

Making the Principate Thinkable: Religion, Restoration, and Augustan Power

Introduction

Augustus' political achievement lay not only in surviving the civil wars or in preserving republican forms, but in making the new order appear legitimate, stable, and continuous with Rome's sacred traditions. The Principate endured partly because Augustus embedded his authority within the religious structures through which Romans understood civic order, divine favour, and historical continuity. Religion was therefore more than a decorative language applied to power after the fact. In a Roman context, where ritual practice, civic identity, and the *pax deorum* were closely intertwined, religion helped shape the conditions through which political authority could be recognised.¹²

Modern scholarship has moved beyond the idea that Augustan religion can be understood simply as propaganda or disguise. Zanker's analysis of visual culture, Galinsky's account of Augustan restoration, and Beard, North and Price's emphasis on ritual practice all point towards a more embedded model of religious change. Seen in this light, Augustus' religious programme did not merely legitimise the Principate as a political settlement. It helped create the sacred conditions through which that settlement could appear necessary, continuous, and Roman.³

This essay therefore argues that Augustus used religion to legitimise the Principate to a profound extent, but that the language of "use" risks understating the nature of the transformation. Religion shaped the terms in which the political settlement could be understood, rather than operating as a later layer of justification. Augustus worked through the constitutive forms of Roman religion so that the Principate appeared less as the product of civil war than as the restoration of sacred order. In effect, political innovation became acceptable because it was presented through the familiar language and practices of ancestral religious renewal.

The argument proceeds by tracing this transformation across the structures through which Romans understood authority, memory, and communal order. The late Republican crisis first made restoration a persuasive language of rule. Sacred space, military ritual, and poetic memory then converted victory into evidence of divine favour. Priestly office and sacred time placed Augustus at the centre of Rome's mediation with the gods, while domestic cult, provincial worship, and public works extended that mediation into everyday experience. Finally, moral legislation, dynastic succession, the *Ara Pacis*, and apotheosis show how the Augustan order reproduced itself beyond the life of its founder. Through these processes, the Principate became more than a

¹ John Scheid, *La religión en Roma* (Madrid, 1991), pp. xv–xvi.

² Mary Beard, John North and Simon Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1 (Cambridge, 1998), pp. ix–xii.

³ Karl Galinsky, *Augustan Culture* (Princeton, 1996), pp. 6–9.

settlement after civil war. It became a sacred framework within which Roman order itself could be imagined.

Sacred Rupture and the Politics of Restoration

To understand the extent of Augustan religious legitimation, the late Republican crisis must be read as religious as well as political. Civil war could be interpreted as evidence that Rome's relationship with the gods had fractured. Horace's *Odes* 3.6 famously linked the "crimes of our fathers" to the "neglected gods," warning that "it is by serving the gods that you rule."⁴ Augustus' opportunity lay in presenting himself as the restorer of a broken sacred order, rather than the architect of an unprecedented religious settlement.

This religious breakdown was visible in both thought and institution. Cicero's *De Divinatione* and *De Natura Deorum* suggest a world in which traditional mechanisms of divine consultation had become contested, politicised, or uncertain.⁵ More concretely, the decay of temples and the vacancy of key priesthoods, including the *Flamen Dialis*, which remained unfilled for seventy-five years until Augustus restored it, gave material form to the sense of religious rupture.⁶ The failure of the Republic could thus be framed as a failure of *pietas* as much as a constitutional collapse.

Augustus' claim in the *Res Gestae* to have repaired eighty-two temples in a single year, "omitting none that required restoration,"⁷ directly answered this crisis. These restorations made Rome's renewed relationship with the gods visible in the urban landscape. Zanker's emphasis on Augustan imagery as a "new visual language" helps explain how restoration operated through perception, as temples, monuments, and sacred spaces trained Romans to see the new regime as the return of order rather than the product of civil war.⁸ Since sacred sites structured sacrifice, procession, and communal contact with the divine, the reorganisation of Rome's sacred topography made Augustus' piety continuously visible. Temple restoration legitimised the Principate as the condition through which the *pax deorum* could be recovered. Augustus' genius lay in making political novelty appear as religious repair. The new regime became acceptable because it presented itself as the recovery of an older sacred order.

Sacred Space, Victory, and the Rewriting of Roman Memory

The legitimising force of sacred topography becomes most concentrated in the Forum Augustum and the Temple of Mars Ultor, dedicated in 2 BCE.⁹ If temple restoration made Rome's recovered relationship with the gods visible, the Forum Augustum went

⁴ Horace, *Odes* 3.6.

⁵ Cicero, *De Divinatione* 2; cf. *De Natura Deorum* 1.

⁶ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 130–131, 192–193.

⁷ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 20.

⁸ Paul Zanker, *The Power of Images in the Age of Augustus* (Ann Arbor, 1988), Preface, pp. v–vi.

⁹ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 21; Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 198–201.

further by organising Roman history itself around the person of Augustus. While the Temple of Apollo Palatinus foregrounded the personal divine patronage of the *princeps*, the Forum Augustum created a public architecture of memory in which vengeance, triumph, and ancestral continuity were fused.¹⁰ The temple fulfilled Augustus' vow at Philippi to avenge Julius Caesar, yet by the time of its dedication that vengeance had been absorbed into a wider narrative of Roman restoration.¹¹

The arrangement of the Forum made this claim materially legible. Its exedrae displayed the *summi viri* of Rome's past, from mythic founders to Republican heroes, while Augustus stood at the centre in a triumphal chariot.¹² This was curated memory rather than antiquarian commemoration. It recast the Roman past as a sequence culminating in the Principate. By placing himself among, and above, the exemplary figures of Roman memory, Augustus claimed continuity with the Republic while also surpassing it. Republican memory itself became evidence for Augustan rule. The space honoured tradition by reorganising it around a new political centre. Its ritual functions reinforced this logic, since decisions on war, triumphs, and provincial departures were attached to sacrifice at Mars' altar, making the Forum a place where Roman power was repeatedly enacted through Augustan sacred space.¹³

Mars Ultor sharpened this transformation by converting military memory into religious vindication. As "Avenger," Mars recalled the punishment of Caesar's assassins, but the god's meaning expanded beyond private or dynastic revenge. The return of the standards lost by Crassus, placed within the temple, allowed Augustus to present diplomatic recovery as sacred victory achieved through *auctoritas* rather than conquest.¹⁴ In this setting, military success was ritualised as evidence of restored divine favour.

The same logic is visible in the Augustus of Prima Porta. Its breastplate presents the return of the Parthian standards as an event embedded within cosmic order.¹⁵ The returning standards are framed by Sol, Luna, Tellus, Apollo, and Diana, placing diplomacy within a universe reconciled under Augustan authority.¹⁶ The imagery transforms a potentially ambiguous political settlement into a sacred drama of restoration. Victory is redefined through the recovery of Roman honour, the return of sacred objects, and the visible favour of the gods. This mattered particularly for a regime born from civil war. Augustus needed victory to appear as the restoration of a moral and cosmic order that only he could secure, rather than as domination by another warlord.

¹⁰ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 210–216.

¹¹ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 2, 21.

¹² Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 210–216.

¹³ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 214–216; Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 198–201.

¹⁴ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 29; Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 198–199.

¹⁵ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 189–192.

¹⁶ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 190–191.

The closing of the Gates of Janus gave this theology of victory a ritual form. Augustus' claim to have brought peace "by land and sea" required a public sign that war had culminated in restored divine harmony. Before Augustus, the gates of Janus had reportedly been closed only twice since Rome's foundation; Augustus claimed to have closed them three times.¹⁷ The rarity of the ritual gave it exceptional force. It converted peace from a political condition into a religious event, signalling that the violence of the civil wars had been absorbed into a wider story of restored order.¹⁸

Virgil's *Aeneid* gives poetic form to the same transformation. Aeneas, the archetype of *pietas*, links personal suffering, divine command, and Rome's eventual foundation.¹⁹ In Book 8, the Shield of Aeneas depicts Actium not as a civil war between Roman competitors, but as a cosmic struggle between the gods of Rome and the monstrous gods of the East.²⁰ The religious framing is crucial because it turns the defeat of a fellow Roman into the defence of a sacred civilisation. As with Mars Ultor, Prima Porta, and Janus, military violence becomes legitimate when represented as the recovery of divine and moral order.

Taken together, the Forum Augustum, the Prima Porta cuirass, the closing of Janus, and Virgil's Actium show how Augustan religion redefined the meaning of victory. Force alone could not legitimise the Principate, since force was precisely what had discredited the late Republic. Augustus therefore translated victory into sacred restoration. War became acceptable when it appeared to repair Roman honour, recover divine favour, and return the world to order.

Priestly Authority and the Centralisation of Sacred Mediation

The legitimising force of Augustan restoration extended from sacred buildings to the reorganisation of religious office. Augustus' assumption of the role of *Pontifex Maximus* in 12 BCE marked the culmination of a long process by which priestly authority became concentrated around the *princeps*.²¹ Crucially, Augustus waited until the death of Lepidus before taking the office, allowing him to appear as the guardian of tradition rather than its violator. This restraint mattered. His authority depended on acquiring power in a way that could be read as lawful, pious, and continuous with ancestral practice.²²

Once installed, Augustus subtly changed what the office meant. Instead of simply occupying the inherited structures of Republican priesthood, he redirected their symbolic centre towards the Palatine. By making his own house public property and establishing a shrine of Vesta within it, Augustus linked the sacred life of the city to the

¹⁷ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 13; Suetonius, *Augustus* 22.

¹⁸ Ovid, *Fasti* 1.281–288; *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 13.

¹⁹ Virgil, *Aeneid* 1.1–11.

²⁰ Virgil, *Aeneid* 8.671–713.

²¹ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 10.

²² Galinsky, *Augustan Culture*, pp. 10–12.

household of the *princeps*.²³ The move was powerful precisely because it did not look revolutionary. It transformed the household of Augustus into a quasi-public sacred space without requiring Romans to acknowledge that the political centre of the state had shifted from the Forum to the imperial residence. As Beard, North and Price suggest, Augustan religious change often worked by preserving old forms while relocating their significance.²⁴ Vesta's fire had long symbolised the life of Rome; under Augustus, that symbolism became physically and conceptually attached to his own domestic space. Republican religion was not abolished, but re-centred around the *princeps*.

Suetonius' account of Augustus' revival of neglected rites reinforces this pattern. The restoration of practices such as the *Augurium Salutis* and the *Lupercalia* presented Augustus as a ruler who recovered the ritual competence lost during the disorder of the late Republic.²⁵ Likewise, the revival and promotion of priestly colleges such as the *Fratres Arvales* embedded him within rituals of fertility, agricultural prosperity, and collective welfare.²⁶ Even the use of the *Fetiales* to frame the conflict with Cleopatra as a foreign war rather than civil conflict shows how religious procedure could transform political violence into legitimate action.²⁷

The significance of these offices was structural rather than merely honorific. In a system where the *pax deorum* depended on correct ritual mediation, Augustus' control of priesthoods made him appear indispensable to the functioning of Roman religion itself. He did not need to present himself as a king; indeed, that would have damaged his legitimacy. Instead, he accumulated the religious authority through which kingship could be avoided while its stabilising functions were preserved. The Principate therefore solved the problem of monarchy by translating concentrated power into priestly guardianship. Through priestly office, Augustus became embedded in the fabric of Roman ritual life, not as an external ruler imposing order, but as the central mediator through whom order was maintained.

Sacred Time and the Augustan New Age

Augustus' religious legitimisation operated through sacred time as well as sacred space and priestly authority. The *Ludi Saeculares* of 17 BCE allowed the Principate to be presented as the beginning of a new historical cycle rather than the aftermath of civil war. Traditionally associated with the passing of a *saeculum*, the festival was reworked to announce a renewed age of Roman prosperity, later imagined in terms of the

²³ Suetonius, *Augustus* 31.

²⁴ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 189–192.

²⁵ Suetonius, *Augustus* 31.

²⁶ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 186–194.

²⁷ Cassius Dio, *Roman History* 50.4.4–5.

Saeculum Aureum.²⁸ Through ritual performance, Rome's movement from crisis into divinely sanctioned renewal was made visible, communal, and authoritative.

The ritual structure of the games sharpened this claim. Earlier forms had focused on nocturnal rites to underworld deities such as Dis and Proserpina, whereas the Augustan celebration turned towards Apollo and Diana, the bright Palatine gods closely associated with the *princeps*.²⁹ This shift moved the symbolism of the festival from averting danger towards displaying confidence, fertility, and restored order. Horace's *Carmen Saeculare*, performed by a chorus of boys and girls, gave poetic form to the same logic. The renewal of land, people, and morals appeared as part of a divinely ordered Augustan settlement.³⁰

The significance of the *Ludi Saeculares* lies in their transformation of Augustan power into a temporal condition. The "New Age" was enacted as sacred reality rather than proclaimed as political slogan. This logic also shaped Ovid's *Fasti*, where the religious calendar registers Augustan anniversaries, victories, and honours as part of Rome's sacred year.³¹ Time itself became a medium of legitimation. To inhabit the Augustan *saeculum* was to inhabit a world in which the gods had accepted the new order. The *Ludi Saeculares* and the *Fasti* together show how the Principate entered the rhythm of Roman time, with Augustus as the agent through whom historical renewal could be imagined.

Lived Religion: Domestic, Provincial, and Material Augustanism

The centralisation of sacred mediation around the *princeps* was not confined to Rome's major priesthoods or monumental spaces. Its deeper force lay in the way Augustan religion entered the ordinary structures of communal life. One significant change came in the reorganisation of the *vici* in 7 BCE, when Augustus revived the cult of the *Lares Compitales* while associating it with the *Genius Augusti*.³² The effect was subtle but profound. The protective presence of the *princeps* was encountered not only in state ritual, but at the crossroads, in the neighbourhood, and at the threshold of domestic life.

This brought imperial authority into a register that was local, familiar, and participatory. The administration of these cults by *vicomagistri*, many of whom were freedmen, widened the social reach of the new order.³³ Those excluded from traditional elite office could now participate in a religious structure centred upon the *princeps*. Zanker's discussion of local shrines and altars depicting Augustus performing sacrifice is valuable here, because it shows how imperial piety could be made continuously visible

²⁸ Galinsky, *Augustan Culture*, pp. 100–105.

²⁹ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 200–206.

³⁰ Horace, *Carmen Saeculare*, esp. 1–12, 13–24, 45–60.

³¹ Ovid, *Fasti*, esp. Books 1, 3, 4 and 5.

³² Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 184–187; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 129–134.

³³ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 130–134.

at street level.³⁴ Augustan authority was therefore reproduced through repeated, ordinary acts of worship rather than through monumental display alone.

A similar logic operated in the municipal towns of Italy, where the *Seviri Augustales* gave freedmen a recognised role in the cultic life of the imperial order.³⁵ Religion provided a language of participation for groups whose access to formal political power remained limited. The Belvedere Altar, depicting the *princeps* alongside the Lares, gives material form to this fusion of household protection, local ritual, and imperial presence.³⁶ The household and the neighbourhood were reorganised so that protection, prosperity, and civic belonging were increasingly mediated through Augustan forms. This development marks the embedding of the Principate within everyday religious experience, collapsing the boundary between political authority and divine protection at the level of lived practice.

The same process of religious translation operated beyond Rome, though in a more explicit and negotiated form. In the provinces, especially in the Greek East, the imperial cult allowed communities to understand Rome's unprecedented power through familiar ritual categories. Price's description of the imperial cult as a "cognitive system" is crucial here, since the cult provided a shared language through which ruler and subjects could define their relationship.³⁷ Provincial elites, in particular, used cultic honours to articulate loyalty, secure prestige, and participate in the symbolic order of empire.

The provincial calendar of Asia illustrates the scale of this transformation. In 9 BCE, the new year in Asia Minor was reorganised to begin on Augustus' birthday, 23 September.³⁸ The decree's description of his birth as "the beginning of the good news (*euangelia*) for the world" framed imperial authority in explicitly temporal and cosmological terms.³⁹ By aligning civic time with Augustus' birth, the province made the emperor the organising principle of its public rhythm. The calendar reform reordered temporal reality itself. Augustus became the point from which political time, religious time, and civic identity could be recalibrated.

Provincial cult was also architecturally and culturally adaptive. At Aphrodisias, the Sebasteion placed the emperors within a visual field shared with Olympian gods; in the West, the Altar of the Three Gauls at Lugdunum provided a shared religious centre for communities brought under Roman rule.^{40,41} These examples show that imperial cult was not a single uniform programme exported from Rome. It was a flexible ritual

³⁴ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 129–134.

³⁵ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 319–320.

³⁶ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 186–187 and fig. 4.3.

³⁷ S. R. F. Price, *Rituals and Power: The Roman Imperial Cult in Asia Minor* (Cambridge, 1984), pp. 7–11, 234–248.

³⁸ Price, *Rituals and Power*, pp. 54–55, 105.

³⁹ Price, *Rituals and Power*, p. 54.

⁴⁰ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, p. 313; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 303–304.

⁴¹ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 352–353; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 303–304.

language through which different communities located themselves within the new imperial order. Price's insistence that "ritual is what there was"⁴² captures the point. Through sacrifice, procession, festival, and architectural display, provincial communities produced a world in which Augustus' authority became structurally meaningful.⁴³ The imperial cult thus gave the diverse empire a common religious grammar, with the *princeps* as its central point of orientation.

The material benefits of Augustan rule also contributed to this sacralisation of authority. Augustus' famous claim to have found Rome a city of brick and left it a city of marble speaks to more than aesthetic transformation.⁴⁴ Many buildings associated with Augustan renewal, including the Theatre of Marcellus, aqueducts, roads, and other civic works, were not temples in a narrow sense.⁴⁵ Yet their public value could still be folded into the language of *pietas*, since the prosperity and order they embodied were presented as signs of restored divine favour. Public works made Augustan order tangible. Water, movement, entertainment, security, and civic splendour became part of the lived experience of the restored *pax deorum*. By framing provision as an expression of *pietas*, Augustus linked the physical well-being of the Roman people to his religious authority, further binding everyday experience to the structures of the Principate.

Family, Dynasty, and the Reproduction of Sacred Order

The same religious logic extended into the moral and domestic sphere. Augustus' legislation on marriage and family life, especially the *lex Julia de maritandis ordinibus* and the *lex Papia Poppaea*, formed part of a wider attempt to connect private conduct with the stability of the state.⁴⁶ Horace's anxiety about neglected gods and inherited guilt shows how easily moral decline could be read as a religious problem.⁴⁷ By encouraging marriage, childbirth, and elite moral discipline, Augustus presented himself as restoring the ethical conditions on which the *pax deorum* depended.

The role of *custos morum* sharpened this claim.⁴⁸ Augustus' authority reached into the household because the household itself was reimagined as part of the religious health of Rome. The family became a microcosm of the state. Domestic *pietas*, sexual discipline, legitimate reproduction, and dynastic continuity were folded into the moral order of the *res publica*. The *Domus Augusta* could then appear as the exemplary household, modelling the order that Roman families were expected to reproduce.⁴⁹ By presenting himself as guardian of ancestral morality, Augustus extended the authority of

⁴² Price, *Rituals and Power*, p. 11.

⁴³ Price, *Rituals and Power*, p. 39.

⁴⁴ Suetonius, *Augustus* 28.

⁴⁵ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 19–20; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 139–145.

⁴⁶ Suetonius, *Augustus* 34.

⁴⁷ Horace, *Odes* 3.6; Galinsky, *Augustan Culture*, ch. 3.

⁴⁸ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 6; Suetonius, *Augustus* 27.

⁴⁹ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 216–221.

the *princeps* into the intimate foundations of Roman society while still claiming to defend tradition. The regulation of private life became a form of religious governance, linking the reproduction of families to the reproduction of the Principate itself.

The question of succession exposed the constitutional fragility of the Principate. Whilst dynastic rule remained difficult to reconcile with Republican tradition, religious language helped ease this contradiction. By associating his household with divine favour and promoting the cult of the *domus Augusta*, Augustus made succession appear less like private inheritance and more like the preservation of a sacred order.⁵⁰ Beneath the question of who would follow Augustus lay a more difficult problem. How could a regime that claimed to restore the Republic reproduce itself without admitting that it had become hereditary monarchy?

Augustus answered this problem by presenting succession as stewardship rather than inheritance. The promotion of heirs such as Marcellus, Gaius and Lucius Caesar, and eventually Tiberius, should be read in this context. Their elevation to public and religious prominence framed succession as a matter of civic and sacred stability.⁵¹ The continued maintenance of the *pax deorum* required a successor capable of inheriting not only power, but the *auctoritas* through which that power had been made acceptable. Tiberius' eventual adoption continued this logic, presenting succession as the preservation of a public and religious order rather than a merely dynastic decision.⁵² The dynasty became credible because it appeared to safeguard the cosmic order Augustus had established.

The Augustan grammar of sacred order converges most fully in the *Ara Pacis Augustae*. Dedicated in 9 BCE, the altar presents the Augustan settlement as a complete religious and political order.⁵³ Its force lies in synthesis, drawing elements that might otherwise appear separate into a single visual language of peace, fertility, *pietas*, and dynastic continuity. The processional friezes make this synthesis visible. Augustus appears veiled for sacrifice, surrounded by the priestly, senatorial, and dynastic bodies through which the Augustan order claimed continuity.⁵⁴ The presence of children is crucial.⁵⁵ On the *Ara Pacis*, succession is drawn into Rome's ritual future, rather than left as a private family arrangement. The dynastic principle, so awkward in Republican terms, is absorbed into procession and sacrifice until family continuity begins to look like the ritual reproduction of Rome itself.

The altar's allegorical panels extend this logic from family to cosmos. The so-called "Tellus" or "Pax" panel, with its maternal figure, fruits, animals, and children, links

⁵⁰ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 207–210; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 216–221.

⁵¹ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 14; Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 205–206.

⁵² Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 216–221.

⁵³ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* 12; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 121–125.

⁵⁴ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 121–122.

⁵⁵ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 122–123.

Augustan peace to fertility and abundance.⁵⁶ The prosperity of the natural world appears as the visible consequence of restored divine favour. In this sense, the *Ara Pacis* gathers the essay's central themes into one monument. Peace, fertility, family, and divine favour are made to speak the same Augustan language. It is a visual argument that the *pax deorum* is maintained through the *princeps*.

Augustus' apotheosis completed this process of sacralisation. His funeral in 14 CE was a ritual transition through which the founder of the Principate was absorbed into the divine order. The claim that a senator saw Augustus' spirit ascending from the funeral pyre provided the necessary sign of divine translation, and the Senate's declaration of him as *Divus Augustus* formalised his place within the Roman pantheon.⁵⁷⁵⁸ Apotheosis honoured Augustus after death, but its deeper force was retrospective. It transformed the contingencies of his rise into the biography of a god. The *Res Gestae*, set up near his Mausoleum, could now be read as the record of a divinely sanctioned life.⁵⁹ The "son of a god" (*Divi Filius*) had himself become divine, leaving Tiberius to inherit a political structure already embedded within Rome's sacred landscape. Apotheosis therefore transformed succession from a problem of political continuity into the continuation of a religious order.

Conclusion

Augustus used religion to legitimise the Principate to a profound extent, but the term "use" only partially captures what occurred. His achievement was not simply to attach religious symbolism to a new political order, nor to disguise monarchy beneath pious language. Rather, Augustus worked through the central structures of Roman religious life, including ritual practice, sacred space, priestly office, civic time, domestic cult, and dynastic memory. In doing so, he altered the terms through which Roman authority could be imagined.⁶⁰

When considered together, the evidence reveals a consistent pattern. Temple restoration presented the regime as the repair of a damaged relationship with the gods. The Forum Augustum, Mars Ultor, Prima Porta, Janus, and Virgilian memory translated military victory into sacred restoration. Priesthoods and the Palatine re-centred mediation with the gods around the *princeps*, while the *Ludi Saeculares* and the *Fasti* placed Augustan rule within the rhythm of sacred time. Domestic cult, provincial worship, and public works then extended this order into ordinary, local, and imperial experience. Finally, moral legislation, dynastic succession, the *Ara Pacis*, and apotheosis made the Augustan settlement capable of reproducing itself beyond the life of Augustus.

⁵⁶ Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 173–176.

⁵⁷ Suetonius, *Augustus* 100; Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 207–208.

⁵⁸ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 207–208.

⁵⁹ *Res Gestae Divi Augusti*, preface.

⁶⁰ Scheid, *La religión en Roma*, pp. xv–xvi.

The Principate therefore became more than a constitutional compromise after civil war. It emerged as a sacred framework through which Roman order could be restored, experienced, and sustained. Augustus' religious programme made political novelty appear as ancestral continuity, and concentrated power appear as the guardianship of divine order. It helped make the Principate thinkable from within, as the form through which Rome's relationship with the gods could be restored, stabilised, and made to endure.⁶¹

Bibliography

Primary Sources

Cassius Dio, *Roman History*.

Cicero, *De Divinatione*.

Cicero, *De Natura Deorum*.

Horace, *Carmen Saeculare*.

Horace, *Odes*.

Ovid, *Fasti*.

Res Gestae Divi Augusti.

Suetonius, *Augustus*.

Virgil, *Aeneid*.

Secondary Sources

Beard, Mary, John North and Simon Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1 (Cambridge, 1998).

Galinsky, Karl, *Augustan Culture* (Princeton, 1996).

Price, S. R. F., *Rituals and Power: The Roman Imperial Cult in Asia Minor* (Cambridge, 1984).

Scheid, John, *La religión en Roma* (Madrid, 1991).

Zanker, Paul, *The Power of Images in the Age of Augustus* (Ann Arbor, 1988).

⁶¹ Beard, North and Price, *Religions of Rome*, vol. 1, pp. 207–210; Zanker, *Power of Images*, pp. 335–338.

