INDEPENDENT PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Robert Jamieson
Title of Project:	Web Accessibility Checker
Supervisor:	Nik Whitehead
2nd marker:	
Course:	**Applied Computing DIS CNC EEE Games Dev SE Web (please indicate)**
Project Type:	**Experiment Development (please indicate)**

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark A well-implemented project, although a bit less ambitious than it could have been.	69
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	66
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark Agreed after consultation	67

Signed:	Nik Whitehead	Date:	25/5/23

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1.Engagement with Literature Skills				
Introduction	/5	/5	/5	4/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	/20	12 /15

Comments:
Good abstract. Clear aim & objectives.
Good signposting through literature review.
A thorough and well-written literature review.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills				
Methodology	/5	/5	/5	3.5/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	3 /5

Comments:
A sensible and well justified methodology and resources.
Requirements analysis and design are fine, although the system only checks for 4 out of a potentially much larger group of visibility guidelines.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	/25	17 /25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	/10	6.5 /10

Comments:
Comparison of the four checkers over the university sites is good. ANOVA analysis is clear and understandable. Sensible conclusions are drawn from the results, and there are good recommendations for future work.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Skills				
Development	-	-	-	9 /15
Experimental Design	-	-	/15	-

Comments:
The development process is described clearly and a number of features discussed. Only 4 guidelines are being examined; more would have been good.

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	/10	7 /10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	/10	7 /10
Comments: The report is well presented. Honest and thoughtful reflection				
TOTAL:	**/100**	**/100**	**/100**	69 **/100**