

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
General Comments		
Not a bad practical implementation of the case study - but the more theoretical elements need addressing - or is this the case of not reading the rubric carefully enough (as discussed in last years academic skills module)	100	47
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
This is a simple case of not reading the rubric and understanding its requirement	10	0
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Although you have provided an initial ERD of the case study, be mindful you have a link from customer to product - is this correct as the customer->order->product is a more efficient solution. Also, you have not fully justified the ERD (missing discussion). However, with minor revisions the ERD could make a suitable implemented solutions	20	12
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
A fair analysis of the case study for a 3NF point of view and subsequently could be a valid implementation in SQL Server. Just be mindful that your solution will assume that a customer can only order 1 item and not many - you do need a order details table and upon examination of your solution some tables/entities need more relevant attributes e.g., Customer	20	12
4. Practical Application Skills		
Generally, not a bad implementation of this scenario, but you have not demonstrated enough testing on this solution so that all elements that are documented in the rubric are working fine. Also, there is no evidence of you demonstrating database performance tuning enhancements e.g., indexing etc.	30	15
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
On the whole the reports is presented to a good standard with cited references. However, as commented above, if the rubric was carefully read - you should have documented a evaluation on the methods of development, tool/techniques and subsequently a discussion on multi-user development (missing)	20	8

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)

Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)

Late Submission ?

1st/2nd/3rd attempt?

1st

47