

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Christopher Anthony Hopkins
Title of Project:	Quality of Service for IPTV
Supervisor:	Kaplan
2 nd marker:	Carlene
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u>	
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> This project good improve with more explanation & analysis in a number of areas	57
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u>	

Signature: Champan Date: 13/5/2017

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	3.5/5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	12/20	/15

Comments:

The introduction met its criteria

A number of terminologies in lit review need to be clearly explained; not assuming the reader understood them.

A number of equations but not explained

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	2.5/5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments:

Do not include methods if you are not going to explain them & relate same to project.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	13/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	5/10	/10

Comments:

Some areas of the results need to be discussed more stat from your knowledge & understanding why you believe those results/outcome occur.

In your conclusion what are some of the key outcomes / findings.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	7.5/15	-

Comments:

Do not include things that are going to explain or relevant to the project. The design phase could do with step by step process explanation; The problem explain would be more understandable.

This section should describe how you did the implementation and outline the difficulties encountered while doing so.

Lacking implementation details.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	7/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	6.5/10	/10
Comments: You have reflect on how you could make the project better. plus your project aligns aspects The organization of the project is good. Some good peer review articles. Some area of your writing could be improved				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	57/100	/100