Feedback for ACCB5029

Date of Assessment: 18/11/2025 Date of Feedback: 03/12/2025

Assessor: Dr. Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy Student Name: Kyle ALDRIDGE

Engagement with Literature Skills

Total Marks: 13 out of 15

Comments:

To excel in this section, you are required to demonstrate proficiency in using proper citation conventions throughout your work. Adherence to academic standards in referencing is critical. Your submission should reflect the integration of diverse, credible, and academically valid sources for Task 2. Additionally, for Task 1, it is essential to incorporate and reference resources specifically provided within the DevNet course materials.

- Overall Excellent work. In-text Citations done.
- Adequate literatures reviewed.
- Appropriate referencing conventions used.

Knowledge and Understanding Skills

Total Marks: 26 out of 30

Comments:

To achieve high marks in this section, you must provide a comprehensive discussion of the technical concepts explored in Chapters 2, 3, and 4 of the DevNet Course. Your analysis should reflect a clear understanding of key concepts, principles, and methods in network programmability from DevNet Chapters 2-4. You must interpret and explain these meaningfully, showing how principles have developed (Eg: Discussion on DevNet resources, highlighting Key Terminologies, real-world relevance etc). Highlighting specific insights or unique observations from these resources will distinguish your work.

- Reflection on Chapters 2, 3 and 4 done well. More adequate discussions around Challenges and real-world relevance could have added value to the work
- Overall, added Figures. More Tables and figures/ workflow/ flowchart, could have added value to work both in Task 1 and Task 2.

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

Total Marks: 40 out of 50

Comments:

To perform well in this section, you are expected to critically engage with introducing the challenges faced by organisations in real-world scenario (Scenario could be real or hypothetical) and cognitively analysing them in relevance with Network Programmability concepts with reference to the discussions during our lectures and tutorials. Critical analysis of information, proposing solutions to problems, and evaluating approaches. Providing evidence of logical thinking, synthesis, and reflection on limits of knowledge (e.g., challenges in automation) adds value to your work. This covers clear context, identified challenges, broader implications (e.g., opportunities, costs, scalability), and limitations. You are expected to propose addressing the challenges mentioning technical terms and possible tools used like Version Control Systems, REST APIs, CI/CD, YAML etc.

- Overall, this is a well-structured and comprehensive case study

- Could have addressed step-by-step process/ implementation details/ proposals and map it with potential challenges to adopt it

- Challenges specified.

- Quantitative implications and comparisons could have expanded the arguments and strengthened the statements

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Total Marks: 4 out of 5

Comments:

A professional structure is critical to achieving high marks in this section. Your report should include a clear introduction that sets the context, a logically organized content section where ideas are cohesively developed, a conclusion that summarizes key findings, and a properly formatted references section. The report should flow seamlessly from beginning to end, ensuring the progression of ideas is clear, well-articulated, and professional. Adhering to these expectations will not only improve the readability and impact of your report but also highlight your ability to present technical content effectively.

- Good Flow of the report.

- Add chapters numbers

Overall Total Marks: 83 out of 100

Kindly note that all marks are subject to 2nd verification and exam board verification as outlined by the University's Quality Assurance procedures.

All the very best!!!

Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year 2026!!!

Kindly note that all marks are subject to 2nd verification and exam board verification as outlined by the University's Quality Assurance procedures.