

Chapters	Total Marks	Marks	Comments
Marking Criteria (Summary/ Split up)		Scott Jones 1803767 (11%)	
Literature (40)	40		The literature work covers the basics. More background information from various sources could have added value. More references required. Critical analysis of the study is lacking.
Diversity of sources and materials used	10	6	
Key concepts discussed	10	6	
Appropriate summaries of articles and level of critical appraisal of literature	5	2	
appropriate referencing	15	8	
		22	
Knowledge and Understanding (10)	10		
Depth of the research	3	2	Could have covered more about security in Smart Homes. Indepth analysis/ documentation is very muddy.
Strength of the statements	3	1.5	
Structure of the paper	2	0.5	
Quality of the report	2	0.5	
		4.5	
Cognitive and Intellectual Skills (10)	10		
Design, topology and choice of devices used	4	3	The Design is represented in a good way. Pictorial representation is good.
Innovative and creativity	3	2	
Bringing the skills	3	1.5	
		6.5	
Practical Application Skills (30)	30		
use software such Cisco Packet Tracer, NetSim/ Arduino or Raspberry Pi	5	5	Design is good and the proposed implementation could have been focused on various security parameters.
design and implement a real-life Smart Home scenario	5	5	
scalability, resiliency, fault tolerance, accessibility	10	6	
security countermeasures such as firewall, IPS/IDS, access control,	5	2	
encryption and cryptography	5	0	
		18	
Skills for Life	10		
Logical order of ideas	2	2	Poor formatting. Flow of report lacks clarity.
Flow in the report from beginning to end	2	1	
Define acronyms and abbreviations.	1	1	
Professionalism; avoid jargon, slang, or colloquial terms.	2	1	
Comprehension (grammar and spelling)	1	0.5	
Completeness and presentation of report	1	0.5	
Following IEEE format	1	1	
		7	
Grand Total		58	