

Nusrat Begum

2329927

Stakeholder Engagement

Mudassar Hassan

CPD:

Week / Topic		What I Did in the Session	What I Learned	How I Will Use This in the Future	Actions to Improve Further
					
Week 1: Introduction to Stakeholder Engagement	1:	Identified stakeholders and explored community engagement concepts.	Learned what a community and stakeholder are and their importance.	I will identify stakeholders in healthcare settings and understand their needs.	Read more on stakeholder theory and observe real-life stakeholder interactions.
Week 2: Reflective Writing	2:	Practised reflection using Gibbs and Driscoll models.	Learned how reflection improves learning and links theory to practice.	I will use reflection to improve my performance in professional settings.	Continue practising structured reflection regularly.
Week 3: Researching Community Needs	3:	Explored tools like interviews, surveys, focus groups, and mapping.	Learned that research is essential to understand real community needs.	I will gather feedback before making decisions in practice.	Improve skills in conducting interviews and analysing data.
Week 4: Planning a Project		Developed project plans using structured stages.	Learned the importance of planning, timelines, and stakeholder roles.	I will use planning frameworks to organise tasks effectively.	Practise creating structured plans and <u>improve</u> time management.
Week 5: Quality Standards		Analysed standards such as inclusion, fairness, and communication.	Learned that standards ensure quality, accountability, and ethical practice.	I will apply standards to ensure fairness and inclusivity in practice.	Review real-world standards (e.g., NHS) and apply them.

Week 6: Communication Skills	Learned communication models (Berlo & Schramm) and identified barriers like language, emotions, and culture.	Learned that effective communication builds trust, supports teamwork, and prevents misunderstandings. Also understood the importance of feedback and active listening.	I will improve communication with patients and colleagues by adapting my language, listening actively, and being aware of cultural differences.	Practise communication skills in real settings and work on active listening and clarity.
Week 7: Models & Principles of Engagement	Applied engagement models and principles such as inclusivity and collaboration.	Learned that strong principles guide ethical and effective engagement.	I will ensure my work is inclusive and community-focused.	Further research engagement models and apply them to case studies.
Week 8: Problem Solving	Used techniques like mind mapping, lateral thinking, and PMI.	Learned that community projects face challenges requiring creative solutions.	I will apply structured problem-solving techniques in real-life situations.	Practise problem-solving techniques regularly.
Week 9: Impact Assessment & Evaluation	Learned evaluation methods and how to measure impact.	Learned that evaluation is essential to assess success and improve projects.	I will use evaluation to improve decision-making and outcomes.	Develop skills in data analysis and evaluation methods.
Week 10: Revision	Reviewed all topics and consolidated learning.	Learned how all concepts link together in project development.	I will apply this integrated knowledge in future practice.	Continue revising and applying concepts in real scenarios.

Introduction:

In large-scale infrastructure projects, stakeholder management is as important as a project's operation: it involves identifying individual interests, organizations and groups concerned about or impacted by a project itself and providing for their requirements, considerations and wishes (Freeman, 1984). In addition this process facilitates open exchange, trust and teamwork between stakeholders, thus reducing conflict and resistance while also improving project results (Olander and Landin, 2005). In local communities, it is instrumental in balancing various stakeholders' agendas (e.g., from local residents and businesses, decision-making bodies), as well as the public and environmental groups, by acting on social concerns (Elkington, 1997) to ensure that development is not only inclusive but sustainable. Early and continuous involvement of stakeholders can help create a shared ownership, enhance decision making, and ensure the long-term viability of benefits for the community. The HS2 line linking London and Birmingham – often associated with high speed rail projects – is a significant investment of funds and is one example of a big project illustrating how stakeholder matters can be used as a weapon (Department for Transport, 2023). But at such a scale, the project is large-scale, large and time-consuming in terms of construction, finance and long-term economic consequences. Major cities will be more closely connected, travel times will be reduced, railway capacity will be increased, and regional economic growth will be encouraged. A wide range of stakeholders, including commuters, locals, companies, government agencies, authorities, and environmental organisations, are impacted by the project. Strong stakeholder participation is necessary to take into account factors including potential land usage, environmental impact, and social impact. Finally, the success of the HS2 Birmingham link should not rely solely on engineering and financial benefits, but must be developed with appropriate stakeholder management, across their project life-cycle.

Element 2:

Effective engagement with stakeholders is the lifeblood of successful mega-projects like the High Speed rail development between London and Birmingham (closely related to High Speed 2). Due to the scope, costs, and societal consequences of the project, a myriad of interest and power interest has a stake in various actors (Freeman, 1984). The use of a structured method like Power–Interest Grid enables project managers to classify stakeholders into more distinct categories and design specific involvement approaches where they have the ability to have better communication, lower resistance and generate improved project outcome (Mendelow, 1991). Identification of stakeholders

The HS2 project includes a variety of stakeholder groups, which are often referred to as internal and external stakeholders. Internal stakeholders involve the project delivery organization HS2 Ltd, project delivery service-providers and subcontractors and workers who are responsible for carrying out work. External stakeholders are the outside organisations (government agencies, UK Government, local authorities, local communities, environmental organisations, businesses, transport users and the public) (Freeman, 1984). Each of these has unique benefits. For instance, while government

stakeholders care about the effects on the economy, political accountability and public value, local communities are concerned with the environmental impact and noise and property. Business and commuter organisations want better connectivity and economic opportunities; environmental organisations value biodiversity and sustainability. Stakeholder power interest grid.

The grid typically divides stakeholders into four classes:

High Power - High Interest (Manage Closely)

This group includes key decision makers such as the UK Government, HS2 Ltd, and major investors. They are instrumental for the success of the project, through giving control of resources, approvals, and strategic direction (Mitchell, Agle and Wood, 1997). Their participation is continuous throughout the project's lifecycle, from planning to implementation. They are critical players in identifying goals, approving budgets, and ensuring adherence to national policies. As a result, engagement plans need to include routine consultation, comprehensive reporting, and active participation in decision-making processes.

High Power – Low Interest (Keep Satisfied)

Regulatory bodies and some national agencies are examples of stakeholders. While they cannot be involved in a project's daily activities, they have the power to approve or halt aspects of the project. Their main job is to oversee, supervise and monitor that the project is legal, safe and ethical, legal, and safe, in terms of the environment. Such engagement methods for this cohort of service users focus on the process of maintaining satisfaction through regular updates such as reports and periodic updates, compliance reports and official communication.

Low Power – High Interest (Keep Informed)

In this quadrant, local communities, residents, and small businesses affected by construction are the key stakeholders. While unlikely to have much of a decision-making role themselves, they are highly motivated by the project's effects upon everyday existence. These different types of stakeholders can determine outcomes through collective action and public pressure (Arnstein, 1969). The engagement strategies should be built on transparency, being updated regularly and allowing room for feedback through public consultations, community meetings and workshops.

Low Power Low Interest (Monitor):

This class consists of the general public that may not be directly affected by the project. They have a minimal influence and interest but still need to be familiarized with general awareness. Engagement generally means general communication strategies like media releases and communication campaigns.

Strategies in engaging with Stakeholders

Stakeholder groups on the Power–Interest Grid have different interests on their individual engagement strategies. Collaboration to achieve consensus is required for high power–high interest stakeholders. These comprise formal governance functions, steering committees, and strategic review meetings. These approaches align goals and allow fast decisions to be made. For high power low interest stakeholders, the strategy focuses on maintaining satisfaction. This is achieved with structured reporting, compliance documentation, and periodic briefings. The objective is to maintain these stakeholders' support without forcing constant involvement. Low power–high interest stakeholders need more participatory and inclusive approaches. Public consultations, workshops and community forums are regular tools of engagement for this group and, through dialogue and trust-building, are being used by the government and local communities to seek opinions (Olander and Landin, 2005). These tactics permit the stakeholders to voice concerns, contribute reviews and a sense of participation. Some of those strategies to engage the public have come in the form of exhibitions, public meetings and dedicated communication channels for the residents of the HS2 project. For low power–low interest stakeholders, engagement is broader. This may involve media campaigns, newsletters and social media content to keep attention focused and low resource commitment. Channels of Communication and Their Efficiency

Communication is one element of stakeholder involvement. Depending on the type of stakeholder group, the channels used, and the type of information being communicated, differ depending on the stakeholders and the kind of information being shared. Formal Reports and Meetings

This is mainly aimed at high power stakeholders like government institutions and project directors who can use it as a tool to help keep a high degree of accountability. They are very useful for providing full information that is needed and efficient - they are designed to make a person's voice heard, to manage accountability, and to support decision-making. They could take time and not everyone may access them. Public Consultations and Community Meetings

These media forms are one of the core channels to draw local communities into discussions. Although successful in driving engagement, this approach has its advantages, but it can also pose difficulties: managing differences of opinion and making sure that every participant's voice is heard. Digital Communication, they are the best to access, since both high and low interest groups can respond on the digital platform.

Media such as television, radio and newspapers and how media influences people. Such channels are efficient for awareness building/general information, but also have limited room (in terms of direct contact and feedback) for such engagement. Stakeholder Engagement Challenges Stakeholder engagement in mega projects such as HS2, however, poses several challenges despite the application of systems and communication strategies. A significant issue is the balancing of conflicting interests which necessitates negotiation and accommodation of the conflicting interests of potential actors (Freeman, 1984). Balancing the competing priorities does not come

automatically. Lack of transparency is just one issue; there could be opposition and reputational damage (Department for Transport, 2023). Large-scale infrastructure projects are typically very public, and opposition and reputational damage may result from perceived opaqueness. While keeping lines of communication open and honest can support alignment, this can be difficult when dealing with complex and dynamic project factors. There are resource limitations as well (another hurdle). Involving many stakeholders is time-consuming and financially tough. Engagement activities need to be focused on more frequently than anything else so that project teams can manage the most important stakeholders as efficiently as possible. And communication obstacles can undermine the effectiveness of engagement itself. Additionally mentioned, communication may be hampered by disparities in language, technological expertise, and information access, particularly in diverse populations. Particularly in large-scale, publicly sponsored infrastructure projects like High Speed Two Ltd (HS2), stakeholder engagement is heavily influenced by ethical considerations. Because of the project's size, cost, and implications for society as a whole, ethical concerns like accountability, openness, fairness, and community interest are crucial. Curzon Street Station's construction has raised the tension in this regard, revealing the challenge of balancing national needs with local stakeholder priorities (Olander and Landin, 2005)

Element 3:

Ethics in stakeholder engagement:

Ethical considerations are at the heart of stakeholder relations, particularly in larger-scale public infrastructure undertakings which have high social, economic and environmental ramifications. For High Speed Two Ltd (HS2), ethical issues on transparency, fairness, responsibility and community interest are essential to measure the efficacy of stakeholder management. Involving stakeholders in this way requires ethical transparency. Stakeholders, especially taxpayers and impacted communities, need regular and transparent information regarding project expenses, schedules, and outcomes. However, HS2 has been the subject of persistent criticism, especially because of schedule delays and budget overruns, and there is currently a lot of doubt about the openness of communication procedures (Department for Transport, 2023). If stakeholders feel that information is unavailable, delayed, or partial, trust may be severely damaged. This goes to show transparency being a concept not only of holding of information, but also of conveying it clearly, candidly and readily available (Freeman, 1984). Lack of transparency will trigger stakeholder-skepticism and resistance, and thus influence the authenticity of the project. Fairness is another fundamental ethical issue, especially in how the project benefits and burdens are shared. HS2 is structured for economic growth, better connectivity and regional regeneration over the long term however their effects are spread across various stakeholders. Due to construction, noise pollution and compulsory purchase orders, the local residents in Birmingham may feel the negative impact of the scheme is falling disproportionately on them. This poses important ethical questions about distributive justice, which Rawls (1971) highlights as

the claim that social and economic inequalities should be distributed to favour those with the least advantage. In HS2 the dissonance of national gains with local harm raises an issue of fairness and may be the cause of stakeholder dissatisfaction. Responsibility is the other core aspect of ethical stakeholder involvement. As a publicly funded scheme HS2 Ltd has to behave according to their societal duty to benefit everyone, taking great care to save communities and minimising damages to the environment. This will include justifying compensation to affected people, responding to environmental challenges and ensuring that the responsibility on project selection is maintained. Carroll's (1991) CSR approach draws attention to the fact that firms should consider more than the issue of legality: and take into account social role. However, in the case of HS2 it is vital to meet this responsibility to ensure stakeholders retain stakeholder faith in the system and its sustained impact on the project in the long-term. Another ethical issue is also the focus on community interest. HS2 Birmingham seeks to promote economic regeneration and urban building, but it will need to consider what this has for the community. Not successfully engaging with local stakeholders can spark resistance, protest and reputation damage. A simple ladder of participation by Arnstein (1969) indicates that, to engage effectively, stakeholders need more than consultation; they need to be empowered to influence the decisions made. With respect to HS2, some stakeholder perception indicates that their input has done little to achieve a meaningful engagement in large scale projects.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Sustainability:

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is closely associated with stakeholder engagement, as it stresses organizations' responsibilities to operate in a socially responsible and sustainable manner. In HS2, CSR is concerned with balancing economic (development), environmental objectives, and the interests of all stakeholder groups. Carroll's (1991) CSR pyramid provides a useful framework for us to explore how HS2 manages its responsibilities by taking into account different dimensions. Economically, HS2 will support national and regional development, by enhancing transport infrastructure and economic growth. Curzon Street Station in Birmingham is anticipated to draw investment, generate jobs and further enhance network connectivity throughout the UK (HS2 Ltd, 2024). These advantages are frequent reasons for the public-sector spending on the project. Yet economic goals must be balanced with ethical, environmental and social considerations for growth development to remain sustainable. The HS2 project has a clear emphasis on environmental sustainability. Although HS2 has come out as a low-carbon option for people, with the hopes of reducing excessive travel by road and air, in the course of construction phase, the concern of the environment has been aroused with concern for deforestation, habitat destruction and loss of biodiversity (Department for Transport, 2023). It points to the tension between short-term environmental harm and long-term sustainability gains, a difficulty often encountered in infrastructure development itself. As Elkington's (1997) notion of the triple bottom line would have it, real sustainability requires a balanced approach by economic, environmental and social outcomes, since all three should be considered as equally, and only when there is equality in all three can it be termed real sustainability. CSR in HS2 relates also to social responsibility being of significant importance. It

involves working well with stakeholders, involving local communities and mitigating social impacts of the project. HS2 Ltd has set up programmes to address these problems, the effectiveness of the programme has been questioned, notably in terms of compensation delays and lack of response to community concerns (Olander and Landin, 2005). This indicates that, despite some degree of awareness of CSR principles, this understanding can translate to uneven practice overall. CSR can be used as a useful lens to assess the ethical performance of HS2, in general. Although the project evidences that it is working to encourage economic development and sustainable development over the long-term, issues remain related to the fulfilment of social and environmental responsibilities. The significance of incorporating CSR directly into stakeholder engagement strategies to achieve balanced and sustainable outcomes. Insight into Stakeholder Engagement Reflectively:

Since then, analyzing the stakeholder engagement process within HS2 Birmingham has greatly added to my knowledge of the intricacy surrounding stakeholder management in mega infrastructure project management. Stakeholder management seemed to me at first to involve a systematic approach covering initial identification, classification and communication. Yet, the analysis of HS2 further highlights the degree to which stakeholder relationships are dynamic; that is, they are shaped by factors of power, legitimacy and urgency (Mitchell, Agle and Wood, 1997). For starters, one of the lessons I have learnt is that stakeholder involvement is not so much a matter of giving and getting information, but of getting to know and trust your stakeholders over time. Although it is a useful model for categorising stakeholders as Mendelow's power-interest grid, it cannot address the real complexity of the situation. And local residents impacted by HS2 may not have much formal authority but can wield considerable power in terms of media attention and public support. It shows that stakeholder influence is not static, but can change in a context. Transparency, fairness and responsibility are not just abstract notions but are essential, having direct effects on trust and engagement. Thus, the HS2 project provides an example of the negative perception of fairness or transparency, which can result in stakeholder resistance in the face of formal engagement procedures. This proves that using appropriate stakeholder engagement policies aligns with ethical requirements to ensure good outcomes. In addition, this analysis has also brought the idea of responsiveness in relationship to stakeholders in the spotlight. Stakeholders' expectations can change over time, especially if we are working on an ongoing long-term project like HS2. This necessitates organizations to continually evaluate and modify their engagement approaches to make sure they work. Responding to transforming stakeholder demands is important in building trust and ensuring project success. Skills Acquisition And Use Going Forward:

This module, together with HS2 Birmingham, I feel I have acquired a range of transferable skills which will be invaluable in my future profession and with regards to school. Some of the most important skills is the capacity to dissect complex issues under theoretical terms like stakeholder theory and CSR. It has sharpened my skills of assessing issues of practical relevance and making an evidence-based case. I also enhance my communication and stakeholder knowledge skill set. Seeing that various stakeholders have different wants, needs and needs, their levels of power has only

deepened our appreciation for having messages designed for each type of audience. This is of particular relevance for careers where relationships build and diverse groups are involved. I'm also more conscious around ethics. Realising the relevance of transparency, justice and accountability also highlighted the importance of considering consequences on an organisational level (Carroll, 1991). As the skills needed to make ethical judgments and be held accountable are critical for leadership roles, it brings out these points even more, this makes it easier to see areas at risk and keep an eye out. This project has developed my reflective abilities further, allowing me to analyze learning and pinpoint how best to develop further.

Conclusions and recommendations:

Overall, HS2 has stakeholders with different amounts of power, interest, and influence and hence, effective management of HS2 requires structured mechanisms such as the Power-Interest Grid. Government and HS2 Ltd as high power stakeholders are crucial sources of project direction, while lower power stakeholders – in particular local communities – continue to have a major influence and influence to influence public opinion. The assessment of the stakeholder engagement tactics shows the lack of trust, openness, clarity, and conflict and the potential for conflicts, despite more formal channels such as consultations, public meetings and digital channels of communication that exist, that the effectiveness of these formal mechanisms is much less. The ethical dimensions, such as fairness, responsibility and community impact, additionally complicates the relationships between the parties, mainly where the benefits and burdens are perceived to be unbalanced. Further, the incorporation of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and sustainability principles indicates that HS2 is intended to bring to the table long-term economic, ecological, and economic values, but short-term social, environmental, and governance concerns. Based on the above analysis, recommendations can be recommended for better stakeholder participation in similar projects. There should first be more emphasis on early engagement of all stakeholders and regular participation of the stakeholders, especially local stakeholders who are invited during planning and not after key decisions have been reached. Secondly, transparency and communication should be enhanced. This could be done through easier project update reporting, cost and impact reporting – or communicating in a clearer form, in the form of a simplified version for a more general audience of various stakeholder groups. Third, participatory engagement must be an ever greater focus, and consultation must give way to real collaboration where parties share in the decision-making process. In addition, to resolve concerns of inequality and gain the trust of stakeholders, organisations should focus on the establishment of a decent and fair settlement process for stakeholders which is timely. Embedding CSR and sustainability principles within the life cycle at any stage in all phases of the project will ensure better harmonious delivery of the economic, environmental and social dimensions. Altogether, as pointed out in this study, successful stakeholder input is seen as not just a procedure but a key determinant for the success and sustainability of a project.

References:

Arnstein, S.R. (1969) 'A ladder of citizen participation', *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), pp. 216–224.

Carroll, A.B. (1991) 'The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders', *Business Horizons*, 34(4), pp. 39–48.

Department for Transport (2023) *High Speed Two (HS2) project updates and reports*. London: Department for Transport.

Elkington, J. (1997) *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Oxford: Capstone.

Freeman, R.E. (1984) *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston: Pitman.

HS2 Ltd (2024) *HS2 and regional economic growth: Birmingham Curzon Street Station development*. London: HS2 Ltd.

Mendelow, A.L. (1991) 'Stakeholder mapping', in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Information Systems*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.

Mitchell, R.K., Agle, B.R. and Wood, D.J. (1997) 'Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts', *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), pp. 853–886.

Olander, S. and Landin, A. (2005) 'Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects', *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(4), pp. 321–328.

Rawls, J. (1971) *A theory of justice*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.