



Prifysgol Cymru
Y Drindod Dewi Sant
University of Wales
Trinity Saint David

MODULE CODE: ECDE7018

ASSESSMENT COMPONENT: 2

STUDENT NAME: WENRUI YIN

STUDENT NUMBER: 2319170

Doctorate in Education (EdD)

**A study of university public English policies and education
in China**

Word count: 3905

1.0 Introduction

This study focuses on university public English policies and education in China, addressing the research question: How do these policies affect the quality and accessibility of English language education in Chinese universities? The aim is to analyze the development, implementation, and effectiveness of public English policies within the Chinese higher education system. As China's global engagement grows, English proficiency is increasingly important for students' future success. The study will explore the current state of these policies, the factors influencing their formulation and implementation, and the challenges and opportunities faced in their execution. It will also assess the policies' impact on students' language proficiency, academic performance, and future prospects, aiming to provide insights into enhancing English language education in Chinese universities.

2.0 Chosen Method

In this study, a mixed-methods approach has been chosen to investigate university public English policies and education in China. This approach combines both quantitative and qualitative research methods, allowing for a comprehensive and multifaceted understanding of the research topic. By integrating data from various sources and perspectives, the mixed-methods approach provides a more holistic view of the current state, challenges, and opportunities associated with public English policies in Chinese universities.

● Quantitative Method

The quantitative component of the study involves the collection and analysis of numerical data. This will be achieved through surveys and questionnaires distributed to a larger sample of participants, including students, tutors, and administrators in Chinese universities. Surveys provide a structured and standardized way to gather data, enabling the researcher to identify patterns, trends, and relationships among variables on a larger scale.

One of the key strengths of the quantitative method is its ability to generate statistically significant results that can be generalized to a broader population. By using appropriate statistical analysis techniques, such as descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and regression analysis, the study can examine factors influencing the effectiveness of public English policies, such as students' language proficiency, academic performance, and satisfaction levels. Additionally, quantitative data can help identify correlations between variables, such as the relationship between policy implementation and educational outcomes, providing valuable insights into the overall impact of public English policies.

However, the quantitative method also has its limitations. It may not capture the depth and complexity of participants' experiences, beliefs, and perspectives, which are crucial for understanding the nuances of public English policies and education. This is where the qualitative component of the mixed-methods approach comes into play.

● Qualitative Method

The qualitative component of the study focuses on collecting and analyzing non-numerical data, such as interviews, observations, and document analysis. Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with purposively selected tutors, administrators, and students who have in-depth knowledge and experience with public English policies and education in Chinese universities. These interviews allow participants to express their thoughts, feelings, and experiences in their own words, providing rich and detailed insights into the subjective aspects of the research topic.

Qualitative data enables the researcher to explore the underlying reasons, motivations, and processes involved in the formulation, implementation, and evaluation of public English policies. It helps to uncover the contextual factors that may influence the effectiveness of these policies, such as cultural values, institutional practices, and individual perceptions. Through thematic analysis, the qualitative data will be organized into themes or patterns,

allowing for a deeper understanding of the complexities and challenges associated with public English education in China.

Moreover, qualitative research fosters a closer relationship between the researcher and the participants, promoting trust and rapport. This can lead to more honest and candid responses, as participants feel comfortable sharing their experiences and opinions without fear of judgment or repercussions. The qualitative method also allows for flexibility and adaptability in the research process, enabling the researcher to adjust the direction of the inquiry based on emerging themes and insights.

- **Mixed-Methods Approach**

The mixed-methods approach combines the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative methods, overcoming their individual limitations and providing a more comprehensive understanding of the research topic. By integrating numerical data with rich, contextual insights, the study can address the research questions from multiple perspectives, enhancing the validity and reliability of the findings.

One of the key advantages of the mixed-methods approach is its ability to provide a more complete picture of the research phenomenon. Quantitative data can reveal broad patterns and trends, while qualitative data can explain the reasons behind these patterns, offering a deeper understanding of the underlying processes and mechanisms. This triangulation of data sources allows for the cross-validation of findings, ensuring that the results are robust and credible.

Furthermore, the mixed-methods approach enables the researcher to address complex research questions that cannot be fully answered by a single method alone. For instance, in this study, the quantitative data can identify the overall effectiveness of public English policies in improving students' language proficiency, while the qualitative data can explore the factors that contribute to this effectiveness or hinder its implementation. This integrated

approach provides a more nuanced understanding of the research topic, highlighting areas for improvement and potential strategies for enhancing public English education in Chinese universities.

- **Implementation of the Method**

The implementation of the mixed-methods approach involves several key steps. Initially, the research questions and objectives will be clearly defined, guiding the selection of appropriate data collection and analysis methods. The quantitative data will be collected through surveys and questionnaires, ensuring a representative sample of participants from various universities across China. The qualitative data will be gathered through semi-structured interviews with purposively selected participants who possess relevant knowledge and experience.

During the data collection phase, it is important to ensure the quality and reliability of both quantitative and qualitative data. This includes using validated survey instruments, pilot testing the questionnaires, and conducting interviews in a comfortable and supportive environment. The data will then be analyzed using appropriate statistical and thematic analysis techniques, integrating the findings from both methods to address the research questions comprehensively.

Throughout the research process, ethical considerations will be strictly adhered to, including obtaining informed consent from all participants, ensuring the confidentiality and anonymity of their responses, and minimizing any potential harm or distress. By employing a rigorous and ethical mixed-methods approach, the study can produce findings that contribute significantly to the understanding of university public English policies and education in China, informing future policy development and educational practices.

3.0 Sampling

Sampling is a critical component of any research study, as it determines the group of participants from which data will be collected. The choice of sampling method can significantly impact the validity and generalizability of the research findings. In this study, the sampling method chosen is purposive sampling, which is particularly suitable for qualitative research that aims to gain in-depth insights into specific phenomena.

Purposive sampling, also known as purposeful or judgmental sampling, involves selecting participants based on specific criteria that are relevant to the research objectives. This method is chosen because it allows researchers to focus on individuals who possess the necessary characteristics or experiences to provide rich and detailed insights into the research topic. In the context of this study, which examines university public English policies and education in China, purposive sampling enables the selection of participants who are directly involved in or have extensive knowledge of the implementation and impact of these policies.

The rationale for using purposive sampling in this study is multifaceted. Firstly, it ensures that the sample is composed of individuals who are well-informed about the public English policies and education in Chinese universities. This is crucial for obtaining accurate and relevant data that can contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the research topic. By selecting participants who have firsthand experience or expertise in the area, the study can delve deeper into the nuances and complexities of the policies and their effects.

Secondly, purposive sampling allows for the exploration of diverse perspectives within the sample. While the primary focus is on tutors in Chinese universities, the method also provides the flexibility to include other relevant stakeholders, such as administrators, policymakers, or students, if deemed necessary. This diversity in perspectives can enrich the data and provide a more holistic view of the issues surrounding public English policies and education.

The process of purposive sampling in this study involves several key steps. Initially, the research objectives and criteria for participant selection are clearly defined. For this study, the primary criterion is that participants must be tutors in Chinese universities who have experience with public English policies and education. This includes those who are involved in curriculum development, teaching, or policy implementation.

Next, potential participants are identified through various channels. One effective approach is to reach out to universities directly, either through academic departments or through personal networks within the academic community. Additionally, professional conferences, seminars, or workshops related to English language education in China can provide opportunities to identify potential participants. Online platforms, such as academic social networks or university websites, can also be utilized to locate tutors who meet the selection criteria.

Once potential participants are identified, they are contacted and invited to participate in the study. The invitation should clearly explain the purpose of the research, the nature of the participation, and any potential benefits or risks involved. It is important to emphasize the voluntary nature of participation and the confidentiality of the data collected. This helps to build trust and encourage participation.

During the recruitment process, it is crucial to ensure that the sample is diverse and representative of the broader population of tutors in Chinese universities. This can be achieved by selecting participants from different types of universities (e.g., public, private, research-intensive, teaching-focused), various regions of China, and different levels of experience and expertise. This diversity helps to enhance the richness and depth of the data collected and allows for a more comprehensive analysis of the research topic.

There are several advantages to using purposive sampling in this study. One significant advantage is the ability to obtain in-depth and detailed data. By focusing on participants who

have relevant experience and knowledge, the study can gather rich insights that may not be possible with other sampling methods. This depth of data is particularly important for qualitative research, which aims to explore complex phenomena and understand underlying meanings and relationships.

Another advantage is the flexibility and adaptability of purposive sampling. Researchers can adjust the selection criteria and recruitment strategies as needed during the study. For example, if certain themes or issues emerge during the data collection process, additional participants can be recruited to explore these areas further. This flexibility allows for a more dynamic and responsive approach to research.

Purposive sampling also allows for the exploration of specific subgroups or cases that may be of particular interest or relevance to the research. For instance, if the study identifies certain universities or regions where public English policies have been particularly successful or challenging, participants from these areas can be specifically targeted for inclusion in the sample. This targeted approach can provide valuable insights into the factors contributing to these outcomes and help to identify best practices or areas for improvement.

Despite its advantages, purposive sampling also presents some challenges and limitations. One potential challenge is the risk of selection bias. Since participants are chosen based on specific criteria, there is a possibility that the sample may not be fully representative of the broader population. This can limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts or groups. To mitigate this risk, it is important to carefully consider the selection criteria and strive for diversity within the sample, as mentioned earlier.

Another challenge is the potential difficulty in recruiting participants who meet the specific criteria. Some individuals may be reluctant to participate due to concerns about confidentiality or the sensitivity of the topic. Additionally, logistical factors such as geographical distance or scheduling conflicts can pose challenges in reaching and engaging

with potential participants. To address these issues, researchers may need to employ creative recruitment strategies, such as offering incentives or conducting interviews remotely via video conferencing.

To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings obtained through purposive sampling, several strategies can be employed. Triangulation is one such strategy, which involves using multiple sources of data or methods to cross-verify the findings. For example, in addition to interviews with tutors, data can be collected from other stakeholders, such as students or administrators, or through document analysis of policy documents and educational materials. This can help to confirm the themes and insights identified in the interviews and enhance the credibility of the research.

Another strategy is to maintain reflexivity throughout the research process. This involves being aware of and reflecting on the researcher's own biases, assumptions, and perspectives that may influence the sampling process and data analysis. By maintaining reflexivity, researchers can strive for a more objective and accurate understanding of the data and the research topic.

In conclusion, purposive sampling is a suitable and effective method for this study, as it allows for the selection of participants who can provide rich and detailed insights into university public English policies and education in China. While there are challenges and limitations associated with this method, careful planning and implementation, along with strategies to enhance validity and reliability, can help to ensure that the findings contribute meaningfully to the understanding of this important research topic.

3.0 Ethical Considerations in the Study

One of the primary ethical considerations in this study is obtaining informed consent from all participants. Informed consent is a fundamental ethical principle that ensures participants

are fully aware of the nature of their involvement in the research. This involves clearly explaining the purpose of the study, the procedures involved, the potential risks and benefits, and the voluntary nature of participation. Participants must be informed that they have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any penalty or loss of benefits to which they are otherwise entitled. This process is crucial for respecting the autonomy and dignity of participants, ensuring that they make an informed decision about their participation.

Protecting the privacy and confidentiality of participants is another critical ethical consideration. The study will involve collecting sensitive information about participants' experiences and perspectives on public English policies and education in Chinese universities. To safeguard privacy, all data collected will be anonymized by removing any personally identifiable information. Data will be stored securely, with access restricted only to the research team. Additionally, any reports or publications resulting from the study will not disclose any information that could potentially identify individual participants. This ensures that participants can share their insights without fear of personal repercussions or breaches of privacy.

The handling and security of data are essential aspects of ethical research. All data collected through semi-structured interviews will be transcribed and stored in a secure digital format, with appropriate measures taken to prevent unauthorized access or data breaches. This includes using password-protected databases and secure file transfer methods. Regular backups of data will be made to prevent loss due to technical failures. The research team will also ensure that data is handled in accordance with relevant data protection laws and institutional policies.

Researchers have a responsibility to minimize any potential harm or risk to participants. This involves carefully designing the study to avoid causing distress or discomfort to participants. For instance, questions and topics discussed during interviews should be

sensitive and respectful, avoiding any that may be overly intrusive or distressing. If any issues arise during the study that may cause harm, researchers must be prepared to address these promptly and effectively, providing support or referrals to appropriate resources if necessary.

Transparency in research practices is crucial for maintaining trust and credibility. Researchers must be open and honest about their methods, data analysis techniques, and any limitations of the study. This includes documenting all aspects of the research process, from participant recruitment to data analysis, and being willing to share these details upon request. Accountability also involves taking responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the research findings, ensuring that they are reported honestly and without bias. Researchers should be prepared to justify their decisions and actions throughout the study, demonstrating a commitment to ethical research standards.

Respecting the rights and dignity of participants is a core ethical principle. This involves treating participants with fairness and consideration, valuing their contributions to the study, and acknowledging the time and effort they invest in participating. Researchers should also be mindful of cultural and social differences among participants, ensuring that interactions are culturally sensitive and respectful. By fostering a respectful and supportive environment, researchers can build trust with participants, which is essential for obtaining honest and reliable data.

Given the study's focus on Chinese universities, cultural sensitivity is particularly important. Researchers must be aware of and respectful of the cultural context in which the study is conducted. This includes understanding the cultural norms, values, and beliefs that may influence participants' perspectives and experiences. Researchers should approach the study with an open mind and a willingness to learn from participants, avoiding any assumptions or stereotypes that may affect the validity of the findings.

In conclusion, ethical considerations are integral to the conduct of this study. By adhering to principles such as informed consent, privacy and confidentiality, data handling and security, minimizing harm, transparency, respect for participants, and cultural sensitivity, researchers can ensure that the study is conducted responsibly and ethically. This not only protects the rights and well-being of participants but also enhances the validity and credibility of the research findings.

4.0 Research Tools

The primary research tool selected for this study is the semi-structured interview, complemented by thematic analysis for data interpretation. These tools are chosen for their ability to capture the depth and complexity of the research topic, allowing for a nuanced understanding of the current state and impact of public English policies in Chinese universities.

- **Semi-Structured Interviews**

Semi-structured interviews are central to this study due to their flexibility and ability to elicit detailed responses. This method involves open-ended questions that guide the conversation while allowing for exploration of unexpected themes. It is particularly suitable for gathering qualitative data on the subjective experiences and perspectives of tutors in Chinese universities who are directly involved in implementing public English policies.

The interviews will be conducted with a purposive sample of tutors who possess in-depth knowledge of public English policies and education. This approach ensures that the data collected is relevant and provides valuable insights into the research questions. The interviews will be recorded with participants' consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis, ensuring a thorough examination of the data.

One strength of semi-structured interviews is their ability to build rapport with participants, creating a comfortable environment for sharing honest thoughts and experiences. This is crucial for discussing sensitive topics such as language policies and educational reforms. The flexibility of the interview format also allows researchers to probe deeper into certain areas based on the responses provided.

- Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis is chosen for its systematic approach to analyzing qualitative data. It involves identifying and analyzing patterns or themes within the data. The process begins with familiarizing oneself with the data, followed by coding the data systematically using both deductive and inductive approaches. This allows for the identification of both explicit and implicit themes.

Once coded, the data is organized into potential themes, which are then reviewed and refined to ensure accuracy and coherence. The final step involves defining and naming the themes and producing a detailed report that presents the findings in relation to the research questions. This systematic approach enhances the reliability and validity of the findings.

The combination of semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis offers several advantages. It allows for the collection of in-depth and detailed data, which is essential for understanding the complex nature of public English policies. The tools also provide flexibility and adaptability, enabling researchers to adjust the direction of the conversation and refine themes as needed.

Additionally, these tools facilitate the exploration of diverse perspectives within the sample. While the primary focus is on tutors, the flexibility allows for the inclusion of other stakeholders, enriching the data and providing a more holistic view of the issues.

Despite their advantages, these tools present challenges. Data collection and analysis can be time-consuming, requiring careful planning and management. Additionally, the subjective

nature of qualitative research introduces the potential for researcher bias. To mitigate this, researchers must maintain reflexivity and seek feedback from others.

To ensure validity and reliability, triangulation is used, involving multiple data sources or methods to cross-verify findings. Maintaining a transparent research process also helps demonstrate rigor and integrity. By employing these strategies, the study can produce findings that contribute meaningfully to understanding public English policies in Chinese universities.

5.0 Data Analysis Method

In this study, the primary data analysis method employed is thematic analysis, which is particularly well-suited for the qualitative data collected through semi-structured interviews. Thematic analysis provides a structured yet flexible approach to identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns (themes) within the data. This method is chosen because it allows for a detailed exploration of the complex and multifaceted nature of university public English policies and education in China.

The process of thematic analysis begins with the initial familiarization with the data. This involves thoroughly reading and re-reading the interview transcripts to gain a comprehensive understanding of the content. During this phase, researchers immerse themselves in the data, noting initial observations and ideas that emerge from the participants' narratives. This step is crucial for developing a preliminary understanding of the data and identifying potential themes.

Following familiarization, the data is systematically coded. Coding is an iterative process where researchers assign labels (codes) to segments of the data that represent important concepts or ideas. In this study, both deductive and inductive coding approaches are utilized. Deductive coding involves applying pre-determined codes based on the research objectives

and existing literature, ensuring that key aspects of the study are covered. Inductive coding, on the other hand, allows for the generation of new codes that emerge directly from the data, capturing unique insights and perspectives shared by the participants.

Once the data has been coded, the codes are organized into potential themes. This involves grouping related codes together to form coherent themes that capture the essence of the data. The themes are then reviewed and refined to ensure that they accurately represent the data and are meaningful in relation to the research questions. This process may involve merging, splitting, or redefining themes based on their coherence and relevance.

The final step in thematic analysis is defining and naming the themes. Each theme is clearly defined, and a descriptive name is assigned that succinctly captures its essence. This step is important for presenting the findings in a clear and understandable manner. The analysis culminates in the production of a detailed report that presents the identified themes in relation to the research questions, supported by relevant quotes from the interview transcripts. This allows for a rich and nuanced understanding of the current state and impact of public English policies in Chinese universities, highlighting the key insights and implications of the study.

6.0 Conclusion

This study utilizes semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis to explore university public English policies and education in China. While the primary focus is on gathering data from tutors due to their direct involvement and expertise, the research approach allows for the inclusion of other relevant stakeholders, such as administrators and students, if necessary. This flexibility ensures a more comprehensive understanding of the policies' development, implementation, and impact, capturing diverse perspectives and experiences within the Chinese higher education context.

References

- Ahmad, S. Z., & Hussain, M. (2017) 'An investigation of the factors determining student destination choice for higher education in the United Arab Emirates', *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(7), pp. 1324-1343.
- Anyidoho, A. (2018) 'Shifting sands: Language policies in education in Ghana and implementation challenges', *Ghana Journal of Linguistics*, 7(2), pp. 225-243.
- Bell, E., Bryman, A., & Harley, B. (2022) *Business research methods*. Oxford: Oxford university press.
- Bolton, K., & Graddol, D. (2012) 'English in China today: The current popularity of English in China is unprecedented, and has been fuelled by the recent political and social development of Chinese society', *English Today*, 28(3), pp. 3-9.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2013) 'Successful qualitative research: A practical guide for beginners'. London: Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. and Creswell, J. D. (2018) *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 5th edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2010) 'Evaluating teacher effectiveness: How teacher performance assessments can measure and improve teaching', *Center for American Progress*. 4(2), pp. 67-88
- Enago Academy (2022) 'Ethical Considerations in Research | Types & Examples', Enago, available at: <https://www.enago.com/academy/what-are-the-ethical-considerations-in-research-design/>
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016) 'Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling', *American journal of theoretical and applied statistics*, 5(1), pp. 1-4.
- Guest, G., MacQueen, K. M. and Namey, E. E. (2012) 'Applied thematic analysis'. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

- Ghavifekr, S., & Rosdy, W. A. W. (2015) 'Teaching and learning with technology: Effectiveness of ICT integration in schools', *International journal of research in education and science*, 1(2), pp. 175-191.
- Haugen, H. Ø. et al. (2023) 'Guidelines for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities', *Forskningsetikk*, available at: <https://www.forskningsetikk.no/en/guidelines/social-sciences-and-humanities/guidelines-for-research-ethics-in-the-social-sciences-and-the-humanities/>
- Johnson, R. B. and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004) 'Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come', *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), pp. 14-26.
- Kamwangamalu, N. M. (2010) 'Vernacularization, globalization, and language economics in non-English-speaking countries in Africa', *Language Problems and Language Planning*, 34(1), pp. 1-23.
- Li, Y., Teng, W., Tsai, L., & Lin, T. M. (2022) 'Does English proficiency support the economic development of non-English-speaking countries? The case of Asia', *International Journal of Educational Development*, 92, pp. 102-623.
- Martínez, R. (2016) 'English as a Medium of Instruction (EMI) in Brazilian higher education: challenges and opportunities', *English in Brazil: views, policies and programs*, pp. 191-228.
- Nguyen, H. T. M. (2013) 'Primary English language education policy in Vietnam: Insights from implementation', *Language planning in primary schools in Asia*, pp. 131-156.
- Rahman, M. M., Singh, M. K. M., & Karim, A. (2018) 'English medium instruction innovation in higher education: Evidence from Asian contexts', *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 15(4), pp. 1156.
- Research Guides (2025) 'Research Methods: Ethics in Research', City University of Hong Kong Library, available at: <https://libguides.library.cityu.edu.hk/researchmethods/ethics>

- Salaxiddinovna, M. G. (2021) 'Methods of Teaching English Terminology in Higher Education', *Eurasian Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3, pp. 108-110.
- Samson, J. F., & Collins, B. A. (2012) 'Preparing all teachers to meet the needs of English language learners: Applying research to policy and practice for teacher effectiveness', *Center for American Progress*. 2(2), pp. 124-132
- Souriyavongsa, T., Rany, S., Abidin, M. J. Z., & Mei, L. L. (2013) 'Factors causes students low English language learning: A case study in the National University of Laos', *International journal of English language education*, 1(1), pp. 179-192.
- Tashakkori, A. and Teddlie, C. (2010) Sage handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research. 2nd edn. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Terry, G., Hayfield, N., Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2017) 'Thematic analysis', *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research in psychology*, 2, pp. 17-37.
- Vu, N. T., & Burns, A. (2014) 'English as a medium of instruction: Challenges for Vietnamese tertiary lecturers', *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 11(3), pp. 78-88.