

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Irfan Onur
Title of Project:	Implementation of a Secure and Smart University Campus for SA1
Supervisor:	John Rees
2nd marker:	Kapilan Radhakrishnan
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
<u>First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> The volume of work undertaken for a final year project is inadequate. Minor amendments to a second year assignment, plus measurements taken with little justification from a network set up by another student doesn't make for an acceptable project.	26%
<u>Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark</u> 	
<u>Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark</u> 	

Signature:



Date: 17/05/2018

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	2/5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	12/20	/15
Comments: The introduction is basically OK. It poses a number of questions the student can't answer from the project. The aims and objectives are really activities. The literature survey includes a good range of work and some interesting sources. It is structured and reasonably well written.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	2/5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5
Comments: The methodology section is a bit messy. Most of it is appropriate to the experimental approach, but there are errors of understanding.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	1/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	1/10	/10
Comments: The results are confusing and not explained. The simulation work, even though it is repetition of a 2 nd year assignment, has no proper explanation of very poor throughput and high error rates. The results from the implementation are simply retimed outputs from the work of another. The conclusions and recommendations have no basis outside of the literature survey.				
	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	1/15	-
Comments: The practical work lacks depth and appears to be a fast attempt to deliver something (anything), rather than a structured and well thought out set of project deliverables. Given Irfan's actual technical competence, this is very disappointing.				

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	1/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	6/10	/10
Comments: The reflection on the results is insufficient. The report is generally well presented and reasonably well written. It lacks depth because the project lacks work.				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	26/100	/100