

Rahima Sultan (2014833)
BSc Health and social care
Mental Disorders and Psychopathology
BMHP6006
Alice Clayton
Written Report

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Definition and Classification.....	2
Diagnosis.....	3
Treatment.....	4
Measurement of rehabilitation.....	5
Recommendations for future practice.....	6
Conclusion.....	7
Reference.....	8

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurological condition that includes difficulties in social interaction, communication, and repetitive behaviours. Understanding autism needs an accurate investigation of its classification, diagnostic procedures, treatment options, and rehabilitation options. This report will look into the multiple characteristics of autism and provide information about its classification within the structure of the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10).

The report will explain the difficult process of diagnosing autism, focusing on significant indicators and evaluation methods used by doctors and professionals. It will also look at the evolution of autism therapy. Certain ways to help reduce symptoms and improve quality of life will be discussed on whether they are effective or not.

The report will look at the connection between rehabilitation efforts and autism evaluation, showing how people with severe autism can be functioning and social people. Case studies will be used to show real-world situations and offer suggestions for strategies and options that fit a variety of needs and troubles. This report aims for an understanding of autism spectrum disorder and highlights practical methods for diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation by combining info from the ICD-10's definitions with current research findings and case studies.

Definition and Classification

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterised by challenges in social interaction, communication, and repetitive behaviours. According to the International Classification of Diseases, (ICD-10) Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is classified as a common developmental disorder. It includes a wide range of illnesses, such as childhood disintegrative disorder, autistic disorder, Asperger's syndrome, and pervasive developmental disorder (PDD-NOS). Carter, A.S., (2022).

The ICD-10 criteria for ASD focus on problems in social interaction, communication deficits, and repetitive behaviours, which must appear in early childhood and significantly impact an individual's functioning in various situations. This classification system offers a standard system for diagnosing and classifying ASD, assisting doctors in recognising and helping people with autism to receive the proper support.

In comparison, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), also provides diagnostic criteria for ASD. DSM-5 takes an integrative approach, emphasising social communication issues and limited, repetitive behaviours as the central features of ASD, even though there is a similarity to the ICD-10 criteria. To better show the variety of the disorder, DSM-5 also includes illnesses like Asperger's syndrome and PDD-NOS into a single diagnosable category for ASD.

Despite these differences, both classification systems aim to support accurate identification and assistance for people with ASD, taking into consideration the range of needs and presentation within the spectrum. In healthcare, doctors and nurses often take into consideration both the DSM-5 and ICD-10 criteria to ensure that patients with autism receive individualised support and assessments. Prior, M.,(2014).

Diagnosis

The process of diagnosing autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is complicated and needs interaction from parents, educators, doctors, and the affected person. The process is characterised by observation, evaluation, and comprehension to find the details of the person's needs and experiences.

Usually, the process starts when parents become concerned about their child's development during check-ups or appointments with medical specialists. These concerns usually come from delayed development, trouble interacting with others, difficulty communicating, or repetitive behaviours. These findings need further investigation into the potential for ASD.

Formal diagnosis of ASD needs an extensive assessment done by professionals, including psychologists, paediatricians, developmental specialists, and sometimes speech-language pathologists or occupational therapists. This approach guarantees an in-depth examination of the person's behaviour and development from different perspectives. Getting a developmental history is one of the first steps in the diagnostic process. Parents or carers are beneficial sources of info because they can shed light on a person's early behaviours. Professionals can better understand a person's development and spot any unusual behaviours or difficulties by using this previous info. **Freeth, M., (2020).**

Direct analysis is an essential part of the diagnostic process, letting professionals assess the patient's social interaction skills, communication, and engagement in multiple environments. In addition to medical findings, organised tests like the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) and the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R) are frequently used to collect data about the person's strengths and problems. To rule out other medical conditions or genetic disorders that may be present with similar symptoms, a comprehensive medical evaluation is performed in addition to assessments. In this evaluation, physical examinations, neurological assessment, genetic testing, and screening for associated conditions like epilepsy or intellectual disability may all be included.

However, it's important to handle diagnostic labelling with caution, particularly about the prejudices and misunderstandings related to ASD. Every person with autism is unique, with their strengths, challenges, and potential. In the structure of the healthcare and support systems in the UK, a diagnosis doesn't define a person, more importantly, it can be used as a tool for understanding and support.

The UK's strategy for diagnosing ASD highlights cooperation, sensitivity, and comprehensive evaluation. Doctors and carers can guarantee that everybody with ASD receives the support, respect, and opportunities they are entitled to within the UK's healthcare and support systems by using a broad approach and recognising the people behind the diagnosis.

Treatment

The treatment landscape for autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in the UK is made up of a range of methods designed to meet the multiple desires of people with the illness. The objectives of current treatments, which range from pharmacological management to behavioural interventions and therapy, are to decrease problematic behaviours, improve social interaction skills, and improve overall quality of life.

In the UK, behavioural treatments are frequently used to offer people with autism spectrum disorders these lessen problematic behaviours. Examples of these treatments include Early Intensive Behavioural Intervention (EIBI) and Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA). Usually, such treatments include organised, one-on-one sessions with a specific goal in mind, like enhancing communication or social interactions. Although ABA is beneficial in enhancing the lives of a few people with ASD, it has also drawn complaints due to its intense nature and tendency to be overly rigid or controlling. Zimmerman, A.W., (2014).

Speech and Language Therapy (SLT), which attempts to enhance social, language and speech communication skills, is another often-used method. Individual or group therapy may be used in SLT sessions, with the main goal being the development of personalised communication strategies for each client. Although many people with ASD can benefit from SLT, access to these services may be restricted because of NHS resource limitations.

In combination with behavioural and speech therapies, pharmacological interventions are sometimes used to manage associated symptoms of ASD, such as anxiety, aggression, or hyperactivity. To treat these signs, doctors can recommend drugs like atypical antipsychotics or selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). Yet, there is still debate surrounding the use of medication to treat ASD due to possible adverse effects and a lack of long-term effective research. Borrego Jr, J., (2012).

While these medications provide intriguing possibilities for advancing people who have ASD, they are not without their challenges. Practical problems like the lack of specialised services and long waiting lists for assessments and interventions often affect access to timely and appropriate care. Furthermore, the ethical implications of programmes such as ABA call into question how best to balance fostering skill development and honouring personal autonomy and preferences.

When comparing modern treatments with those from the past, it's critical to acknowledge both improvements and drawbacks. Person-centred, complete approaches that prioritise each patient's unique strengths and preferences have replaced more restrictive, institutionalised methods in the history of ASD therapy. In line with the concepts of person-centred care and neurodiversity, current methods prioritise individualised care and inclusion, whereas earlier treatments may have been more rigorous and standardised.

As a result, the medical environment for ASD in the United Kingdom offers multiple treatments focused on improving outcomes and enhancing the quality of life for people across the spectrum. Even though existing therapies appear to be promising in treating primary symptoms and associated conditions, challenges like restricted service accessibility and ethical issues still need to be taken into account. The UK can work to provide more ethical and effective support for people with ASD by investing in accessible, evidence-based interventions and advocating for person-centred care. Bao, W., (2019).

Measurement of rehabilitation

Future developments in autism therapy in the UK will be determined in a significant way by the ability to evaluate treatments for success and effectiveness. Evaluating a service user's progress in therapy requires careful thinking and an extensive technique.

Depending on the wants and needs of the patient, there are multiple approaches to assess the effectiveness of autism therapy. Improvements in social communication abilities, a decrease in problematic behaviours, more independence in everyday tasks, and an improvement in a person and their family's quality of life are examples of important signs. One frequently used approach to measuring effectiveness is through standardised assessments and outcome measures. Such assessments give objective data on certain areas of function, allowing researchers and doctors to keep track of progress as time passes. For example, the Vineland Adaptive Behaviour Scales (VANS) assess adaptive abilities in daily living, while the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS) examines social communication issues. Butler, M.G.,(2020)

But it's important to recognise the disadvantages of relying just on standardised tests. Due to the complications and unpredictability of autism, treatment results may vary across patients depending on age, intelligence, and associated diseases. As a result, using a limited number of results could not properly show all aspects of improvements or problems faced by people with ASD. A more extensive approach to measuring effectiveness involves including descriptive information and feedback from various groups, including service users, caregivers/parents, and doctors. To learn more about the experiences, preferences, and expected treatment outcomes of that person, this might include doing surveys or interviews. Qualitative data provides quantitative data, significant context and detail and allows for a better understanding of therapy efficiency. Couhet, G., (2019).

Moreover, the outcomes of autism treatment go above the patient and include more general results like social acceptance, engagement, and inclusion. So taking into account how the treatment affects social interactions, community involvement, and general well-being is important when measuring its effectiveness. Evaluating the effectiveness of autism treatment presents several difficulties. One issue is that there isn't significant agreement in which results matter more to people with ASD and their families. This may lead to differences in the choice and meaning of outcome measures across different doctors and events.

Additionally, it is hard to accurately assess changes in functionality as time passes due to the character of autism and the variety of each person's experiences. It can be difficult to assess the long-term effects of treatments when progress is unpredictable, as there can be moments of development with peaks or declines. The absence of standardised assessments and measurements created particularly for determining the effectiveness of autism treatment is another challenge. The large variety of symptoms and problems linked to ASD may not be fully represented by many of the current assessments, creating gaps in knowledge and assessment.

Current methods for autism therapy must emphasise an extensive approach to assessment, including quantitative and qualitative data to collect the full range of experiences and results,

to fix these problems. This might involve creating and accepting new assessment tools designed to meet the specific needs of people with ASD and their families. In addition, promoting cooperation between doctors, researchers, and service users can help make outcome measures that are more relevant to and represent the many objectives and plans of people with ASD. By collaborating, they can make progress towards more effective, inclusive, and personalised techniques for autism treatment in the UK and beyond.

Recommendations for future practice

Looking towards the future of autism care in the United Kingdom, multiple suggestions can be made to improve the quality of life of people with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) as well as outcomes. Individualised, person centred care that acknowledges each service user's unique requirements, preferences, and strengths should be given top priority in future practices. This includes encouraging independence and self-determination, adapting treatments to their unique aims and desires, and including service users and their families in decision-making processes. **Kamp-Becker, I., (2017).**

It is important to address the current challenges to receiving autism care, such as prolonged wait times for assessments and treatments. To guarantee that people with ASD receive prompt and suitable treatment, the NHS should develop infrastructure and resources to reduce waiting times and improve the accessibility of specialised services. For those with ASD, early detection and intervention are necessary for better outcomes. More effective support and intervention throughout critical developmental times can result from increasing knowledge of the first signs of autism with teachers, doctors, and the public as well as allowing early access to examination, assessment, and intervention programmes.

Promoting the health of the service user and their support system needs providing full support to relatives and carers of people with ASD. This means having access to support from others, training, knowledge, and leisure care to assist parents in overcoming the difficulties of raising a child with autism and building community endurance. To improve our knowledge of autism and create better diagnostic and treatment techniques, they must keep funding research and development. The finding of indicators for early detection, the development of individualised therapies according to individual characteristics, and the use of advances in technology to improve access to resources and assistance are examples of potential future developments. **Coomes, M.D., (2016).**

Working together with doctors, nurses, researchers, educators, officials, and groups that advocate for autism support is important for using positive change in autistic care. They can deal with the complicated problems that people with ASD and their families experience by

using knowledge and resources by encouraging partnerships and interaction across people with power. Supporting the overall health and full development of people with ASD requires advocating for their rights and getting involved in every area of society, including work, school, healthcare, and community life. This involves challenging prejudice and discrimination, encouraging recognition of diversity, and making sure that inclusive settings and chances for inclusion are accessible.

By placing these ideas into practice and providing extensive care for the autistic that is complete and personalised, Society will be able to improve the quality of life and results for people with ASD in the UK. Using relentless partnership, creativity, and promotion, we may attempt to develop a more expanded and supportive community where people with ASD are successful and may realise their full potential.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this report has provided an in-depth examination of autism spectrum disorder (ASD), focusing on diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation practices in the United Kingdom. Many significant issues have come up, based on a variety of literature and real-world instances.

A diverse and cooperative method is used to diagnose ASD, taking into consideration the patient's medical history, developmental history, standardised testing, and direct observation. According to research by Crane et al. (2016), there are still challenges in getting fast and suitable treatment, even if progress has been made in early detection and intervention.

In terms of treatment, several methods, including behavioural interventions, speech therapy, and medication management, are used to deal with the unique needs of people with ASD. Pellicano and Stears (2011) stated in their literature that although these approaches show potential when it comes to improving outcomes, there are still significant challenges related to restricted access to services and ethical questions surrounding treatment.

Treatment efforts also seek to improve the quality of life, independence, and inclusion of people with ASD. According to a study by Fletcher-Watson and Happé (2019), personalised care, early intervention, and support for families and carers are crucial elements of successful rehabilitation methods.

Overall, while progress has been made in the identification, management, and recovery of ASD, much more needs to be done to improve the quality of life and results for those who fall within the spectrum. Adopting a personalised approach, improving service access, encouraging early detection and intervention, investing in research and innovation, encouraging cooperation and association, and fighting for the acceptance and rights of people with ASD are some of the main suggestions for future practice.

We can work towards a more welcoming, encouraging, and fair society where everyone has the chance to succeed and realise their full potential by putting these suggestions into practice and keeping the needs and preferences of people with ASD and their families as our main concerns.

Reference

Maye, M.P., Kiss, I.G. and Carter, A.S., 2022. Definitions and classification of autism spectrum disorders. In *Autism Spectrum Disorders* (pp. 3-26). Routledge.

Volkmar, F.R., Reichow, B. and McPartland, J., 2012. Classification of autism and related conditions: progress, challenges, and opportunities. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*, 14(3), pp.229-237.

Lord, C. and Jones, R.M., 2012. Annual Research Review: Re-thinking about the classification of autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 53(5), pp.490-509.

Volkmar, F.R. and Klin, A., 2005. Issues in the classification of autism and related conditions. *Handbook of autism and pervasive developmental disorders*, 1, pp.5-41.

Williams, K., Woolfenden, S., Roberts, J., Rodger, S., Bartak, L. and Prior, M., 2014. Autism in context 1: Classification, counting and causes. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 50(5), pp.335-340.

Sharma, S.R., Gonda, X. and Tarazi, F.I., 2018. Autism spectrum disorder: classification, diagnosis and therapy. *Pharmacology & therapeutics*, 190, pp.91-104.

Phetrasuwan, S., Miles, M.S. and Mesibov, G.B., 2009. Defining autism spectrum disorders. *Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing*, 14(3), p.206.

Doernberg, E. and Hollander, E., 2016. Neurodevelopmental disorders (ASD and ADHD): Dsm-5, icd-10, and icd-11. *CNS spectrums*, 21(4), pp.295-299.

Reed, G.M., First, M.B., Kogan, C.S., Hyman, S.E., Gureje, O., Gaebel, W., Maj, M., Stein, D.J., Maercker, A., Tyrer, P. and Claudino, A., 2019. Innovations and changes in the ICD-11 classification of mental, behavioural and neurodevelopmental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 18(1), pp.3-19.

Bach, B. and Vestergaard, M., 2023. Differential diagnosis of ICD-11 personality disorder and autism spectrum disorder in adolescents. *Children*, 10(6), p.992.

Leekam, S.R., Libby, S.J., Wing, L., Gould, J. and Taylor, C., 2002. The Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorders: algorithms for ICD-10 childhood autism and Wing and Gould autistic spectrum disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 43(3), pp.327-342.

Joon, P., Kumar, A. and Parle, M., 2021. What is autism? *Pharmacological reports*, 73(5), pp.1255-1264.

Gurcova, M., 2022. *Criteria and Innovations in Diagnostics of Autism Spectrum Disorder According to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD–11) and the Use in Special Pedagogy Field* (No. 7737). EasyChair.

Carulla, L.S., Reed, G.M., Vaez-Azizi, L.M., Cooper, S.A., Leal, R.M., Bertelli, M., Adnams, C., Cooray, S., Deb, S., Dirani, L.A. and Girimaji, S.C., 2011. Intellectual developmental disorders: towards a new name, definition and framework for “mental retardation/intellectual disability” in ICD-11. *World Psychiatry*, 10(3), p.175.

Dover, C.J. and Le Couteur, A., 2007. How to diagnose autism. *Archives of disease in childhood*, 92(6), pp.540-545.

Filipek, P.A., Accardo, P.J., Baranek, G.T., Cook, E.H., Dawson, G., Gordon, B., Gravel, J.S., Johnson, C.P., Kallen, R.J., Levy, S.E. and Minshew, N.J., 2013. The Screening and Diagnosis of Autistic Spectrum Disorders¹. *Autism*, pp.11-56.

Hull, L. and Mandy, W., 2017. Protective effect or missed diagnosis? Females with autism spectrum disorder. *Future Neurology*, 12(3), pp.159-169.g

Leedham, A., Thompson, A.R., Smith, R. and Freeth, M., 2020. ‘I was exhausted trying to figure it out’: The experiences of females receiving an autism diagnosis in middle to late adulthood. *Autism*, 24(1), pp.135-146.

Zener, D., 2019. Journey to diagnosis for women with autism. *Advances in autism*, 5(1), pp.2-13.

McCrossin, R., 2022. Finding the true number of females with autistic spectrum disorder by estimating the biases in initial recognition and clinical diagnosis. *Children*, 9(2), p.272.

Guthrie, W., Swineford, L.B., Nottke, C. and Wetherby, A.M., 2013. Early diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder: Stability and change in clinical diagnosis and symptom presentation. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(5), pp.582-590.

Grzadzinski, R., Huerta, M. and Lord, C., 2013. DSM-5 and autism spectrum disorders (ASDs): an opportunity for identifying ASD subtypes. *Molecular autism*, 4, pp.1-6.

Poustka, L. and Kamp-Becker, I., 2017. Current practice and future avenues in autism therapy. *Social Behavior from Rodents to Humans: Neural Foundations and Clinical Implications*, pp.357-378.

Brown, K.R. and Coomes, M.D., 2016. A spectrum of support: Current and best practices for students with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) at community colleges. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 40(6), pp.465-479.

Genovese, A. and Butler, M.G., 2020. Clinical assessment, genetics, and treatment approaches in autism spectrum disorder (ASD). *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(13), p.4726.