

2321142

by Endaf Williams

Submission date: 05-Dec-2024 11:37AM (UTC+0000)

Submission ID: 244738524

File name: 23197_Endaf_Williams_2321142_182128_1314029508.odp (4.28M)

Word count: 0



73

/100

GRADING FORM: CSG-RUBIC

ENDAF WILLIAMS

0

RESEARCH-INFORMED LITERATURE

Project background research is clear and informative. 7/10

Good evidence of research and good details included. 8/10

15/20**KNOWLEDGE AND UNDERSTANDING**

Project definition is clearly identified. and the explanations are detailed. 4/5

Constraints and risks were clearly explained. . 3/5

22/30

Environmental and sustainability with company policies

were covered in the questioning. 3.5/5

Functional design was good and clearly explained. 3.5/5

Response to questioning was very good and answers given with confidence. 8/10

ANALYSIS



Problem was clearly defined with a very good explanation given. that included good technical detail. 3/5

Functional design specification was good with a solid explanation of functional requirement supported with a block diagram. 7.5/10

A good argument given for the choice of final solution. 3.5/5

14/20

PRACTICAL APPLICATION AND DEP.



Design spec included good details and was very well explained. Good diagrams have been included. 4/5

Argument for critical choice of final solution was good and the explanation given showed a good understanding of the project application. 7.5/10

The project plan is good and includes all the work breakdown criteria. 3.5/5

15/20

SKILLS FOR PROFESSIONAL PRAC.



Slides have the relevant details and good diagrams of the proposed system solution. 3.5/5

Overall delivery was very good. 3.5/5

7/10

