


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research
Methods (ECPS4001)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_credit_AB

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AB

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

_Upon arrival I was given written and verbal instructions and asked to sign a consent form if I agreed to take part. I was then informed of my right to withdraw at any time and asked if I had any questions, if I understood and if happy to continue.

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. On the left side, there is a vertical green margin line. The paper appears to be part of a notebook or a set of loose-leaf papers.

Note:

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

____ For the task I was once again instructed as to the nature of the task, that I would be answering two paper-based questionnaires about sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

____ My experience of taking part was one of intrigue as this was my first study. I was made to feel at ease and had no issues on this subject felt that this was a good questionnaire and that hopefully more awareness of how SIDS is seen by those not affected.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

I was told what the study was for and given a debrief sheet. I was then told that if I had any questions or wished to withdraw I could contact the researcher by email.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

[illegible]

Name (PRINT): 34204

Sign: _____

Date: 13th December 2017

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard ruled sheet of paper.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

[illegible]

Online Study Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

This image shows a blank sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard writing template.

Note:

[illegible]

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_GB

Study Code: SWAN_credit_GB

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

I was told what the interview was about, that it would be recorded, transcribed and the recording deleted. I was asked if I understood and if happy to continue. I then read and signed a consent form.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

I was then given an online debrief that explained fully the study and that if any questions contact the researcher or tutor.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): 34204

Sign: _____

Date: 18th March 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

It was either a structured or semi-structured interview where I was asked of my personal experiences relating to peer and mentoring relationships at the university, if there were any difficulty talking to peers and staff, I also mentioned that other students were helpful too.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I felt relaxed and at ease with the interviewer, who came across very naturally and seemed honestly interested in my views and opinions.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

After the Interview it was explained what the aim of the research was and asked if I had any questions. It was then reiterated about my option to withdraw and was given a debrief sheet that included the researchers contact details.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): 34204

Sign: _____

Date: 30th January 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was shown pictures of individuals and they were given a fictional crime and three options for sentences. I had 20 seconds to react.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I found that the time given to respond could maybe have been a few seconds longer to read the proposed crime and then the sentences. This was an interesting topic.

Study Four Study Code: SWAN_credit_CSL2

Study Code: SWAN_credit_CSL2

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

This was an online study, that gave me written instructions and a consent form, If I was happy to continue I could press the continue or exit the study.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a vertical margin line on the left side, creating a narrow left margin. The paper appears to be from a notebook or a standard sheet of stationery.

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

I was told the nature of the study, given the right to withdraw and asked to read through and sign a consent form. I was asked if I understood and if happy to continue.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Afterwards I was informed again of my right to withdraw, asked if any questions and handed a debrief sheet.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): 34204

Sign: _____

Date: 8th March 2018

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to use headphones and asked to follow on-screen instructions. I then listened to a German phrase five times and asked to repeat after each, this was repeated with two other German phrases. Once this was done I was given a jigsaw to complete and then recorded saying back the phrases I could remember.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

This was a fun task and I felt very competent and able after the first part that I knew the phrases. After the jigsaw it went a bit pear shaped, and I could only remember one and a half.