associated with faster build times, reduced on site labour, and improved thermal performance. In contrast masonry construction is recognised for its durability, cost stability and strong market acceptance. Prior research indicates that while timber frame may offer efficiency benefits, masonry construction often remains more cost-effective due to lower material costs and established supply chains.

This makes a complex decision-making environment within the industry, where developers must balance cost, speed, risk and sustainability. As a result, a structured and critical comparison of these construction methods is required to support informed decision making within the UK housing sector.

In addition to academic research, this study is informed by practical awareness of construction processes and industry challenges. Real-world construction decisions are often influenced by site conditions, labour availability and contractor experience, which are not always fully captured in theoretical studies. This highlights the importance of combining empirical data with industry insight to produce findings that are both academically robust and practically relevant.

1.2 Research Problem

Despite the growing interest in timber frame construction as a faster and more sustainable building method, there is a lack of clear and consistent evidence regarding the cost effectiveness and overall performance when compared to traditional masonry methods. Existing studies provide mixed findings, with some suggesting that timber frame offers

faster construction and sustainability benefits, while others highlight higher material cost and supply chain challenges.

Furthermore, there is limited research that combines both quantitative cost data and qualitative industry perspectives to give better understanding of construction method selection.

The lack of comprehensive analysis limits the ability of the developers and decision makers to understand the implications of choosing one building method over the other. Therefore, there is a need for research that combines both measurable data and industry insights to provide a more complete evaluation.

1.3 Research Question

Does timber frame construction deliver measurable cost and performance advantages over traditional brick and block work methods in the UK domestic housing projects?

1.4 Aim and Objectives

Aim

To evaluate and compare timber frame and masonry construction methods in terms of cost, performance and industry adoption within UK domestic Housing.

Objectives

- To compare construction costs between timber frame and masonry methods
- To analyse differences in programme duration and building efficiency
- To assess lifecycle performance and sustainability considerations
- To investigate industry perceptions and decisions-making factors
- To evaluate the role of modern construction approaches in addressing housing demand.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research is significant in the context of increasing pressure on the UK construction industry to improve productivity, reduce environmental impact, and address housing shortages. By providing a structured comparison between timber frame and masonry

construction, the study will contribute to both the academic knowledge and practical decision-making within the industry.

The findings will be particularly relevant to developers, contractors, and policymakers, as they seek to balance cost efficiency, construction speed, and sustainability. Additionally, the research may support the wider adoption of Modern Methods of Construction (MMC) by identifying barriers and opportunities associated with alternative building systems.

2. Literature Review

2.1 UK Housing Demand and Construction Challenges

The UK Housing crisis is driven by population growth and insufficient housing supply. Government efforts have attempted to address this challenge, yet delivery rates continue to fall short of the specified targets (Buildings 2022, 12, 1177) (UK Government, 2023). This highlights the inefficiencies within this construction industry and the need for improved productivity.

Labour shortages, particularly in skilled trades such as bricklaying, have massively impacted the construction output. This has resulted in increased labour cost and delays in project delivery. In addition, material price volatility, influenced by the global supply chain disruptions, has introduced further uncertainty into the construction process.

Sustainability is also a key driver, with increasing pressure on the construction sector to reduce the carbon emissions and meet net-zero targets. This has encouraged the adoption of alternative construction methods.

2.2 Timber Frame Construction

Timber frame construction has gained increasing attention as a potential solution to improve construction efficiency. One of its main advantages is the speed of construction, with studies indicating shorter build times compared to masonry construction (Buildings 2022, 12, 1177). This is mainly because of the use of off-site manufacturing, which allows parts to be prefabricated and assembled on site.

Additional benefits include improved thermal performance, adding to the reduced energy consumption (BRE, 2021). Timber is also considered a renewable material, which aligns with sustainability objectives.

However, timber frame construction also presents challenges, including higher material costs, reliance on imported timber, and supply chain risks and cost instability. Furthermore, concerns regarding fire safety and acoustic performance continue to influence its adoption.

2.3 Masonry Construction

Masonry construction remains the dominant building method in the UK housing sector. It is widely valued for durability, longevity and strong consumer confidence (NHBC, 2023). Research indicates that masonry construction accounts for significant proportion of the market and is often preferred by large scale developers (Buildings 2022, 12, 1177).

One of the key advantages of masonry construction is its cost stability. Materials prices are normally more predictable, and supply chains are well established (RICS, 2022). This provides developers with greater certainty in project planning and budgeting. Despite these advantages, masonry construction is generally slower and is more labour intensive than timber frame builds. It is also more prone to delays caused by the weather conditions.

2.4 Cost, Performance, and Sustainability Comparison

Comparative studies highlight key differences between timber frame and masonry construction. Masonry construction is often identified as more cost-effective, with research indicating costs savings of approximately 9% compared to timber construction (Buildings 2022, 12, 1177).

While timber construction may have higher upfront material costs, its reduced construction time may offset these costs through improved cash flow and earlier project completion. This suggests that comparison should not be limited to initial construction costs but should also consider larger financial implications.

From a sustainability outlook, timber frame construction is advertised as a more environmentally friendly option due to its lower embodied carbon. However, masonry construction offers advantages in longevity, which may result in lower lifecycle environmental impacts.

This highlights a key contradiction within the existing research: timber frame construction may offer short-term environmental benefits, which masonry construction provide long-term sustainability advantages. Therefore, the selection of construction methods involves a complex trade-off between cost, speed and sustainability.

2.5 Sustainability Debate

Sustainability has become a main factor in construction decision making. Timber frame construction is often promoted as a low carbon alternative due to its renewable material source and reduced energy requirements during production. This aligns with government targets aimed at achieving net-zero emissions.

However, the sustainability of timber frame construction is influenced by factors such as transportation and supply chain logistics. The UK's reliance on imported timber raises questions regarding the overall environmental impact of this construction method.

In contrast masonry construction is often criticised for its higher embodied carbon. However, its durability and long-life span may offset these impacts over time (BRE, 2021). This suggests that sustainability should be assessed over the entire lifecycle of a building rather than focusing solely on initial construction.

2.6 MMC and Future Construction

The increasing interest in Modern Methods of Construction influences a broader shift to improve construction efficiency. MMC includes methods such as off-site manufacturing, modular construction and prefabrication.

While MMC has the potential to improve productivity and reduce construction time, its adoption in the UK has been slow. This is mainly due to industry resistance, high initial investments costs and lack of familiarity among the contractors.

This highlights a key challenge: while innovative construction methods offer potential benefits, their implementation is often limited by organisational and cultural factors within the industry.

2.7 Industry drivers and Decision-Making

Construction method selection is influenced by several factors, including cost, build speed, labour availability and financial risk. Smaller developers often focus on speed and favour a timber frame build due to its ability to accelerate project completion and improve cash flow.

While larger developers tend to prioritise risk management and cost stability. Masonry construction offers predictable costs and established supply chains, making it more of an attractive for large-scale developments (Buildings 2022, 12, 1177).

This suggests that decision making is not mainly focused on technical performance but is heavily influenced by financial and organisation considerations.

2.8 Research Gap

Despite existing research, there remains a lack of:

- Consistent real world cost comparisons.
- Integrated quantitative and qualitative analysis
- Clear insight into industry decision-making processes

This research aims to address these gaps.

2.9 Fire Performance and Building regulations

Fire safety has become an increasingly important consideration in construction method selection, particularly following incidents such as the Grenfell Tower fire (Grenfell 2017). This event significantly influenced building regulations and public perception of construction materials (UK Government, 2019), particularly in relation to combustible elements within building structures.

Timber frame construction has faced scrutiny due to fire performance. Although modern timber frame systems are designed to meet strict fire safety standards, including fire resistant plasterboards and compartmentation, concerns remain among both regulators and the public. Studies have shown that timber frame structures can achieve fire resistance when properly designed however, perception continues to influence decision making in the industry.

In contrast, masonry construction is inherently non-combustible and is often viewed as a safer option in terms of fire resistance. This contributes to its continued dominance in the UK housing market particularly for multi-story residential developments.

2.10 Acoustic and Structural Performance

Another key consideration in construction method selection is acoustic performance. Masonry construction typically provides better sound insulation due to its density and mass, making it particularly suitable for attached housing such as terraced or semidetached properties.

Timber frame construction, while improving in acoustic performance through enhanced design and insulation methods, It may require additional material to achieve comparable levels of sound insulation. This can increase costs and complexity, potentially offsetting some of the efficiency benefits associated with timber construction.

From a structural perspective, masonry construction offers a higher compressive strength and durability, contributing to its long-term reliability. Timber frame structures, although structurally sound when properly engineered, may be perceived as less robust, especially in the context of long-term performance.

2.11 Lifecycle Cost and Maintenance

While initial construction cost is a major factor in decision-making, lifecycle cost is increasingly recognised as an important consideration. Lifecycle cost not only includes not initial construction expenses but also maintenance, repair and operational costs over the life span of the building.

Masonry construction generally requires lower maintenance due to its durability and resistance to environmental factors. This can result in lower long-term costs, making it an attractive option for developers focused on long term investment.

On the other hand, Timber frame construction may require more careful detailing and maintenance to prevent issues such as moisture ingress and decay. However, improved thermal performance can lead to reduced energy consumption, potentially offsetting some of these costs over time.

2.12 Supply Chain and Economic Considerations

Supply chain stability is a critical factor influencing construction method selection within the UK housing sector. Traditional builds benefit from well-established domestic supply chains, with bricks and blocks widely manufactured in the UK. This contributes to a relatively stable pricing and reliable availability, which is particularly important for large scale developments where cost certainty is essential.

In contrast, timber frame construction is more dependent on imported materials, particularly from European markets. This reliance introduces additional risks, including currency fluctuations, transportation delays, geopolitical uncertainty. Recent global events have highlighted the vulnerability of international supply chains, leading to increased material cost and project delays. As a result, developers may perceive timber frame construction as a higher risk option despite its potential efficiency benefits.

Furthermore, fluctuations in material availability can directly impact project timelines. Delays in the delivery of prefabricated timber components may reduce or eliminate the programme advantages associated with timber frame construction this highlights the importance of supply chain resilience when evaluating construction methods.

2.13 Risk and Uncertainty in Construction Method Selection

Risk management plays a significant role in construction decision-making. Developers must consider not only cost and performance but also the level of uncertainty associated with each construction method. Masonry construction is often perceived as a lower-risk option due to its long-standing use and established practices.

Timber frame construction, while offering advantages in speed and sustainability, may be perceived as riskier due to its reliance on off-site manufacturing and external suppliers. Any disruption within the manufacturing process can have a significant impact on project delivery. Additionally, a lack of familiarity among contractors and stakeholders may contribute to resistance towards adopting timber frame methods.

This suggests that decision-making is influenced not only by technical performance but also by perceived risk and industry confidence. As a result, even when timber frame construction offers measurable benefits, developers may still favour masonry construction due to its lower perceived risk.

3. Research Design and Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study will adopt a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative data. This approach is appropriate as construction decisions involve both measurable and subjective factors, such as cost and time, and subjective factors such as perceptions and risk assessment.

3.2 Quantitative Data Collection

Quantitative data will be collected to analyse.

- Cost Per Square metre (£/m²)
- Labour costs
- Material costs
- Construction duration
- Life cycle costs

This data will be collected from industry reports, case studies and cost databases such as BCIS and RICS (BCIS, 2023; RICS, 2022).

3.3 Qualitative Methods

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with industry professionals, including quantity surveyors, developers and site managers. These interviews will provide insight into decision making processes and industry perceptions (Saunders et al., 2019).

3.4 Sampling strategy

A purposive sampling method will be used to select participants with relevant experience, with approximately 5-10 participants will be interviewed. While this sampling size is relatively small, it is appropriate for qualitative research

3.5 Data Analysis

Quantitative data will be analysed using comparative costs analysis and percentage differences. Qualitative data analysed using thematic analysis to identify key patterns.

3.6 Reliability, Validity and limitations

Reliability will be ensured using consistent data sources and clearly defined analytical methods. Validity will be enhanced through triangulation, combining both quantitative and qualitative data. Limitations include the small sample size and potential bias in interview responses.

3.7 Ethical considerations

Ethical considerations include informed consent, confidentiality and secure data storage. Participants will be anonymised, and all data will be handled in accordance with data protection regulations.

3.8 Justification of Research Approach

The selection of a mixed methods approach is suited to this study, as it allows for a comprehensive analysis of both measurable and subjective factors influencing construction method selection. Quantitative data provides objective insights to cost, time and the performance, while qualitative data captures perspectives and experience of industry professionals.

This approach enables the research to address the complexity of construction decision making, which cannot be understood through the numerical data on its own. By adding both data types, the study aims to provide a holistic understanding of the advantages and limitations of timber frame and masonry builds.

3.9 Limitations of the Study

While this research aims to provide beneficial insights, its subjective to many limitations. The sample size for qualitative interviews is relatively, which may limit the generalisability of the results. Additionally, access to the detailed cost data may be restricted, especially for private sector projects.

3.10 Data Triangulation

To improve the reliability and validity of research, data triangulation will be used. This involves comparing findings from multiple data sources, including case studies, cost data

and interview responses. By cross referencing these sources, the research can identify frequent patterns and reduce the impact of individual bases.

Triangulation also adds strength to the credibility of the findings by providing multiple forms of evidence to support conclusions. This is very important in construction research, where decisions are influenced by combination of quantitative and professional judgment.

4. Timeline

Stage	Duration
Literature review	Weeks 1-3
Data collection	Weeks 4-6
Interviews	Weeks 5-7
Analysis	Weeks 8-9
Writing	Weeks 10-12

5. Expected Outcomes

The research is expected to identify the key differences between timber frame and masonry construction in terms of cost, performance and industry adoption. It is anticipated that timber frame construction will demonstrate advantages in construction speed, allowing for faster project completion and improved cash flow for the developers (Structural Timber Association, 2022).

However masonry construction is likely to remain more cost effective in terms of initial construction cost due to lower material prices and more stable supply chains, this may reinforce its continued dominance within the UK housing sector, particularly among larger developments who focus on cost certainty and risk management.

The research may also show that the construction method selection is influenced by factors beyond technical performance, including market demand, risk perception and industry familiarity. This suggests the use of timber frame construction may be limited not only by cost consideration but by organisational and cultural factors within the industry.

Furthermore, the findings might highlight the potential for hybrid construction approaches that combine the benefits of both timber frame and traditional systems. Such approaches could offer a more balanced solution in terms of cost, performance and sustainability.

Overall, the study is expected to provide valuable insights that support more informed decision-making within the construction industry, contributing to the development of more efficient and sustainable housing solutions.

It is also expected that there may be a disconnect between measured performance data and industry perception. While timber frame construction may demonstrate measurable advantages in programme efficiency, these benefits may not be fully recognised or trusted by industry professionals due to risk aversion and lack of familiarity. Identifying this gap between perception and reality could be a key contribution of the research.

In addition to the expected findings, this research may also highlight a gap between measured performance data and industry perception. While timber frame construction may demonstrate clear advantages in terms of programme duration and efficiency, these benefits may not be fully recognised within the industry due to risk aversion and a preference for familiar construction methods.

This potential disconnect between objective data and industry perception is particularly important, as it may explain the continued dominance of masonry construction despite the availability of alternative methods. Identifying this gap could provide valuable insight into the barriers preventing wider adoption of timber frame construction.

The research may also reveal that construction method selection is highly dependent on project-specific factors, such as scale, location, and developer priorities. This suggests that there is no single “best” construction method, but rather that different approaches may be more suitable depending on the context.

Ultimately, the findings are expected to contribute to a more balanced and informed understanding of construction method selection, supporting the development of strategies that improve both efficiency and sustainability within the UK housing sector.

In addition to these findings, the research may identify opportunities for the integration of hybrid construction approaches, combining elements of both timber frame and masonry systems. Such approaches could provide a more balanced solution, optimising construction speed while maintaining cost stability and reducing perceived risk. This may offer a practical pathway for improving efficiency and sustainability within the UK housing sector.

6. Conclusion

This research proposal outlines a structured and important approach to comparing timber frame masonry construction. By combining both quantitative and qualitative methods, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of cost, performance and industry decision-making.

The research addresses a gap in the existing literature by integrating cost analysis with industry decision-making factors. This is very important in the context of ongoing housing shortages, labour constraints and increasing sustainability requirements.

The findings will contribute to improved decision making among developers, contractors and policymakers. By providing a clearer understanding of the trade offs between cost, speed, performance and risks, the research can support the adoption of better sustainable construction methods.

Ultimately, this study aims to contribute to the broader development of the UK construction industry by identifying practical solutions to current challenges in doing so it supports the delivery of housing that meets both economic and environmental objectives.

7. References

1. BCIS (2023) Building Cost Information Service www.bcis.co.uk
2. BRE (2021) Timber frame construction performance www.bregroup.com
3. CITB (2023) Construction Skills Network Report <https://www.citb.co.uk>
4. NHBC (2023) UK house-building statistics and standards www.nhbc.co.uk
5. RICS (2022) UK construction market survey www.rics.org
6. Structural Timber Association (2022) Timber frame benefits
www.structuraltimber.co.uk
7. UK Government (2019) Building safety programme
www.gov.uk/government/publications/building-safety-programme
8. UK Government (2023) Housing supply statistics
www.gov.uk/government/statistics/housing-supply-in-england
9. UK Green Building Council (2022) Net zero carbon framework www.ukgbc.org
10. Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2019) Research Methods for Business Students
11. <https://www.open-access.bcu.ac.uk/13454/1/buildings-12-01177%20%28%29.pdf>