

Feedback	
Student's Name	DYLAN DAVID
Student's SurName	YEATES
Student's Email	1901767@student.uwtsd.ac.uk
Module Name	ACCB6000
Marked By	Nitheesh Murugan Kaliyamurthy

Engagement with Literature Skills	30
Feedback	Marks
In this section to do well, you need to do in-text referencing from credible and relevant diversity of sources for threats, vuln., attacks & defense strategies, lab outcomes, similar tools used & mitigation strategies discussed. Where possible you will be expected to critically appraise the literature engaged and to apply appropriate referencing conventions. A good attempt but needs improvement. Adequate discussion on recent attacks done. Clear description on defence strategies. However, more detailed discussion on threats, vulnerabilities could have added value to the work. Could have referred to literatures, industry conventions to discuss the lab outcomes and alternate tools. More in-depth critical appraisals and literature summaries required. Referring to more academic credible literatures and diversified sources could have helped.	13

Knowledge and Understanding Skills	20
Feedback	Mark
To score high marks you need to demonstrate systematic understanding of various technologies (your MCQ Test marks applies here). The strength of statements given, and critical understanding of concepts covered in finding the outcomes using various tools, understanding technical weaknesses & addressing appropriate recommendations contributed to the score on this section Generic question removed from marking (MCQ Test). Lab results/ outcomes not discussed. Discussing the outcomes could have helped in systematic understanding of the concepts. Senario based security recommendations discussed.	11.17

Cognitive and Intellectual Skills	15
Feedback	Mark
To do well in this section you need to demonstrate cognitive and intellectual skills by critically analysing and evaluating the threats, observations made on the results gathered, analysing the pros and cons of similar tools and mitigation strategies. There was also a need to answer the why, how and when, questions so as to give justification for arguments and judgements made through out the whole assignment. Could have critically analysed on implementation and analysis on your lab activities and discussing the similar tools. Analysing pros and cons of similar tools needs more discussion. Key observations on mitigation strategies done well. The approach of working on why, how and when kind of discussions could have helped in making strong arguments and justification.	7.1

Practical Application Skills	30
Feedback	Mark
To excel in this part, you need to provide evidence of the practical activities done by giving appropriate screenshots on the implementation and results. A description of the new similar tool and its implementation that will convince the examiner on the work done. Screenshots not adequate. Not all the activities/ outcomes discussed. Partial work.	10

Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice	5
Feedback	Mark
To score high you need to ensure that the document is of high quality and professionally done.	

There should be a good flow of ideas , with evidence of proper use of the spelling and grammar tool and a complete and neat presentation of work. Marks for the structure of the document were also considered in this section. Appropriate flow of ideas. Proper formatting. Could have added definitions and abbreviations.	3.9
Overall Mark	45