

LEVEL 4 ASSESSMENT SPECIFICATION

Student name:		Student ID number:	
Programme:	Construction Portfolio		
Module:	Fundamentals of Construction Technology		
Module code:	ACBA4001	Contribution to Overall Module Assessment (%):	50%
Lecturer:	Gavin Traylor	Internal Verifier:	Allan Nantel
Assignment Title:	New Build Dwelling: Technical report with drawings	Word count (or equivalent):	2,000 words (or equivalent)
Submission deadline:	Friday 22 nd January	Return date of provisional marks & written feedback:	Within 20 working days
Submission method:	All written assessments, where practical and possible, must be submitted via Turnitin unless otherwise instructed by the Lecturer. (Please DO NOT put this assessment specification into Turnitin or it will match many similarities with other students' submissions.) Alternative submission method (if applicable): Late submission of the assessment will result in a late penalty mark. Penalties for late submission: Up to one week late, maximum mark of 40%. Over one week late, 0%. Only the Extenuating Circumstances Panel may approve a change to submission dates.		
Academic honesty / referencing:	Academic honesty is required. In the main body of your submission you must give credit to authors on whose research and ideas your work is based. Append to your submission a reference list that indicates the books, articles, etc. that you have used, cited or quoted in order to complete this assessment.		

Module Learning Outcomes (from module syllabus)

- Identify the different types of substructure available for a range of low-rise building systems and techniques used in their production.
- Define and produce annotated sketches that illustrate a broad range of common constructional forms, demonstrating understanding of their potential defect and repair needs.

TASK DESCRIPTION

Scenario:

You have been approached by Miss A Client who has received planning consent for her single, two storey detached domestic building. [See attached floor plans and elevations].

It is to be built on a level but reclaimed site, which prior to advanced ground works had been used as agricultural land. There is a retaining wall structure to the south of the site and the Ground Investigation report suggests a suitable raft foundation be constructed for the property.

The building is a timber framed construction where the inner skin of the 'cavity wall' is 140mm thick insulated C16 timber frame. The outer skin consists of stack bonded facing brick work and blockwork with a smooth render finish. Additional PIR insulation is contained within the cavity to improve the thermal performance. There is to be an insulated ground floor with underfloor heating in the screed and the upper floors are to be traditional solid timber with tongue and groove softwood flooring. Windows and external doors are to be powder coated aluminium, windows are triple-glazed units. Roof structure is to be trussed rafters with a metal profile sheeting finish installed over OSB sheathing boards.

The client has asked you to prepare a technical report that addresses some of the construction details required as they are unfamiliar with timber frame construction. Your technical report should be graphical and contain the tasks highlighted below:

*The following tasks are allocated a percentage to emphasise the suggested **time** you should spend on each individual task. Marks will be allocated against the set Marking Criteria indicated on page 5.*

1. Produce **neatly drawn, proportionate and suitably annotated sections and sketches** that illustrate the materials and methods for the detailing of the following building elements and construction inter-faces. Ensure that detailing shows how the design will meet the current **Building Regulations for a domestic new-build**:
 - i) The Ground floor Construction, detailing and junctions with external walls. (20%)
 - ii) The First floor Construction, detailing, junctions with external walls and restraints. (20%)
 - iii) Eaves detailing and roof covering – indicating appropriate weathering, fixing and laps. (20%)
 - iv) The main roof **structure**. Construction, detailing and fixing. (20%)
2. Given the specified roof structure and finish describe the advantages and disadvantages of such a method. Give an example of an alternative method of construction and describe what advantages or disadvantages that it may have. (10%)
3. Appropriate ventilation can play an important part of the design of a domestic building and is even more critical when considering a timber framed construction.
 - i) Clearly describe the causes and sources of condensation, and the detrimental effects of condensation upon building fabric. (5%)
 - ii) Using neat, annotated, proportional sketches where necessary, describe the appropriate methods of ventilation that will reduce condensation within this proposed building.(5%)

GUIDANCE FOR STUDENTS IN THE COMPLETION OF TASKS

NOTE: The guidance offered below is linked to the five generic assessment criteria overleaf.

1. Engagement with Literature Skills

Your work must be informed and supported by scholarly material that is *relevant* to and *focused* on the task(s) set. You should provide evidence that you have accessed an appropriate *range* of sources, which may be academic, governmental and industrial; these sources may include academic journal articles, textbooks, current news articles, organisational documents, and websites. You should consider the *credibility* of your sources; academic journals are normally highly credible sources while websites require careful consideration/selection and should be used sparingly. Any sources you use should be current and up-to-date, mostly published within the last five years or so, though seminal/important works in the field may be older. You must provide *evidence* of your research/own reading throughout your work, using a suitable referencing system, including in-text citations in the main body of your work and a reference list at the end of your work.

Guidance specific to this assessment: Where techniques are suggested in the report make reference to the sources such as the Building Regulations and Good Practice. Use sources such as the CIS.

2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills

At level 4, you should be able to demonstrate knowledge of the underlying concepts and principles associated with your area(s) of study. *Knowledge* relates to the facts, information and skills you have acquired through your learning. You demonstrate your *understanding* by interpreting the meaning of the facts and information (knowledge). This means that you need to select and include in your work the concepts, techniques, models, theories, etc. appropriate to the task(s) set. You should be able to explain the theories, concepts, etc. to show your understanding. Your mark/grade will also depend upon the *extent* to which you demonstrate your knowledge and understanding.

Guidance specific to this assessment: Demonstrate your understanding of the methods and techniques. This will be assessed by the depth and awareness of a professional context within this scenario.

3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills

You should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data, in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of your subject(s) of study. You should be able to evaluate the appropriateness of different approaches to solving problems related to your area(s) of study and/or work. Your work must contain evidence of logical, analytical thinking. For example, to examine and break information down into parts, make inferences, compile, compare and contrast information. This means not just describing what! But also justifying: Why? How? When? Who? Where? At what cost? You should provide justification for your arguments and judgements using evidence that you have reflected upon the ideas of others within the subject area and that you are able to make sound judgements and arguments using data and concepts. Where relevant, alternative solutions and recommendations may be proposed.

Guidance specific to this assessment: Demonstrate your knowledge and skills and present the work in a coherent and professional manner. This will also be assessed by the quality of your evaluation and conclusions.

4. Practical Skills

At level 4, you should be able to apply the basic underlying concepts and principles to evaluate and interpret these within the context of your area of study. You should be able to demonstrate how the subject-related

concepts and ideas relate to real world situations and/or a particular context. How do they work in practice? You will deploy models, methods, techniques, and/or theories, in that context, to assess current situations, perhaps to formulate plans or solutions to solve problems, or to create artefacts. This is likely to involve, for instance, the use of real world artefacts, examples and cases, the application of a model within an organisation and/or benchmarking one theory or organisation against others based on stated criteria.

Guidance specific to this assessment:

This will be assessed via the scenario and how your knowledge has been applied.

5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice

Your work must provide evidence of the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility. This includes demonstrating: that you can communicate the results of your study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; that you can initiate and complete tasks and procedures, whether individually and/or collaboratively; fluency of expression; clarity and effectiveness in presentation and organisation. Work should be coherent and well-structured in presentation and organisation.

Guidance specific to this assessment: *The report and drawings should be presented in a professional manner with all work clearly labelled and cross-referenced.*

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

This section details the *extent* to which the assessment criteria are demonstrated by you, which in turn determines your mark. The marks available for each category of skill are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Generic Assessment Criteria	Marks available	Marks awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
	10	
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
	25	
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
	25	
4. Practical Application Skills		
	25	
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
	15	

Assessment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		%
	Up to 1 week late (40% Max)		
	Over 1 week late (0%)		

GENERIC ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Level 4

In accordance with the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, at the end of Level 4 students will be expected to have demonstrated knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles of a subject, and an ability to evaluate and interpret these within the context of that area of study. They should be able to present, evaluate and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements in accordance with basic theories and concepts of their subject(s) of study. They will have learned how to evaluate different approaches to solving problems, and will be able to communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments. They will be able to undertake further training and develop new skills within a structured and managed environment and will have the qualities and transferable skills necessary for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.


Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
Engagement with literature (including reading, referencing, academic conventions and academic honesty)	Little or no evidence of reading and/or reliance on inappropriate sources. Views and findings mostly unsupported and non-authoritative. Referencing conventions used incoherently or largely absent.	Poor engagement with essential reading. No evidence of wider reading. Reliance on inappropriate sources, and/or indiscriminate use of sources. Heavily reliant on information gained through class contact. Inconsistent and weak use of referencing.	Engagement with a limited range of mostly relevant and credible sources but with some reliance on information gained through class contact. Some omissions and minor errors. Referencing conventions evident though not always applied accurately or consistently.	Engagement with an appropriate range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Some over-reliance on texts rather than other sources. Referencing may show minor inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with a wide range of literature, including sources retrieved independently. Selection of relevant and credible sources. Generally sound referencing, with no/very few inaccuracies or inconsistencies.	Engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. Consistently accurate application of referencing.	Exceptional engagement with an extensive range of relevant and credible literature. High-level referencing skills consistently applied.
Knowledge and understanding (Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and	Major gaps in knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Gaps in knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles, with flawed or	Limited knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles is accurate with a	Competent knowledge of the basic underlying concepts and principles. Exhibits very	Excellent knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying concepts and	Exceptional, detailed knowledge and understanding of the basic underlying

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
principles of a subject.)	principles of the subject matter. Inclusion of irrelevant material. Substantial inaccuracies.	superficial understanding. Some significant inaccuracies and/or irrelevant material.	principles within the subject area. Some elements may be missing.	good understanding of the field of study but lacks depth and/or breadth.	good understanding.	principles of the subject.	concepts and principles
Cognitive and intellectual skills (Evaluate underlying concepts and principles of a subject and interpret qualitative and quantitative data in order to develop lines of argument and make sound judgements.)	Wholly or almost wholly descriptive work. Little or no evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Failure to develop arguments, leading to illogical or invalid judgements. Minimal or no use of evidence to back up views.	Largely descriptive work, with superficial evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Weak interpretation of data, flawed development of arguments and judgements. Information accepted uncritically, uses generalised statements made with scant evidence and unsubstantiated opinions. Ideas sometimes illogical and contradictory.	Limited attempt at evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles, tending towards description. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data but with some errors. Some evidence to support emerging arguments and judgements but these may be underdeveloped or with a little inconsistency / mis-interpretation.	Good evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data, with minor errors. An emerging ability to use evidence to support the argument. Mostly valid arguments and logical judgements.	Sound evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately. Ability to devise arguments using evidence to make mostly appropriate and valid judgements.	Excellent evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles. Can interpret qualitative and quantitative data accurately and with some insight. Excellent ability to devise arguments using evidence and make appropriate and valid judgements.	Exceptional evaluation of the underlying concepts and principles based evidence. Outstanding interpretation of qualitative and quantitative data. Exceptional ability to devise arguments using evidence and make wholly appropriate and valid judgements.
Practical skills (Different approaches to solving problems in particular contexts.)	Limited or no use of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Little or no appreciation of the context of the application.	Rudimentary application of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques but without consideration and	An adequate awareness and mostly appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques.	A good and appropriate application of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Clear appreciation of	A very good application of a range of basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. Very good consideration of the context of	An advanced application of a range of taught, basic methods, materials, tools and/or techniques. The context of the application is	Exceptional levels of application and deployment skills in particular practical contexts. Outstanding identification of


Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
	Very weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	competence. Flawed appreciation of the context of the application. Weak evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts.	Basic appreciation of the context of the application. Can identify problems in particular contexts and propose basic alternative approaches or solutions though there may be errors.	the context of the application. Good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes mostly appropriate solutions.	the application. Very good evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	well considered, and insightful. Excellent evidence of different approaches to problem-solving in particular contexts and proposes appropriate solutions.	problems in particular contexts and formulation of wholly appropriate, thoughtful solutions / different approaches.
Transferable skills for life and professional practice (Communicate the results of their study/work accurately and reliably, and with structured and coherent arguments; the qualities needed for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility within a structured and managed environment.)	Work is poorly structured, disorganised, inaccurate and/or confusingly expressed. Very weak use of language and/or very inappropriate style. Failure to work effectively individual or as part of a group. Little or no evidence of the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility.	Work is poorly presented in a disjointed manner. It is loosely, and at times incoherently, structured, with information and ideas often poorly expressed. Weak use of language and/or inappropriate style. Flawed approach to individual or group work, meeting only partial obligations to others. Limited evidence of the skills for employment requiring the	Mostly ordered presentation and structure in which relevant ideas / concepts are reasonably expressed. Work may lack coherence and/or accuracy in places. Can work as part of a group, meeting most obligations to others but perhaps with limited involvement in group activities. Demonstrates the basic skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas	Mostly coherent, organised and accurate work, in a suitable structure and is for the most part clearly expressed. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with clear contribution to group activities. Demonstrates the skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with some areas of strength and some of minor weakness.	Work is accurate, coherent, fluent, well-structured and organised. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with very good contribution to group activities. Demonstrates very good skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility, with just occasional minor weakness.	Work is coherent, very fluent and is presented professionally. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an excellent contribution to group activities. Demonstrates excellent skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.	Work is accurate, exceptionally coherent, very fluent and presented well. Can work effectively independently and/or as part of a team, with an exceptional contribution to group activities. Demonstrates exceptional skills for employment requiring the exercise of some personal responsibility with an appetite for further development.

Level 4	FAIL	MARGINAL FAIL	SATISFACTORY (3 rd / Pass)	GOOD (2.2 / Pass)	VERY GOOD (2.1 / Merit)	EXCELLENT (1 st / Distinction)	EXCEPTIONAL (1 st / Distinction)
Category	0-29%	30-39%	40-49%	50-59%	60-69%	70-84%	85-100%
		exercise of some personal responsibility.	of minor weakness.				

THIS DOCUMENT IS ALSO AVAILABLE IN WELSH



0 - GROUND FLOOR PLAN
1 : 50




1 - FIRST FLOOR PLAN
1 : 50


Miss A Client	Proposed Floor Plans				
Proposed Dwelling	Project number	SCHD(A)03			
	Date				
	Drawn by				GMT
	Checked by				GMT




North East Facing Elevation
1:100



North West Facing Elevation
1:100





South West Facing Elevation
1:100




South East Facing Elevation
1:100


Miss A Client	Proposed Elevations		
	Project number	SCHD(A)04	
Proposed Dwelling	Date		
	Drawn by		
	Checked by		
		Scale @A1	1 : 100




Site Section 1 As Existing
1:200




Site Section 1 Proposed
1:200



Site Section 2 As Existing
1:200



Site Section 2 Proposed
1:200



KEY PLAN
1:200

Miss A Client	Existing and Proposed Site Sections		
	Project number	SCHD(A)05	
	Date		
	Drawn by		
Proposed Dwelling	Checked by	GMT	Scale @A1
		GMT	1:200



FRONT VIEW



FRONT VIEW



REAR VIEW



REAR VIEW



REAR VIEW (AERIAL)

Miss A Client	Proposed Visuals				
Proposed Dwelling	Project number		SCHD(A)06		
	Date				
	Drawn by				
	Checked by				
		GMT	Scale	@A1	1 : 1