

**ASSESSMENT REPORT OF EVOLUTION OF MANAGEMENT THEORY:
BRIDGING CLASSICAL FOUNDATION WITH CONTEMPORARY
PRACTICES IN THE 21st CENTURY**

Visions for the Future - Theoretical Perspectives on Management (LCDB7008_LON)

A report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Doctor of Business Administration
to the University of Wales Trinity Saint David
(UWTSD) London Campus

Submitted by
Rabina Shrestha (2411215)

Submitted to: Professor Amare Desta
Senior Lecturer and Doctoral Supervisor, Director of Studies, Internal Examiner and Chair,
University of Wales Trinity Saint David (London Campus)

Table of content

Title of page	i
Table of content	ii
Abstract	iii
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The Origin of Management	2
1.3 Key Function of Management.....	3
1.4 Process of Management	24
1.5 Why 21 st Century lifestyles Still Reflect 19 th Century Management Thories.....	5
1.6 Historical Context of Management	5
1.6.1 Classical Management Theory	6
1.6.2 Human and Behavioral Approaches	12
1.6.3 Modern and Contemporary Management Theories	13
1.7 The Evolution of Management Theory in Contemporary Work Environments	13
1.8 The Role of Oraganizational Culture in Shaping Management Theories	15
1.9 Growth of Management Thought in the 20 th Century	16
1.10 Contributions of Contemporary Theorists and Theories	17
1.11 Contemporary Challenges in Management	18
1.12 Are We Still Stuck in 19 th Century?	20
1.13 Changing Lifestyle in 21 st Century	21
1.14 Contemporary Management Theories and Contribution	22
1.15 Philosophical Underpinnings: Rationalism vs Humanism	23
1.16 Integrating Classical and Contemporary Approaches	24
1.17 The Way Forward: Rethinking Management for the 21 st Century.....	24
1.18 Conclusion and Recomendation	25
References	

Abstract

In the 21st century, technology has evolved, and so the lifestyle of people, whereas companies and organizations are still influenced by 19th and 20th-century traditional management theories and principles. In the 21st century, technology, communication, and social behavior have made great progress, while many aspects of management still rely on traditional theories. The objectives include analyzing the theoretical perspective of management, assessing how they fit into today's business world, and highlighting the challenges in applying the theory in real-life practice to remove the gap between theory and practice. Contemporary theorists have addressed many modern issues, from technology to emotional intelligence. However, many organizations still operate using outdated models. The biggest challenge is not a lack of theory, but how slowly it is applied in practice. This expansion provides a comprehensive, in-depth analysis of the evolution of management theory, the contributions of contemporary theorists, and a critical evaluation of how modern challenges are addressed. It also includes practical recommendations for integrating classical and contemporary approaches, providing a balanced view of the development of management. To bridge the gap between classical and contemporary approaches, organizations should consider integrating both perspectives. Classical theories, with their focus on structure and efficiency, remain valuable in ensuring operational stability, while contemporary theories emphasize adaptability and human-centered management, which are essential for long-term success in today's dynamic environment.

1.1 Introduction

In the 21st century, technology has evolved, and so the lifestyle of people, whereas companies and organizations are still influenced by 19th and 20th-century traditional management theories and principles. In the 21st century, technology, communication, and social behavior have made great progress, while many aspects of management still rely on traditional theories. The crucial element to the effectiveness and success of an organization depends on management for every individual in the organization. Management principles played an important role in guiding, enhancing human attitudes, and organizational activities from the industrial age to the digital age. Nevertheless, the statement that management remained in 19th-century theories, in the case of transformative shifts in society, innovation, and workforce elements, motivates both academics and practitioners to thoughtfully assess its progression and ongoing relevance. My reading from different books on management led me to believe that management is the process of organizing, planning, leading, and controlling to achieve a desired goal. In other words, management is the process of coordination and evaluating activities so that organizational goals can be achieved effectively and efficiently. The purpose of this report is to fundamentally look at the evolution of management theory from its classical establishment to contemporary management approaches to understand how management practices have adapted to changing organizational, mechanical, and social settings. The objectives include analyzing the theoretical perspective of management, assessing how they fit into today's business world, and highlighting the challenges in applying the theory in real-life practice to remove the gap between theory and practice. By viewing theories like Taylor's scientific management and Fayol's administrative principles, behavioral theories, and modern leadership theories, this report offers insights and implications on how organizations can blend traditional and modern management concepts. Management theories have evolved from classical models like Tylor's scientific management and Fayol's administrative theories. The objective is to help today's managers to meet the demands of a rapidly changing business environment (Wren and Bedeian, 2003).

1.2 The origin of Management

To understand how management works today and how management theories evolved, we have to understand its origin from the 19th century. This was the first time of the Industrial Revolution, when production lines and mass production were getting to be standard. Business owners needed ways to organize people, materials, and machines more effectively.

According to F.W. Taylor (1911), Management is the skill of accomplishing a task through others and making sure it is completed effectively and efficiently. Present day researchers too emphasize that management is not only limit into efficiency but it also about adapting to change, managing people, and making strategic decisions in complex environments. Management is crucial in every aspect of an organization; without viable management skills and strategies, no organization or institution can achieve long-term success. Management includes overseeing, organizing, controlling, handling, and performing all the required activities to achieve the desired goal in an organization.

Frederick Winslow Taylor was one of the earliest thinkers to seriously analyze how work could be made more efficient. He developed Scientific Management, a theory that broke down complex tasks into smaller, simple steps and then used observation and measurement to find the most effective way to perform each one. He developed Scientific Management, a theory that broke down complex tasks into smaller, simple steps and then used observation and measurement to find the most effective way to perform each other. Taylor believed that if each worker followed the “one best way” to do a job, overall productivity would improve significantly, and it also help to organize the work to achieve the desired goal. While his approach increased productivity, efficiency and effectiveness but this approach treated workers more like machines than people (Taylor, 1911).

Henri Fayol is a French engineer who offered various perspectives on management. His Administrative theory recognized key functions of management such as planning, organizing tasks, directing, commanding, coordinating, and controlling. His ideas are still used in various organizations and companies today. His approach was one of the first to treat management as a skill that could be taught and applied across all industries and organizations, not just in factories. Many of Fayol’s principles are still used in modern management practice today because they

provide a solid foundation of running any kind of organization efficiently and effectively (Fayol, 1949).

Then there was Max Weber, who presented Bureaucratic Management, and emphasized a clear rule, principle, hierarchy, and job roles. He believed that for business and governments to work productively, they required clear rules, regulations, and directions, a defined hierarchy of authority, and specific job roles and responsibilities for each employee. This framework helped to decrease favoritism and made sure that decisions were based on formal procedures rather than personal relationships. This structure worked well for large organizations, but could become too rigid (Weber, 1947).

Elton Mayo, through the Hawthorne Studies, brought attention to the importance of social factors at work. His findings helped to develop the Human Relations Movement, which recognized that happy and motivated workers perform better and effective (Mayo, 2004).

1.3 Key Function of Management

Management involves planning, organizing, leading, directing, coordinating, evaluating, and controlling to achieve managerial success (Carter and Fayol, 1986). Here are the management functions explained below:

- 1. Planning** – The primary management strategy for achieving a successful goal depends on planning. It's a map that outlines how to obtain objectives to choose the best course of action to find them. It involves analyzing the current trend, projecting future trends, and adjusting actions to respond to the demand. Considering the numerous advantage of planning, it is imperative that management at all levels participate in it since it helps to create strategic and efficient decision making inside the company and organization (Kareska, 2017).

Organizing – Organizing is important for successful management. It refers arranging resources and tasks in a structure to achieve the organization's goals effectively. This incorporates assigning duties, grouping activities into departments, and distributing resources.

2. **Leading/Directing** - Leading is the process of inspiring, directing, and influencing employee to carry out their duties effectively to achieve organizational goals. It increases output and morale, it encompasses effective leadership philosophies, interpersonal relationships, motivation, and effective communication.
3. **Controlling** - Controlling is the process of observing performance, comparing it with objectives, and taking corrective action if necessary. This ensures that the organization is moving in the right direction. The control function plays a crucial role in determining the overall success of an organization. This process generally includes three key steps: to begin with, setting clear measures or desires; second, evaluating actual performance in comparison to those standards; and third, taking corrective measures when there are differences between expected and actual outcomes. This ensures that operations stay adjusted with organizational goals and planned activities (Merchant, 1982) .
4. **Coordinating** (originally listed by Fayol) - Although often integrated with other functions today, Fayol identified coordinating as a distinct function. It ensures that all activities and efforts are harmonized to achieve common goals.

1.4 Process of Management

The management process encompasses basic ideas like planning, organizing, and hiring the right people at right place. It also involves setting up the activities and resources needed to handle tasks like buying materials, making products, and adjusting to changes. Process management focuses on optimizing organizational activities by viewing the organization as a network of interconnected processes (Mallar, 2021). Business process management (BPM) emphasizes cross-functional orientation along the value chain, utilizing various techniques and tools for process optimization. It includes monitoring processes strategically and operationally, ensuring that both manual and digital processes are effectively managed (Lederer & Schott, 2021). When processes and resources are well-coordinated, it leads to smoother operations and helps successfully achieve goals (Cunningham, 1979) .

1.5 Why 21st -Century Lifestyles Still Reflect 19th -Century Management Theories

Although modern technology, social norms, and lifestyles have evolved dramatically in the 21st century, the basic ideas behind classical management remain deeply embedded in the way organizations and even our everyday lives are structured. For example:

- **Efficiency and Structure:** Just as Taylor's scientific management sought to make tasks more efficient, today's companies use technology and process improvements to do work faster and more efficiently. Even our personal productivity tools (like calendars and task management apps) are designed around the idea of breaking work into standardized, manageable pieces.
- **Clear Goals and Roles:** Fayol's functions of planning, organizing, and controlling are the backbone of project management methods used in businesses and even in planning our daily lives. We set goals (planning), arrange our schedule (organizing), execute tasks (commanding/leading), and review progress (controlling), mirroring the classical management cycle.
- **Rules and Formality:** Weber's bureaucracy remains visible in many modern institutions that still rely on formal rules and clear structures to ensure fairness and efficiency. Whether it's in government agencies, schools, or even corporate HR departments, the principles of clear guidelines and processes are very much a part of contemporary life.

In summary, while the context in which we apply these ideas has evolved with a greater emphasis on employee well-being, flexibility, and digital integration, the core principles of classical management continue to influence modern practices. The balance now is in taking those enduring ideas about efficiency, structure, and clarity, and combining them with a deeper understanding of human needs and modern technological capabilities.

1.6 Historical Context of Management

Management theories can be divided into various categories, such as Classical management theories, Human management theories, and modern management theories. Each theories provides a different perspective of management on how organizations should be managed to achieve

successful desired managerial and goals. These theories were created in late 1800s and 1900s, during a time when industrialization was transforming how business operated. There was an urgent to improve the productivity, efficiency, effectiveness and control in the workplace.

1.6.1 Classical Management Theory

Classical Management Theories were focused on creating a clear structure in organizations, streamlining tasks, and maintaining consistency and order. The aim was to plan a framework that could effectively manage large numbers of workers by creating specific job roles, division of work, strict hierarchies, and centralized decision-making. Pioneers like Frederick Taylor, Henri Fayol, and Max Weber contributed significantly to these ideas. While these theories primarily focused on workers' feelings or social needs, they laid the groundwork for many theories and practices we still see in organizations today, especially when it comes to technical and structural aspects of management. Classical theories advocate for a clear hierarchical structure within organizations. This structure facilitates defined roles and responsibilities, promoting efficiency and accountability in public administration (Nhema. A.G, 2015).

i. Scientific Management – Frederick Taylor (1911)

Scientific Management Theory was defined by Frederick Winslow Taylor in the early 20th century. And the theory was among the first systematic efforts to improve efficiency in industrial sectors. This approach emphasizes the use of a scientific approach to study work processes, to improve efficiency and output (Taylor, 1911).

Taylor's approach was all about finding the most effective way for workers to perform their tasks. He employed methods such as time studies (timing how long each task takes) and task standardization (establishing uniform procedures) to enhance productivity. The idea was that if every task was broken down into simple, repeatable steps, then work could be done faster and more efficiently (Taylor, 1911). Workers performed repetitive tasks using standardized tools and were paid based on output (Wren and Bedeian, 2023).

ii. Core Principles

Scientific Approach to Work Tasks: Tasks should be broken down into smaller parts to be completed in an effective way instead of relying on guesswork or outdated practices. This involves using observation and analysis to break down tasks and improve how they're done (Witzel, 2016).

Careful Selection and Training: Employee should be hired based on their knowledge, skills, and capabilities, and provided them proper training to perform their tasks most efficiently.

Standardized Tools and Procedures: Consistency in tools, materials, and processes are crucial part of an organization to improve performance and reduce waste.

Division of Work and Responsibility: Division of work and responsibility enhance workflows. It enhances work environment and motivate employee.

Performance-Based Incentives: Reward systems are introduced to encourage higher productivity, often in the form of bonuses or wages linked to output.

Advantages

1. **Enhances productivity and efficiency:** Scientific management focuses on evaluating works and finding the best way to perform them effectively. By breaking down the work into smaller task and using time studies to helps workers to become faster and provide more accurate result. This method has been shown to significantly improve output and overall efficiency in the workplace (Kanigel, 2005).
2. **Clear division of labor leads to specialization:** One of the key principles of scientific management is dividing work clearly among employees. When each person is assigned a specific role, they can focus on mastering that particular task. This specialization not only speeds up work but also improves the quality of the results (Witzel, 2016) .
3. **Introduces systematic training and measurement of work:** Instead of learning through trial and error, employees are trained using standardized methods. Scientific management also

uses tools like stopwatches and data collection to measure how long tasks take. This ensures that everyone follows the most efficient way to work, leading to consistent performance and skill development (Wren and Bedeian, 2023).

Criticism: This approach tended to treat employees like parts of a machine, ignoring the human need for creativity, recognition, and a sense of purpose (Braverman, 1998). Today, while we still use efficiency techniques like lean manufacturing, there is a much greater emphasis on considering how work affects people's motivation and well-being.

iii. Administrative Theory - Henri Fayol

The Administrative Theory of Management was developed by Henri Fayol, a French industrialist, in the early 1900s. Unlike other theories at the time that focused on improving how individual workers performed tasks (like Taylor's Scientific Management), Fayol looked at the whole organization and focused on how managers can run it more effectively. Fayol introduced what we might consider the building blocks of modern management. He identified five key functions that managers must perform: planning, organizing, commanding (or leading), coordinating and controlling. These functions laid out a clear framework for how companies should be managed to be effective and well-organized. Even today, managers rely on this structure when running teams, setting goals, organizing work, motivating staff, coordinating activities, and monitoring progress. However modern management has expanded these functions to include more about communication, collaboration and flexibility in the fast-changing environment.

iv. Fayol's 14 principles of Management

Edwards (2018) provides a comprehensive re-examination of Henri Fayol's original fourteen principles of management, arguing that many of Fayol's ideas remain highly relevant to contemporary organizational practice. In particular, Edwards highlights how the principle of division of work not only enhances efficiency through specialization but also must be balanced against the risk of employee burnout; he cites recent case studies showing that rotating tasks can mitigate monotony without sacrificing productivity (Edwards, 2018).

Division of Work – In an organization, division of work is important as it helps employees specify the tasks according to their skills and confidence. This helps both the employee and the organization to achieve organizational success. When the employee does the same task repeatedly, they become faster and efficient. For example- In a restaurant, one employee takes order, another employee cooks food and the next one serves customer. This way, the work flows better.

Authority and Responsibility – Managers need to have the power (authority) to give orders, but they also must take responsibility for the results. It's being the owner of an organization who takes all the responsibilities and risks while providing directions to employees and the organization.

Discipline - Discipline means everyone in the organization must follow rules and agreements. And it is important for a smooth workflow in an organization. This creates a respectful and professional work environment. It doesn't mean being harsh but it means having consistency, punctuality, and obedience.

Utility Command – Each employee should report to only one boss or manager. If any employee receives instructions from multiple managers, it can cause confusion, stress, and mistakes. One manager, one voice, which makes it simple and clear.

Utility of Direction – People working toward the same goal should follow the same plan under one leader. Each employee should work on one plan and one leader for better coordination and better performance.

Subordination of Individual Interest to General Interest

Sometimes personal desires or benefits can conflict with what's best for the company. This principle says that the company's interest should come first. It's about teamwork and shared goals, not selfish decisions.

Remuneration (Fair Pay)

Employee should be paid fairly according to their work and skills. Good wages, benefits, and rewards help employees to stay motivated and loyal. Happy and motivated workers lead to healthy environment, enhancing the performances.

Centralization

Centralization means that decision-making authority is concentrated within senior management, while decentralization delegates the decision-making to employees at lower level. According to Foyal, the best approach depends on the situation, as some issues require direct oversight from top management while others work better when decided by those closer to the operational level.

Scalar Chain (Line of Authority)

A chain of command in an organization from highest level of management down to the lower level helps to define the roles and responsibilities throughout the organization. A clear scalar chain prevents confusion about who is responsible for making decisions. It helps in tracing communication and ensures that directives flow in a structured manner.

Subordination of Individual Interests to the General Interest

This principle emphasizes that everything and everyone should be in their proper place. Order refers not only to the physical arrangement of materials and the workspace but also to the systematic arrangement of employees. When order is maintained, work processes become more efficient and effective for the common good, leading to a healthier and more productive work environment.

Equity

Equity is about fairness and kindness in dealing with employees. Managers should treat all team members with impartiality, dignity, and respect. When employees feel they are treated fairly, they are more likely to be committed, satisfied, and motivated. This, in turn, creates a positive work environment where trust and loyalty can thrive.

Stability of Tenure of Personnel

Long-term employment contributes to the success of the organization. Keeping employees on board for a longer period allows them to become more skilled and integrated into the company culture. High employee turnover can be disruptive and expensive. Stability in the workforce ensures that the organization retains knowledge and experience, leading to better performance and continuity.

Initiative

Employees should be motivated to be creative, initiative and innovative thinking. This entails allowing them some freedom to act the situation and finding the solution rather than just imitating or following orders all the time. Employees can provide creative idea and advancement when they are given the freedom to express their ideas and thoughts. This culture of initiative can lead to increased innovation and a proactive workforce.

Team Spirit

Team Spirit focuses on maintaining team and unity amongst employees to achieve managerial success. Working as a team helps employees to get support from each other and complete challenges to overcome the barriers. This helps the employee to accomplish the task easily and within the provided time limit. A strong team work fosters collaboration, reduces conflicts, and eventually propels a company to success.

According to Hatchuel and Segrestin (2019), Fayol was ahead of his time since he believed that management was creative process that assisted business in adapting to change rather than merely a means of controlling people, but as a creative process that helps organizations adapt to change. They point out that ideas like planning and coordinating, which Foyal talked about long ago, are similar to the flexible, fast decision-making approaches used in business today. They also highlight Foyal's focus on fairness and job stability, showing how these ideas relate to modern discussion about ethical leadership and trading employees well. According to them, Foyal believed

that managers should be creative and open to change, something that is still very important in today's fast-moving and constantly evolving workplace (Hatchuel and Segrestin, 2019).

v. Bureaucratic Theory – Max Weber

The Bureaucratic Theory was created by German sociologist Max Weber to enhance the functioning of business success. He proposed that organization functions more smoothly equitable when they have a clear structure, defined roles, and strict rules. According to Weber, a good hierarchy ensures that everyone is aware of their responsibilities such as who they report to and what their job is. Although Bureaucratic systems are still used by major firms today to keep things consistent, there is movement toward flattening hierarchies and creating a more transparent and cooperative workplace. He also believed in treating all employees equally, with hiring and promotions based on merit, not personal connections (Weber, 2009). Core elements such as hierarchical structure, formal rules, and job specialization help large organisations operate efficiently and maintain consistency (Weber, 1947). Today's large organizations still use bureaucratic structures to maintain order and consistency, but there is also a trends toward flattening hierarchies and fostering a more open, collaborative work environment. Despite these changes, many of the original ideas about clear roles and rules still provide a foundation for the way modern organizations operate.

1.6.2 Human and Behavioral Approaches

Early in the 20th century, Human Relations Theory was developed in reaction of shortcoming of traditional management including techniques including bureaucratic structures and scientific management. In contrast to previous theory that prioritize efficiency, organization and job optimization, the human relation approach place more emphasis on the social and emotional requirement of worker in workplace. The necessity of taking into account on human elements of work also has changes due to the organization evolution. The Human Relations Movement, initiated by Elton Mayo through the Hawthorne Studies, highlighted the importance of employee morale and social relations in productivity (Mayo, 2004). As a result, behavioral theories emerged during McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y, which clarified two opposing perspective on

employee motivation and management approaches (McGregor, 1960). These ideas moved the emphasis from mechanical system to people-centric methods by emphasizing the impact of organizational culture, communication, and leadership on performance. Theory emphasizes:

- The importance of social interactions in the workplace.
- The role of management in fostering a supportive work environment.

Employee motivation through recognition and involvement, rather than just monetary incentives. It suggested that employees are not machines but individuals with complex emotional and social needs. As a result, effective management should focus on group dynamics, communication, leadership styles, and employee welfare to boost morale and productivity (Mayo, 1933).

1.6.3 Modern and Contemporary Management Theories

Contemporary management theory integrates elements of classical, behavioral, and systems approaches to deal with the complexities of the modern business environment. The Contingency Theory posits that there is no one-size-fits-all approach to management; instead, managers must adapt their strategies based on situational variables (Burns and Stalker, 1961). Modern theories such as transformational leadership (Bass, 1985), emotional intelligence (Goleman, 1996), and agile management emphasize innovation, empathy, adaptability, and collaboration. These theories reflect a dynamic view of management in the 21st century, where leaders must navigate globalization, technological change, and diverse workforces.

1.7 The Evolution of Management Theory in Contemporary Work Environments

The evolution of management theory has continuously evolved in response to changes in the global business environment. While early theories, like Classical and Behavioral approaches, were mainly suited to industrial settings, today's work environments, shaped by digital transformation, remote work, and AI-demand more flexible and adaptable applications of these theories.

1. Classical Management Theory and Its Relevance in the Digital Age

Classical Management Theory, introduced by Frederick Taylor and Henri Fayol, focused on maximizing efficiency through rigid hierarchies and standardized processes. This structure is still

relevant in tech-driven companies, where operational efficiency remains crucial. However, the strict hierarchical models that classical theory advocates can sometimes hinder flexibility, especially in digital workspaces. For example, in remote work environments, the traditional command-and-control approach often falls short. Research suggests that flatter organizational structures, which allow for more decentralized decision-making, tend to be more effective in fostering innovation and adaptability in digital settings (Zhou et al., 2023). This shift calls for a more flexible application of classical management principles, balancing structure with autonomy.

2. Behavioral Management Theory and Employee Well-Being

Behavioral Management Theory, which emphasizes human relations and motivation, has become even more relevant in today's evolving work environments. With the rise of remote and hybrid work, companies have realized the importance of fostering employee well-being and maintaining a healthy work-life balance. When employees work from home or in flexible arrangements, physical and emotional distance from their teams can lead to feelings of isolation, directly affecting motivation and productivity. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, which posits that job satisfaction is influenced by both hygiene factors (like salary and job security) and motivators (such as recognition and personal growth), is especially pertinent in this context. In remote work settings, companies are increasingly investing in digital tools to enhance communication, recognize achievements, and maintain employee engagement. Additionally, managers are expected to adopt more empathetic leadership styles, fostering a culture built on trust and collaboration, rather than focusing purely on traditional productivity metrics (Gagné et al., 2022).

3. AI's Impact on Management Theories

The integration of artificial intelligence into business operations is reshaping traditional management models. AI, through tools like predictive analytics and automation, challenges old approaches to leadership and decision-making. Contingency theory, which holds that leadership effectiveness depends on the specific situation, is especially useful in this context. As AI becomes more integrated into decision-making processes, leaders must learn how to combine human intuition with AI-driven insights. Contemporary research on AI in management emphasizes the need for a balanced approach, where human judgment complements the capabilities of AI, particularly in complex and high-stakes situations (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2014).

Moreover, AI is transforming decision-making by automating routine tasks, allowing managers to focus on more strategic responsibilities. However, this shift means management theories must adapt to a workforce composed of both human employees and AI systems. Theories like Systems Theory, which focuses on the interconnectedness of different parts of an organization, are key to understanding how humans and AI can effectively work together (Senge, 2006).

4. Leadership in the Digital Age

As the business landscape continues to evolve, leadership theories must adapt to meet new challenges. Transformational leadership, which centers on vision, empowerment, and inspiration, is particularly relevant in the context of digital and remote work. Leaders must not only manage teams but also drive innovation and guide employees through technological changes. According to Bass and Riggio (2006), transformational leadership, with its focus on individual growth and intellectual stimulation, is especially beneficial for virtual teams, where engagement and motivation are more challenging (Bass and Riggio, 2006).

Furthermore, the rise of AI-driven tools suggests that future leadership will involve more data-driven decision-making. Leaders will need to leverage big data to guide their strategic decisions but must also develop emotional intelligence to make ethical choices in an AI-enhanced workplace. Balancing analytical decision-making with human-centered leadership will be crucial for the success of future management practices.

1.8 The Role of Organizational Culture in Shaping Management Theories

Organizational culture, which is how people behave and work together in a company, has played an important role in shaping management ideas. As society and workplaces changed over time, the needs of employees and businesses also changed. This led managers to rethink how they lead and make decisions.

Back in the early 1900s, management theories like Taylor's Scientific Management and Weber's Bureaucratic Theory focused on control, structure, and efficiency (Weber, 2009). At that time, organizations were very formal and strict, and the culture valued discipline and clear rules.

As the work environment started to care more about people and their well-being, new ideas emerged. The Human Relations Movement, led by Elton Mayo, pointed out how important motivation, communication, and teamwork are for productivity (Mayo, 2004).

In recent years, with the rise of global business, new technology, and diverse workforces, management ideas have become more flexible and innovative. Modern approaches like Contingency Theory, Systems Theory, and Transformational Leadership focus on teamwork, learning, and being able to adapt to change (Samson, Donnet and Daft, 2020).

Today, a strong workplace culture influences how leaders behave, how employees are supported, and how companies take social responsibility. These cultural changes keep inspiring new and more people-focused management theories.

1.9 Growth of Management Thought in the 20th Century

As businesses became more complex, new theories emerged. Systems Theory looks at organizations as part of a bigger environment. It showed that businesses are influenced by and must respond to changes in the world around them (Bertalanffy, 2008).

Contingency Theory said that there's no single best way to manage. What works depends on the situation (Fiedler, 1964). For example, leading a start-up requires different skills than managing a large government agency.

Behavioral Management focused on understanding human behavior, leadership, motivation, and team dynamics. Psychologists like Abraham Maslow and Douglas McGregor brought ideas about needs and motivation into management thinking (Maslow, 1943; McGregor, 1989).

Later, Total Quality Management (TQM) became popular, emphasizing customer satisfaction, continuous improvement, and employee involvement (Deming, 1986). Companies like Toyota became global leaders by adopting TQM.

Peter Drucker introduced Management by Objectives (MBO), which focused on setting goals that align with the company's mission and measuring performance accordingly (Drucker, 2012).

Drucker also emphasized that knowledge workers, people who think for a living, would shape the future of management.

1.10 Contributions of Contemporary Theorists and Theories

Contemporary management theorists have made significant contributions to addressing the challenges posed by an increasingly globalized, digital, and rapidly changing business environment. These theorists have introduced new frameworks and practices to manage the complexities of modern organizations.

Modern management thinkers have brought fresh ideas to meet today's complex challenges.

Peter Senge and Learning organization: Peter Senge's concept of the "Learning Organization" emphasizes the importance of continuous learning, adaptability, and knowledge sharing. In today's fast-paced business environment, organizations need to foster innovation and flexibility. Senge's ideas have been widely adopted in companies like Google and Toyota, where continuous improvement and employee development are central to their organizational culture (Senge, 2006).

Henry Mintzberg studied what managers actually do and found they spend most of their time communicating and solving problems (Mintzberg, 1973). He also offered a new way to think about strategy—not as a fixed plan, but as something that evolves (Mintzberg et al., 2005).

Michael Porter focused on how companies can gain competitive advantage by being unique and understanding their industry (Porter, 1985).

Gary Hamel argued that innovation is the key to survival and that companies must continuously adapt (Hamel & Prahalad, 1994).

Clayton Christensen: Clayton Christensen's theory of disruptive innovation has become a cornerstone of contemporary management thinking. Christensen argued that companies must be able to anticipate and respond to disruptive changes in technology or business models. His work has been instrumental in shaping strategies in industries such as technology, entertainment, and retail. Companies like Netflix and Amazon have successfully used disruptive innovation to challenge traditional business models and create new markets (Christensen, 2015).

Daniel Goleman brought **Emotional Intelligence (EQ)** into the picture. Daniel Goleman's work on emotional intelligence has become central to understanding effective leadership in modern organizations. Leaders with high emotional intelligence can manage their emotions, communicate effectively, and navigate complex interpersonal dynamics. This is especially relevant in today's diverse and globalized workforce, where cultural sensitivity and emotional awareness are critical for successful leadership (Goleman, 2005).

Mary Jo Hatch looked at organizational culture and how stories, symbols, and routines shape behavior (Hatch, 1993). These elements help guide how people behave at work and what they believe is important.

Dave Ulrich focused on leadership and how human resources can create value (Ulrich, 1996)

These modern thinkers have helped organizations deal with issues like globalization, digital transformation, sustainability, and diversity.

1.11 Contemporary Challenges in Management

In today's technological world, managers deal a lot of managerial challenges. This technological change and challenges also described as VUCA, which are volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous. Traditional management approach, especially command and control, leadership style, and top-down management that rely on these settings are no longer effective. Everyone is influenced by technology, and even technology reshapes the working environment. This technological innovation in work workplace, such as artificial intelligence, automation, and remote working set-ups has changed the life and made more convenient for employees in the 21st century. However, this technology shift in work environment has various challenges such as managers should lead teams even they don't see face-to-face which requires them to develop strong communication skills and build trust virtually to achieve managerial solutions.

Additionally, managers should prioritize diversity, equity, equality, and inclusion to manage and lead the team properly. It does not mean hiring different people with different backgrounds. It's about creating a working environment where employee feels confident, valued and respected. This structure helps team members to work smoothly and achieve organizational success.

Another concern is sustainability and climate change which is influenced by business. Climate change and environmental impact are urging companies to look beyond short-term profits and focus on long-term responsibility towards society and environments. At the same time, paycheck employee is seeking more than just a pay check. They want a healthy work life balance, a sense of purpose, and support to their mental health. As a result, managers are expected to create work environment that reflects motivation and support.

Leadership and performance beyond expectations explores how transformational leadership goes beyond traditional management, focusing on inspiring followers to exceed expectations and fostering personal development within the team (Bass and Bass, 1985)

Bass's ideas of categorizing into good, better, and best present a challenge because it means that even a leader who is already doing well must constantly strive to improve. There's no clear-cut way to measure what makes a leader "best", so it can be tough for leaders to if they are right on track. This creates high expectations, where leader may feel pressure to always perform at their peak, which can lead to stress. Plus, it requires them to adapt their leadership style based on changing situation, making it a continual effort to meet the evolving need of their team and organizations. (Bass, 1985)

There is no single better way to manage an organization. Instead, effective management depends on the environment in which the organization operates. They introduced two contrasting management systems: Mechanistic management and Organic management. Mechanistic management system works well in stable situations. It follows a strict structure with clear rules, where everyone has a set role. Decisions are made at the top level and instructions are passed down through the ranks, like in traditional office setups. Whereas, Organic management is best for fast-changing environments. It is more flexible, with fewer strict rules. People work together across teams, share ideas, and make decisions together. Roles are more adaptable, and communication flows in all directions, not just from the top down (Burns and Stalker, 1961).

Digital Transformation: With the rapid growth in technology, the way business operated was completely transformed. One of the biggest challenge that companies now face is how successfully incorporate these technologies into their existing system and strategies. Management model like Agile and Lean management focus on being flexible and constantly improving, which helps

businesses adapt today's fast paced technological world. For example- leading company like Amazon and Microsoft use Agile methods to stay innovative, connective and responsive to changes in the market.

Sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): As environment concerns are growing, companies are being pushed to focus on more sustainable ways of working. Management models such as Green Management and CSR encourage business to work accordingly and take responsibility towards environment, society and also importantly focus on profitability of an organization. For example, Patagonia has made sustainability a key part of its business, showing that it is possible to align environmental goals with overall growth.

Employee Well-being and Work-life Balance: The modern employees concern about mental health, work-balance, and overall well-being. Management model such as servant leadership and emotional intelligence focus on human approach, emphasizing the role on supporting emotional and psychological needs of an employee. There are several organizations that focus on prioritizing employee well-being, offering flexible working hours, access to mental health resources, and opportunities for employees to grow both personally and professionally.

Globalization and Cross-cultural Management: As businesses expand globally, managers must navigate the complexities of cross-cultural communication and collaboration. Contemporary management theories, such as Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory, help managers understand how cultural differences impact workplace behavior and decision-making. Companies like McDonald's and Coca-Cola have successfully adapted their management strategies to suit diverse cultural environments.

1.12 Are we still stuck in 19th century?

Has management really evolved? The answer lies somewhere in between. Fundamental principles developed during the 19th and 20th centuries continue to play a significant role in managerial practices today. Functions such as planning, organizing, and controlling remain at the core of what managers do. The emphasis on efficiency from Taylor's Scientific Management and Fayol's administrative framework still influences current organizational operations, but in more modernized forms.

However, an overreliance on these traditional models can be limiting. These theories were formulated in an era marked by relative stability, straightforward technologies, and predictable market conditions, factors that no longer define today's fast-paced, digital world. As such, applying these models without adaptation may hinder innovation and responsiveness.

Contemporary management theories provide tools better suited to address present-day complexities, including rapid technological advancement, remote work, and globalized markets. Despite this, many organizations continue to face challenges such as bureaucratic rigidity, ineffective communication, and resistance to change. This highlights a persistent gap between theoretical progress and practical implementation.

To address this, organizations need to adopt a more adaptable and people-oriented management style that blends the enduring strengths of classical theories with the flexibility and innovation of modern approaches. This integrated perspective is essential for navigating the complexities of today's dynamic business environment. What's needed is a more flexible, human-centered approach to management—one that combines the best of old and new ideas.

1.13 Changing life style in 21st century

The 21st century has been characterized by rapid technological progress, shifting cultural norms, and evolving workforce demographics. Key changes include:

1. **Digital Transformation:** The rise of artificial intelligence, big data, cloud computing, and automation has reshaped business operations and communication (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2021).
2. **Remote Work and Flexibility:** The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of remote work, challenging traditional 9-to-5 office models (Waizenegger et al., 2020).
3. **Globalization:** Businesses now operate across borders, facing diverse cultural, legal, and market dynamics.
4. **Workforce Diversity:** Modern organizations are more diverse in terms of gender, race, age, and background, necessitating inclusive management practices (Shore et al., 2022).
5. **Sustainability and Ethics:** Increasing emphasis on environmental and social governance (ESG) has transformed stakeholder expectations.

Despite these lifestyle changes, many organizations still operate under management models rooted in the industrial era, which prioritize efficiency, control, and hierarchy.

1.14 Contemporary Management Theories and Contributions

Contemporary theorists have developed models and addressed current organizational challenges, but their influence remained limited on day-to-day practice remains limited.

1. Agile Management

Originating in software development, Agile emphasizes flexibility, collaboration, and customer-centric approaches. It promotes iterative planning and self-organizing teams (Rigby, Sutherland & Noble, 2020). Organizations like Spotify and Uber have adopted Agile practices to remain competitive and responsive to market changes.

2. Transformational Leadership

In this leadership style, leader inspire and motivate their employee. This leadership emphasizes building strong relationships with team members, sharing a clear vision, and encouraging creativity. This model, advanced by Bass and Avolio, focuses on inspiring and empowering employees, aligning with modern expectations of participatory leadership (Northouse, 2021). Transformational leadership not only focuses on achieving goals but also prioritizes developing employee within team.

3. Servant Leadership

Greenleaf's servant leadership emphasizes ethical behavior, empathy, and stewardship. It aligns with demands for ethical and socially responsible leadership (Eva et al., 2021). Companies such as Southwest Airlines have successfully implemented servant leadership principles to create a strong, positive organizational culture. Servant Leadership is a leadership approach that emphasizes serving others as the leader's primary role. Instead of focusing on authority and control, servant leaders prioritize the needs, growth, and well-being of their team members. The concept was introduced by Robert K. Greenleaf, who argued that the best leaders are those who serve first and then choose to lead (Greenleaf, 2013).

4. Emotional Intelligence (EI)

Goleman's EI framework has gained traction for its role in leadership effectiveness, conflict resolution, and team dynamics in diverse work environments (Mayer, Caruso, & Salovey, 2020).

5. Diversity and Inclusion Management

Modern organizations face challenges of managing diverse teams. Theorists like Cox (2021) advocate for inclusive policies and leadership that promote equity and innovation.

6. Digital and Virtual Management

Managing remote teams and digital collaboration tools requires new leadership approaches that prioritize trust, communication, and technological fluency (Contreras et al., 2020).

1.15 Philosophical Underpinnings: Rationalism vs. Humanism

Traditional management is rooted in rationalist thought, emphasizing logic, order, and efficiency. Contemporary theories increasingly embrace humanist and constructivist philosophies that value subjectivity, relationships, and meaning-making (Alvesson & Spicer, 2022).

Debates in current management practice

- 1. Control vs. Autonomy:** Classical models favor control, while contemporary theories focus on autonomy and empowerment. This implies that the traditional theories focus on strictly controlling employees, whereas modern theories encourage providing more freedom and responsibility in decision-making.
- 2. Profit vs. Purpose:** Traditional management practices are largely focused on maximizing profits, but today's approaches more consider the value a company can bring to society and its broader stakeholders.
- 3. Stability vs. Agility:** Classical theories prefer stable, predictable outcomes, while modern management frameworks focus on the ability to adapt quickly to change and uncertainty.
- 4. Top-down vs. Distributed Leadership:** Hierarchical control is being challenged by models that promote shared and participatory leadership. Traditional management tends to

have a clear chain of command, but current practices are moving towards leadership that is shared and more collaborative, allowing for input from all levels of the organization.

1.16 Integrating Classical and Contemporary Approaches

There is a developing acknowledgement that a hybrid model might be fundamental by combining the basic clarity of classical theories with the adaptability of contemporary theories.

For example, Kotter's (2014) dual operating system integrates traditional hierarchies with agile networks to balance efficiency and innovation.

1.17 The Way Forward: Rethinking Management for the 21st Century

- 1. Education Reform:** Education reform is a systematic approach to improve the education system, structure, and outcomes to enhance the future workforce. Business schools must revise their curricula that tackle current challenges and incorporate perspectives on ethics, digital advancement, and global management.
- 2. Leadership Development:** Organizations should prioritize training that fosters emotional intelligence, ethical decision-making, and inclusive leadership. This investment enhances an individual's capacity to lead, guide, and motivate colleagues within an organization.
- 3. Policy and Practice Alignment:** Organization's policies must support experimentation, employee well-being, and adaptive work structures.
- 4. Cultural Transformation:** Shifting organizational culture towards innovation, trust, and shared purpose is essential for sustainable success. This transformation helps to improve employee performance and employee engagement.
- 5. Emphasizing Collaboration Over Competition:** Traditional management often prioritized individual success and competition, but today's environment values collaboration and teamwork. Leaders should focus on creating environments where employees work together to achieve common goals, rather than competing for individual recognition.
- 6. Strengthening Employee Engagement:** Employee engagement is key to fostering a motivated and productive workforce. Management should focus on creating a sense of

purpose and ownership among employees, where they feel connected to the organization's mission and their contributions matter.

7. **Sustainable Business Practices:** The modern business world is increasingly focused on sustainability, not just in terms of the environment but also in social responsibility and ethical practices. Companies need to integrate sustainability into their core operations and decision-making processes to stay relevant in a socially conscious market.
8. **Sustainable Business Practices:** The modern business world is increasingly focused on sustainability, not just in terms of the environment but also in social responsibility and ethical practices. Companies need to integrate sustainability into their core operations and decision-making processes to stay relevant in a socially conscious market.

1.18 Conclusion and Recommendation

This report has shown that while management theory has deep roots in the 19th century, it has also evolved over time. Early thinkers like Tylor, Fayol and Weber has provided the understanding of management. Later, thinkers added layers of understanding about people, systems, and context.

Contemporary theorists have addressed many modern issues, from technology to emotional intelligence. However, many organizations still operate using outdated models. The biggest challenge is not a lack of theory, but how slowly it is applied in practice.

To succeed in the 21st century, managers must blend timeless principles with modern insights. They must lead with empathy, think systemically, and embrace change. The future of management lies not in choosing between old and new, but in learning how to integrate them wisely. Managers should be trained to apply different theories depending on the context. For example, in stable environments, classical models may still work well, whereas in fast-changing digital environments, contingency or systems thinking may be more effective.

While the world has dramatically changed in the 21st century, management theory and practice have largely remained tethered to 19th century roots. Although contemporary theorists have proposed innovative models to address modern challenges, their impact on real-world practices is limited by educational inertia, organizational resistance, and structural simplicity. A deliberate and integrated approach by blending classical principles with contemporary innovations is essential to

meet the evolving demands of today's dynamic, diverse, and digital business environment. To bridge the gap between classical and contemporary approaches, organizations should consider integrating both perspectives. Classical theories, with their focus on structure and efficiency, remain valuable in ensuring operational stability, while contemporary theories emphasize adaptability and human-centered management, which are essential for long-term success in today's dynamic environment. Encourage open communication, employee participation, and diverse perspectives in decision-making. This human-centered approach is more aligned with contemporary theories and enhances long-term organization resilience.

To bridge the gap between classical and contemporary approaches, organizations should consider integrating both perspectives. Classical theories, with their focus on structure and efficiency, remain valuable in ensuring operational stability, while contemporary theories emphasize adaptability and human-centered management, which are essential for long-term success in today's dynamic environment. Managers should invest in leadership training that includes both classical and contemporary management practices. By adopting a more integrated approach, businesses can build resilient organizations that are well-equipped to navigate the challenges of the 21st century.

This expansion provides a comprehensive, in-depth analysis of the evolution of management theory, the contributions of contemporary theorists, and a critical evaluation of how modern challenges are addressed. It also includes practical recommendations for integrating classical and contemporary approaches, providing a balanced view of the development of management.

References

- Alvesson, M., & Spicer, A. (2022). *Humanistic Management: Embracing Humanity and Integrity in the Workplace*. Oxford University Press.
- Bass, B.M. and Bass Bernard, M., 1985. Leadership and performance beyond expectations.
- Bass, B.M., 1985. Leadership: Good, better, best. *Organizational dynamics*, 13(3), pp.26-40.
- Bass, B.M. and Riggio, R.E., 2006. *Transformational leadership*. Psychology press.
- Braverman, H., 1998. *Labor and monopoly capital: The degradation of work in the twentieth century*. nyu Press.
- Brynjolfsson, E. and McAfee, A., 2014. *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. WW Norton & company.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2021). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. Norton & Company.
- Burns, T. and Stalker, G.M., 1961. Mechanistic and organic systems. *London: Tavistock Publications*.
- Carter, N.M. and Fayol, H. (1986) 'General and Industrial Management', 11(2), p. 454. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2307/258475>.
- Christensen, C.M., 2015. *The innovator's dilemma: when new technologies cause great firms to fail*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Contreras, F., Baykal, E., & Abid, G. (2020). E-leadership and Teleworking in Times of COVID-19. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 40(9/10), 112–128.
- Cox, T. (2021). *Cultural Diversity in Organizations: Theory, Research and Practice*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Cunningham, J.B. (1979) 'The management system: Its functions and processes', 25(7), pp. 657–670

Drucker, P., 2012. *The practice of management*. Routledge.

Edwards, R. (2018). An elaboration of the administrative theory of the 14 principles of management by Henri Fayol. *International Journal for Empirical Education and Research*, 1(1), 41–51.

Eva, N., Robin, M., Sendjaya, S., van Dierendonck, D., & Liden, R. C. (2021). Servant Leadership: A Systematic Review and Call for Future Research. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 32(1), 101–111.

Fiedler, F.E., 1964. A contingency model of leadership effectiveness. In *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 149-190). Academic Press.

Gagné, M., Parker, S.K., Griffin, M.A., Dunlop, P.D., Knight, C., Klonek, F.E. and Parent-Rocheleau, X., 2022. Understanding and shaping the future of work with self-determination theory. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(7), pp.378-392.

Goleman, D., 1996. Emotional intelligence. Why it can matter more than IQ. *Learning*, 24(6), pp.49-50.

Goleman, D., 2005. *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam.

Greenleaf, R.K., 2013. *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist press.

Hamel, G. and Prahalad, C.K., 1994. *Competing for the Future*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press. *Research and Technology Improvement Incentives Ministry of Research and Technology*.

Hatch, M.J., 1993. The dynamics of organizational culture. *Academy of management review*, 18(4), pp.657-693.

Hatchuel, A., & Segrestin, B. (2019). A century old and still visionary: Fayol's innovative theory of management. *European Management Review*, 16(2), 399–412.

Insurance, P., Deming we.(1986). Out ofthe crisis. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kanigel, R. (2005). The one best way: Frederick Winslow Taylor and the enigma of efficiency. *MIT Press Books, 1*

Kareska, K. (2017). The role of planning as a fundamental management function for achieving effectiveness in business organizations. *Available at SSRN 3022761,(2017)*

Kotler, P. and Keller, K.L., 2014. *Philip Kotler*. Astromax Entertainment.

Lederer, M., & Schott, P. (2021). Business process management. *Encyclopedia of Organizational Knowledge, Administration, and Technology* (pp. 2287–2302). IGI Global.

Mallar, M. A. (2021). Process management: an effective management approach.

McGregor, D., 1960. Theory X and theory Y. *Organization theory*, 358(374), p.5.

McGregor, D., 1989. The human side of enterprise. *Readings in managerial psychology*, 4(2), pp.314-324.

Maslow, A.H., 1943. A theory of human motivation. *Psychological review*, 50(4), p.370.

Mayer, J. D., Caruso, D. R., & Salovey, P. (2020). The Ability Model of Emotional Intelligence: Principles and Updates. *Emotion Review*, 12(3), 190–195.

Mayo, E., 2004. *The human problems of an industrial civilization*. Routledge.

Mayo, E., 1993. El experimento de Hawthorne en la Western Electric Company. C. Ramio y X. Ballart (comps.) *Lecturas de Teoría de la Organización*, 1, pp.213-230.

Max, W., 1947. The theory of social and economic organization. *Translated by AM Henderson and Talcott Parsons. Edited with an introduction by Talcott Parsons.) The Free Press of Glencoe Collier-Macmillan Limited. London.*

Merchant, K. A. (1982). The control function of management. *Sloan Management Review (Pre-1986)*, 23(4), 43.

Mintzberg, H. (2021). *Understanding Organizations... Finally!*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Mintzberg, H., Ahlstrand, B.W. and Lampel, J., 2005. *Strategy bites back: It is a lot more, and less, than you ever imagined--*. Pearson Education.

Mintzberg, H., 1973. The nature of managerial work.

Nhema, A.G., 2015. Relevance of classical management theories to modern public administration: A review. *Journal of public administration and governance*, 5(3), pp.165-179.

Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and Practice* (9th ed.). Sage Publications.

Porter, M.E. and Advantage, C., 1985. Creating and sustaining superior performance. *Competitive advantage*, 167, pp.167-206.

Rigby, D. K., Sutherland, J., & Noble, A. (2020). Agile at Scale. *Harvard Business Review*, 98(3), 88–96.

Robbins, S. P. & Coulter, M. (2018). *Management*, Fourteenth Edition, Pearson, New York.

Samson, D., Donnet, T. and Daft, R.L., 2020. *Management*. Cengage AU.

Senge, P.M., 2006. *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. Broadway Business.

Shore, L. M., Cleveland, J. N., & Sanchez, D. (2022). Inclusive Workplaces: A Review and Model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(1), 100–113.

Taylor, F. W. (1911). *The principles of scientific management*. NuVision Publications, LLC.

Ulrich, D., 1996. *Human resource champions: The next agenda for adding value and delivering results*. Harvard Business Press.

von Bertalanffy, L., 2008. An Outline of General System Theory. *Emergence: complexity and organization*, 10(2), pp.103-124.

Waizenegger, L., McKenna, B., Cai, W., & Bendz, T. (2020). An Affordance Perspective of Team Collaboration and Enforced Working from Home During COVID-19. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 29(4), 429–442.

Weber, M., 2009. *The theory of social and economic organization*. Simon and Schuster.

Witzel, M. (2016). *A history of management thought*. Routledge.

Wren, D. A., & Bedeian, A. G. (2023). *The evolution of management thought*. John Wiley & Sons.

Zhou, Y., Xu, J., Liu, Z. and Feng, J., 2023. Digital transformation and innovation strategy selection: the contingent impact of organizational and environmental factors. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, pp.9744-9763.