

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Jen Ada
Title of Project:	Building a training data generator for poker hand classification
Supervisor:	Dr Tim Bashford
2nd marker:	Dr Nik Whitehead
Course:	BSc Software Engineering
Project Type:	Development

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> A very good start, unfortunately hindered by having clearly run out of time. Some interesting work presented, albeit not in the right context.	41%
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> 	39
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u> In good agreement - an incomplete, but highly technical project.	40 NEW

Signature: T. Bashford Date: 24/5/18

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	2/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	10/15
Comments: Introduction is excessively complicated for what it is – would've been better to give a more gentle idea of what the work is actually about. A reasonably good literature review for an undeniably large and complex field.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	2/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	2/5
Comments: An interesting choice for methodology. I remain unconvinced by action research in this context – there are better, more appropriate methodologies available. Some limited UML completed.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	6/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	3/10
Comments: Vastly too little complete in these areas. Some results generated, but not particularly meaningful without context. Conclusions section is fine, but extremely short.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	8/15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-
Comments: This project clearly didn't quite get to the terminus you were hoping it would. As a result, the outcome is, unfortunately, somewhat limited. Still some reasonably complex software developed.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	4/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	4/10
Comments: As with the conclusions section, the reflection is fine, but quite brief. The overall quality is passable – the written quality is very good, but a number of elements really miss the point. The potential power of what you've done has been missed in the narrative.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	/100	41/100

