

Chapters	Total Marks	Marks	Comments
Marking Criteria (Summary/ Split up)		Elliot Barnett (16%)	
Literature (40)	40		Good Literature. Key concepts discussed. However, more academic credible references would be better rather than online sources. All references listed were not in-text cited.
Diversity of sources and materials used	10	7.5	
Key concepts discussed	10	8	
Appropriate summaries of articles and level of critical appraisal of literature	5	3.5	
appropriate referencing	15	11	
		30	
Knowledge and Understanding (10)	10		
Depth of the research	3	2.5	The depth of the work is adequate. Quality is ok but could have concentrated more. Subheadings to discuss security aspects of the work might have
Strength of the statements	3	2	
Structure of the paper	2	1	
Quality of the report	2	1	
		6.5	
Cognitive and Intellectual Skills (10)	10		
Design, topology and choice of devices used	4	3	The design is good. Likely to match the skills learned.
Innovative and creativity	3	2	
Bringing the skills	3	2	
		7	
Practical Application Skills (30)	30		
use software such Cisco Packet Tracer, NetSim/ Arduino or Raspberry Pi	5	5	Design and implementation are good. Security aspects are ok. A simple explanation of the implementation part would have been appreciated.
design and implement a real-life Smart Home scenario	5	5	
scalability, resiliency, fault tolerance, accessibility	10	8	
security countermeasures such as firewall, IPS/IDS, access control,	5	3.5	
encryption and cryptography	5	2.5	
		24	
Skills for Life	10		
Logical order of ideas	2	2	Good logical work. The flow of work is good, however, the introduction of the work and conclusion of what is done could have made the work more impressive.
Flow in the report from beginning to end	2	1.5	
Define acronyms and abbreviations.	1	0.5	
Professionalism; avoid jargon, slang, or colloquial terms.	2	1	
Comprehension (grammar and spelling)	1	1	
Completeness and presentation of report	1	0.5	
Following IEEE format	1	1	
		7.5	
Grand Total		75	