

Assignment 1

Name: Todor Dimitrov

Student Number: 1814106

Tutor: Winifred Soribe

Topic: XLG

Module Code: LCBB5002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
PART A.....	4
1. Computation of sales price variance and sales volume contribution variance	4
2. The material price planning variance and material price operational variance	6
3. Critically evaluating benefits and limitation of using the variances in analysing the performance of managers	8
PART B.....	12
Make or buy decision	12
CONCLUSION.....	12
REFERENCES	14

INTRODUCTION

PART A

1. Computation of sales price variance and sales volume contribution variance

Sales price variance

The SP variance is used for measuring the expansion or reduction in the revenue because of a distinction in the standard selling cost and real selling cost. Standard price (SP) is the cost at which the business hopes to sell its items. In cost and management accounting (MA), this tool variance analysis is carried out every year with the point of holding expenses and incomes under check. It is a strategy for restricting use or period costs before they are brought about with an emphasis on most maximum gainfulness (Edwards, 2016). The sales price variance would be favourable (F) when the real selling price (SP) is more noteworthy than the standard selling price. This clearly brings about higher revenue income than the planned income. An increase in the SP could be because of any of the accompanying reasons such as abatement in cost of complementary good, reduction in the substitute and pattern change. Despite what might be expected, the selling price difference would be ominous or adverse (A) when the real selling price is not exactly the budgeted selling cost. The repercussion is a lower amount of turnover than what was normal. A diminishing in cost could be because of some reasons like increment in rivalry, lower quality products and diminishing in cost of substitute product.

Computation of sales price variance

Product	Chemical X	Chemical Y
Actual sales revenue	38250 (850 * 45)	27750 (750 * 37)
Actual units	850	750

Actual sales price	45	37
Standard price	35	30
Difference in price (Actual price – Standard price)	10 (45 – 35)	7 (37 – 30)
Actual units	850	750
Sales price variance (Difference in price * Actual units)	8500 (850 * 10)	5250 (750 * 7)
Total sales price variance (8500 + 5250)	13750 (F)	

On the basis of the situation given, the SP variance of the organization is £13750 being favourable as the actual SP is greater than the standard SP.

Sales volume contribution variance

Sales volume (SV) variance is the proportion of variation in the contribution because of the contrast among real and planned sales amount. SV variance measures the impact of an adjustment in the degree of sales on the contribution over the period. SV contribution variance varies from other volume based differences, for example, material use variance and labour efficiency variance in that it computes not simply the changes in sales income because of the adjustment in action however it evaluates the general change in the contribution (Holzer and Schoenfeld, 2019). The idea of the SV contribution variance helps in shaping a more significant examination of different fluctuations in the readiness of the operating statement. For instance, the material use variance needs to consider the distinction between the real utilization of material and the standard utilization of material for the real number of units sold since the SV variance considers the variation in the material expense brought about by the contrast among planned and real sales volume.

The favourable (F) SV variance proposes a better standard contribution than the budgeted one. The reason behind this favourable

outcome includes favourable sales quantity difference (for example higher number of units sold than planned), favourable sales mix fluctuation (for example higher extent of more profitable items sold than the planned one). The unfavourable (A) demonstrated a lower standard contribution than the planned one (Tan and Low, 2017). Foundations for such outcome include: unfavourable sales amount variance (for example lower number of units sold than planned). unfavourable sales mix change (for example higher extent of the less gainful items sold than foreseen in the financial plan).

Computation of sales volume contribution variance

Product	Chemical X	Chemical Y
Actual sales units	850	750
Budgeted sales units	595	595
Difference	255 (850 – 595)	155 (750 – 595)
Standard margin	25	20
Sales volume variance	6375 (255 * 25)	3100 (155 * 20)
Total sales volume contribution variance	9475 (F)	

The total SV contribution variance is £9475 (F) because the actual units sold is more than the budgeted one.

2. The material price planning variance and material price operational variance

The material price (MP) planning variance is exceptionally helpful for the organizations as it helps in giving review in regard to how the talented administrators of the association are assessing the future costs of the item. The planning variance analyses the first financial plan and then the reconsidered plan. The MP operational variance is viewed as more important as it helps in estimating the proficiency of the purchasing division as for the predominant economic situations at that time (Windapo and Moghayedi, 2017). It doesn't include the factors which cannot be

controlled. The planning and operational fluctuation makes the standard costing significant. The operating difference furnishes the organization with a cutting-edge data about its working productivity. The MP planning and operational change helps in breaking down those differences which are either controllable or uncontrollable by the association. This helps the management in distinguishing the areas where real doesn't coordinate the planned which caused adverse outcomes.

The MP planning variance permits the managements to decide how viably the associations plan process is. It helps in distinguishing where the correction in the spending plan is required regarding the adjustments in the business condition which are unforeseeable when the financial plan was made (Collis, Holt and Hussey, 2017). In circumstances where the guidelines fail to envision the market trends then it mirrors the shortcoming in the standard setting arrangement and furthermore there are planning differences caused on account of bad standard setting over them moves can be made as it is controllable in nature at the planning stage. The planning and operational difference gives help to the administration in making distinction between the changes which has been caused in light of the unessential components of the association and the planning fluctuations or the mistakes and different variances which are caused due to the variables which are in the control of the administration. In view of this, the less time is really spent on researching the changes which are not in control of the administration which lessens the odds of getting the staff being considered answerable for the differences which has been brought about by the variables which are out of control.

The performance of the operational managers is then contrasted with the balanced standards which then shows the conditions wherein the supervisor work under the given period (Graybeal, Franklin and Cooper,

2018). In the event that, the planning and operational fluctuations of the association are not recognized in that circumstance there is a chances for a dysfunctional conduct in the conditions where the supervisor has been working appropriately and fittingly and is additionally being decided by the components over which there is no control.

Computation of material price planning variance

Product	Original budget	Revised budget	Actual
Chemical X	2.5	4.5	3.7
Chemical Y	2.5	4.5	3.7
Budgeted production	800	800	1600 (800 + 800)
Material price planning variance	(4.5 - 2.5) *1600	3200 (A)	

Computation of material price operational variance

Product	Original budget	Revised budget	Actual
Chemical X	2.5	4.5	3.7
Chemical Y	2.5	4.5	3.7
Budgeted production	800	800	1600
Material price operational variance	(3.7 - 4.5) *1600	-1280 (F)	

3. Critically evaluating benefits and limitation of using the variances in analysing the performance of managers

As stated by Davis and et.al (2020), variance analysis can be defined as the comparison among the pre-determined information with the past related information for determining an adherence to the plans. This analysis is considered the most valuable tool for the supervisors to

evaluate execution and in lessening risk of the organization. It gives important data which helps the administrators in evaluating future risk while the other assist in improving the past presentation of an organization. This tool takes into account the performance of the project, division with that of standard set so gap between the planned and real presentation can be estimated in viable way. The management of the organization sets up standards against which the presentation would be estimated. It is the way toward deciding the reason for the variety in costs and income of current year from that of planned one. It helps in productive planning activity as the company's administration wants having lower measure of deviations from that of arranged spending plan. This helps the administrators in settling on forward-looking and itemized budgetary choices. It goes about as the control instrument where investigation of the huge distinction on significant things helps an endeavour in knowing causes and help the board in looking towards potential methods of discovering deviations and guaranteeing effective controlling. Notwithstanding, this method is an action which is mostly founded on the budgetary outcomes that are uncovered after a quarter. This delay may influence the corrective moves in making a capacity up-to certain degree. On the off chance that the planning or analysis isn't been made in consideration with evaluation of each factor, exercise of planning may lose, that will undoubtedly go other direction from the genuine numbers.

Ahmad (2017), analysed that variance analysis permits controlling of cost and assessment of execution through looking at the real and standard figures. The fundamental target of controlling expense is delivering thing with ease in agreement to the pre-decided standards of value. It inspires laborers for achieving objectives and pinpointing obligation regarding the unfortunate execution so as to make remedial

move. On opposite side, it supports insensitivity on supervisors' part as they disregard the other significant tools which may profit to organizations. It encourages connections inside firm like top administration and bosses which supports staff in performing ideally. In any case, in this there may be propensity to concentrate on fulfilling guidelines to a rejection of other pivotal target like improving and looking after quality, fulfilment and satisfaction of clients and on time delivery. Besides, it guarantees that a substance is in acceptable monetary state and furthermore guarantees that the administrators or managers underscore and empower direction of results. On opposite side, it demoralizes commencement as the laborers probably won't require to bringing innovation especially if such sort of new thought may result to negative or unfavourable fluctuation in beginning. It may likewise prompt a wrong choice that may bring about falling of organization since information that is utilized in computing differences may be considered faulty.

Concerning the given case, before figuring the MP planning and the operational differences, the main data which was made accessible with respect to buying was that the MP variance of £27000 which was good. As characterized by Kerzner (2017), the purchasing division of the organization will be additionally evaluated by virtue of this change since it can't be considered as a solid pointer of the effectiveness of the purchasing division of the association. The fundamental driver isn't that it isn't dependable but since of the changing economic situations which thusly prompting the ascent in the costs and this change is really not in the control of the purchasing division. Additionally, by further examining the MP variance and breaking it into two sections which are planning and operational changes which is basically considered as the most helpful tool in evaluating the performance. As expressed by Farkas, Kersting and

Stephens (2016), the planning change fundamentally states about the uncontrollable component, though the operational difference states about the controllable elements. Both the differences can be handily determined however for certain organizations it is simple yet for some situation it ends up being extremely troublesome. The operational difference is likewise significant as it is used in deciding the productivity level of the purchasing department of the association under the set economic situations. It gives help with improving the motivational level and morale degree of the employees of the association.

Be that as it may, there are sure things which are required to be expressed obviously like these fluctuations are additionally influenced by the specific restrictions which the association must sway away so as to conquer it, on the off chance that they are really actualized in the practice. In light of Jiambalvo (2019), things such as standards set ought to be feasible and reachable and ought not be unclear. There can be times when all the differences can be handily advocated as in light of an inappropriate and lack of foresight which will result into no operational fluctuations being highlighted. Likewise, so as to evade any sort of blunder or missteps, updating and dissecting the differences will be exorbitant and tedious procedure. This may influence the financial plan of the organization also. In this manner, it very well may be tragic that the variance analysis can't be considered as the most important and precise apparatus for surveying the presentation of the association. In this, progressions can be made effectively by the management as per the prerequisite which will modify the ultimate result of the it driving less fluctuations and featuring the better outcomes despite the fact that it isn't the situation. Subsequently, the organization should utilize this tool however ought to think about different tools for guaranteeing the

productivity and the presentation of the organization. Likewise, successful checking of the purchase department ought to be done so as to know the proficiency level of the directors in assessing the price of the item for what's to come.

PART B

Make or buy decision

The XLG company has been using FamaQ which is being imported from Brazil and this has helped it in securing a good market position. In the event of coronavirus outbreak, this has made difficult for the company to import it due to the restrictions imposed by the UK government (Rosyidi, 2019). Thus, the company is looking to produce the same in-house but is not sure whether it will be beneficial or not. Thus, a report is being prepared which provides the information in relation to make or buy decision making.

Particulars	Make	Buy	Increase/(Decrease)
Material required for each product (1 unit for chemical X and one for chemical Y)	3	3.7	-0.7
Number of units to produced	2320	2320	2320
Total cost	6960	8584	-1624

Thus, the XLG should make the product in house and also it will help reduce the delivery time by 15 days.

CONCLUSION

It can be summed up from the above that the it is very beneficial for the organization in utilizing variance analysis for effectively managing its performance. Even though it has certain advantage and drawbacks but then too its relevance cannot be ignored. Also, a make or buy decision making is being done which resulted into in-house production.

REFERENCES

Books and Journals

- Ahmad, K., 2017. The implementation of management accounting practice and its relationship with performance in Small and Medium Enterprises sector. *International Review of Management and Marketing*. 7(1).
- Collis, J., Holt, A. and Hussey, R., 2017. *Business accounting*. Palgrave.
- Davis, P. and et.al, 2020. CREATING A LEAN ENVIRONMENT. *Strategic Finance*. 101(7). pp.38-45.
- Edwards, J. B., 2016. Modern gross profit analysis. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*. 27(4). pp.45-55.
- Farkas, M., Kersting, L. and Stephens, W., 2016. Modern Watch Company: An instructional resource for presenting and learning actual, normal, and standard costing systems, and variable and fixed overhead variance analysis. *Journal of Accounting Education*. 35. pp.56-68.
- Graybeal, P., Franklin, M. and Cooper, D., 2018. Distinguish between Financial and Managerial Accounting. *Principles of Accounting, Volume 2: Managerial Accounting*.
- Holzer, H. P. and Schoenfeld, H. M. eds., 2019. *Managerial accounting and analysis in multinational enterprises*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
- Jiambalvo, J., 2019. *Managerial accounting*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kerzner, H., 2017. *Project management: a systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Rosyidi, C. N., 2019, August. Make or Buy Decision with Price and Quality Dependent Demand. In *Proceedings of the International Manufacturing Engineering Conference & The Asia Pacific Conference on Manufacturing Systems* (pp. 272-277). Springer, Singapore.
- Tan, B. S. and Low, K. Y., 2017. Budgeting Practice in Singapore—An Exploratory Study Using a Survey. *Asia Pacific Management Accounting Journal*. 12(1). pp.77-103.
- Windapo, A. and Moghayedi, A., 2017. BUILDING MATERIAL PRICE DIFFERENTIATION IN SOUTH AFRICA: THE ROLE OF RETAILERS AND LOCATION. *WELCOME TO DELEGATES IRC 2017*. p.447.