Feedback

Student's Name	JOSEPH RYAN
Student's SurName	WILLIAMS
Student's Email	1902388@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	Advanced Cybersecurity
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	**Marks**
In this section you need to do in-text referencing from academic credible and relevant diversity of sources. Where possible you are expected to critically appraise the literature engaged. You are expected to apply appropriate referencing conventions. NB Academic Credible literatures score high. Your work is very good.Very recent attacks were discussed. Your references are good. Referred to academic credible literatures and Industry references. Could have discussed more about Cyber Risks. The comparison of similar attacks task is to compare your described attack with other similar attacks in the past and not with both the attacks described.	23.9

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
Based on Part 1 activity, the scores are reflected. To score high marks strong knowledge and clear understanding of various terminologies and technologies that were used, referred to, installed during lectures/practical tasks etc. Note that the MCQ test you took was out of 35 marks. That is calculated for 30%. Just for you understanding and to avoid confusion, the MCQ marks are displayed in Knowledge and Understanding Section for 30 Marks. However, Originally, they are considered 20 Marks in Knowledge and Understanding section and 10 Marks in Congnitive and Intellectual Skills.	24

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	5
Feedback	**Mark**
To do well in this section you must demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the security measures/mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why , how and when , questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole work. You did very well in this section. Key observations made adequately on mitigation strategies.	4.5

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	**Mark**
To excel you need to provide evidence of 2 tools used in their lab activities with adequate screenshots. A discussion on similar kind of tools with implemented outcomes with adeqaute screenshots is required. Strong reasons on the similarity, pros and cons of both the tools need to be narrated along with the screenshots. Tools were discussed and classified. Adequate screenshots provided. It is always recommended to provide screenshot with timestamp. More detailed analysis on the tools described could have added value to the work.	26.2

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	**Mark**
To score high you must ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done. There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. The flow of the report needs more clarity. ToC leads to confusion.	3.8
Overall Mark	82