

The Importance of High-Quality Provisions within Early Childhood Education

Theorists such as Vygotsky *et al.*, (1978) and Bronfenbrenner (2007), believe that sociocultural and environmental factors can be influential in a child's development. Stating further that the quality of interactions and opportunities can either have a positive or detrimental effect on a child's overall academic and life outcomes. To attain effective child development within Early Childhood Education, it is essential that practitioners understand the importance of providing high-quality provisions (Torii *et al.*, 2017). Within this review, the meaning, aspects, and the importance of quality will be explored. In addition, the Leuven Scale and The Baby Effective Early Learning Program (BEEL) quality assurance modules will be analysed (OECD, 2015.p. 165-200).

Cottle and Alexandre (2012.p. 635-654) discusses that due to the diversity of the stakeholders that are involved within the setting, challenges can arise when discussing the concept of 'Quality'. Subjective to the perspective of the children, parents, practitioners, policy makers and setting leaders (Mathers *et al.*, 2012). The perception of 'quality' depends on the implementation of practice, in which a valued judgment can be derived (Moss, 2016). Howard *et al.*, (2018.p. 1017-1030) recognised the aspect of a child's happiness was paramount in parents and carers views in relation to quality provision. However, Barber (2018) established, that policy makers and settings definition of 'quality' differed according to the experience of management and practitioners, and which were focused or influenced by statutory inspection reports (Howard *et al.*, 2018.p. 1017-1030). Although there maybe difficulties in distinguishing a clear definition, it is essential that settings maintain a high level of quality to achieve the National Minimum Standards (NMS) Section 18, the outcomes set out within the Curriculum and Assessment (Wales) Act (2021), Estyn Inspection Framework and the UNCRC's rights for the best interests for the child (Care Inspectorate Wales, 2022.; Estyn, 2021.; UNICEF UK, 2024). Addressing quality standards, enables legal requirements set out in the Children Act (1989.; 2004)

To enable settings to achieve a high-quality standard, Sollars (2020.p. 613-630), and Barbar (2018) discuss, that it is essential to acknowledge Bronfenbrenner (2007) and Vygotsky *et al.*, (1978) theories and beliefs on gaining high achievement outcomes.

Practitioners must appreciate, that a quality learning environment, includes more than the physical space available and includes knowledgeable adults, inclusive open-ended play, and challenge opportunities for all children (Rodd, 2021).

Hayes (2024); Huang *et al.*, (2024); and Hayes *et al.*, (2023) explain that to achieve a high-quality setting, reflective practice must be undertaken in accordance with research-based standards. This will enable settings to provide the children, and families they serve with a best practice approach. Expanding further, the Department for Education (2017) and NDNA (2023), stating that when participating in reflective practice, settings have the ability to assess staff ratios qualifications and training; whether the curriculum provided is age appropriate; and whether the learning environment is positive and safe. By reviewing these aspects, it enables practitioners to provide the children within their care with the support, engaging experiences, and positive warm interactions. When all aspects are addressed, practitioners have the ability to respond to the needs of each individual child and their families (Pianta *et al.*, 2016.p. 119-137).

Siraj-Blatchford (2002) and Siraj-Blatchford and Sylva., (2010.p. 713-730) discuss that for a child to achieve effective and positive outcomes, it is essential that they are provided with quality provisions. Therefore, it is necessary for practitioners to understand that when children are provided with high quality provisions, it yields a profound effect on a child's cognitive, communication and language attainment (Welsh Government, 2014., Foundation Years, 2014). Furthermore, the Welsh Government (2013) document 'Building a Brighter Future', explains that high-quality early years provisions significantly influence a child's future life experiences.

NDNA (2023) and the Foundation Years (2014), consider that high quality provisions and opportunities enable children to achieve not only higher outcomes at school but also develop superior cognitive, emotional, and social abilities. However, when provided with poor provisions, opportunities and support, children lack the necessary learning and development outcomes, required to become a life-long learner (Manning *et al.*, 2019.p. 370-415.; Foundation Years, 2014). NDNA (2023) explain that positive experiences, responsive and stable adult relationships, within an environment which is supportive and safe are crucial in a child's brain development. OECD (2015.p. 165-200) discusses further, that when provided with high quality

care, children not only excel within an academic capacity, but due to their developmental capabilities in reasoning, they show less aggressive behaviour and have the ability to socialise with others. When provided with opportunities within a child-centred environment, children are able to develop their creativity and important life skill needed to lead a happy life (Hayes, 2024).

Due to the increasing awareness and significant emphasise on high quality early years provision, there have been numerous studies performed. These include the Study of Early Education and Development (SEED) implemented by Melhuish and Gardiner, (2021); Siraj and Melhuish (2015) Effective Pre-school, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE); and Siraj-Blatchford and Sylva's (2010.p. 713-730) Researching Effective Pedagogy in the Early Years (REPEY).

Data evidence retrieved from these research projects, reinforces the concept that for children to achieve lifelong positive outcomes, they must be provided with quality and effective early years pedagogy (Melhuish and Gardiner, 2021.; Siraj and Melhuish, 2015.; Siraj-Blatchford and Sylva, 2010.p. 713-730). Discuss that when children are provided with a quality learning environment, it enables the child to attain positive and long-term progress and social development (Siraj and Melhuish, 2015). Siraj-Blatchford and Sylva, (2010.p. 713-730) explain that to achieve high quality, a setting much provide children with 'sustained shared thinking' opportunities. These enabling environments permit children to explore freely their interests in a safe environment and where provisions are balanced between free play and teacher-initiated activities. This 'sustained shared thinking' environment, makes provision for settings to adopt social and behaviour policies, permitting children and practitioners the ability to rationalise situations and conflict Siraj-Blatchford and Sylva, (2010.p. 713-730). The SEED report goes further to state that when children are provided with high quality provisions, children from all socio-economic backgrounds are furnished with the necessary tools and experience to attain higher academic and life outcomes (Melhuish and Gardiner, 2021). By providing access to positive interactions and opportunities to explore their own learning and interests, not only influence a child's early years language, reading and mathematics development, but also influences their predicted GCSE results (Department for Education, 2017). Siraj-Blatchford and Sylva, (2010.p. 713-730) explain that to achieve high quality, a setting

much provide children with 'sustained shared thinking' opportunities (Siraj and Melhuish, 2024).

Crosse (2022) clarifies that, to develop a high standard of quality, practitioners must be encouraged to reflect on their practise, the child's learning environment and the support given for the child to achieve a deep-level learning experience. For this section of the review, the Leuven Scale, and the Baby Effective Early Learning Program (BEEL) will be discussed further.

Unlike other assessment tools, the Leuven Scale enables practitioners to measure pre-school children's personal, emotional, and social developmental skills in accordance with the Welsh Curriculum (Welsh Government, 2020). Although there are 4 different Leuven Scale measure that measure a child's development, within pre-school settings, measurements focusing on the child's well-being and involvement are most commonly used (Laevers, 1997.; 2000). As an evidence-based approach, the Leuven scale enables practitioners to observe children through play-based activities, to assess both the child's communication and language development in addition to their emotional development and social interaction (MacRae & Jones, 2020). This form of pedagogical assessment, compel practitioners to adapt and react to the child's needs, ensuring that the child is placed at the centre of their own learning experience. Laevers (2017), and MacRae & Jones, (2020) state that when a child's area of interest has been identified, it enables practitioners to pinpoint the best resources needed for the individual child's engagement. Georgeson & Payler, (2013) discusses this further by stating that when a child achieves high level engagement within an activity, there is a tendency that the child's level of emotional wellbeing will also be high. When observed, children with a high level of emotional wellbeing, demonstrate confidence and easy within their surroundings, engage with others or discuss the task with themselves and demonstrate expressive and spontaneous actions and movements (Laevers, 1997, 2000.p. 20-29). However, like numerous assessment tools, the Leuven Scale does have its draw backs. Although observational practise still applies to Additional Learning Needs, the observational prescriptive and reductive classifications can be limited or inaccurate. Resulting from, the lack or reduced presents of described behaviours within the scale, especially apparent in children who are non-verbal (MacRae & Jones, 2020.p. 234-246.; Georgeson & Payler, 2013). Furthermore, like

Bowlby's Attachment Theory (Bowlby, 2014. p. 209-217), the Leuven Scale does not take into consideration cultural differences and the different cultural behaviours (Robinson and Jones-Diaz, 2016). MacRae & Jones, (2020.p. 234-246) and Georgeson & Payler (2013) state that when subjected to continuous assessment's, children can experience undue pressures and as a result, this over-reliance and limited evidence can contribute to a narrowed learning experience.

Despite these issue, the Leuven Scale provides a scientific; practical, unique, and useable method of measuring a child's engagement and emotional wellbeing. By taking consideration of the children's emotional health, practitioners can provide a supportive environment, which is paramount in providing quality provisions (Laevens, 1997, 2000. p. 20-29, 2017.; MacRae & Jones, 2020.p. 234-246.; Georgeson & Payler, 2013).

In conjunction with the Leuven Scale and Vygotsky (1962) 'Zone of Proximal Development', the Baby Effective Early Learning Program (BEEL), enables practitioners to evaluate the quality of the interaction of children during activities and additionally the provisions available within the setting (REF). Despite the fact that the (BEEL) assessments follow the same observational techniques as the Leuven Scale, BEEL supports self-evaluation and improvement (Bertram & Pascal, 2006). This reflection-on-action process permits practitioners to focus on their own practice, other than a result-centred approach, to maintain a method of learning that is fresh (Barros Araújo, 2015. p. 249-259; Langston, 2019). This proactive approach provides opportunities for practitioners to evaluate their responses to practice issues such as repetitiveness or a lack of child participation. This observational reflective practice enables individuals to obtain feedback from stakeholders, such as children, parents, and colleagues (Langston, 2019). However, some individuals find this a daunting prospect and others believe it is an opportunity to criticise colleagues on a personal basis, it is nevertheless essential to determine the strengths and weaknesses in both practice and provisions. Bertram and Pascal, (2006) explains that the BEEL Program identifies the baseline of the NMS, however the intention is to use this baseline as a starting point. When individuals are provided with feedback from the observation process, it enables areas of improvement to be recognised (Langston, 2019). Accurate self-evaluation is critical in achieving quality, so it is necessary for practitioners to focus on their own identified improvement priorities, other than an

outsiders opinion (Barros Araújo, 2015. p. 249-259.; Langston, 2019). By utilising a self-assessment guide, it enables practitioners to consider factors that influence the strengths and weaknesses of their practice (Langston, 2019). When identifying weaknesses, individuals must be aware that some personal improvements are beyond their control and cannot be achieved without the help of others (Barros Araújo, 2015. p. 249-259.). NASUWT (2015.p 26); Department of Education (2021. p 8); and Estyn (2021) all disclose that although self-evaluation is essential in providing high quality provision and critical for professional development, it should however not prove to be burdensome and does not require a specific format. Even so, this evaluation tool, is vital in yielding the relevant information required for practitioners to adapt and improve their planning and practice. By taking these considerations into account, the setting has the ability to provide children with a child-centred learning environment, which enables them to achieve a healthy, lifelong learning aptitude (Langston, 2019.; Welsh Government, 2020).

When used simultaneously, the Leuven Scale and BEEL program, provided settings with the ability to address the emotional wellbeing and involvement of all children in all activities. Whilst both have their issues, they do nonetheless challenge professional practice. Enabling children to access quality child-centred learning opportunities to develop their academic, emotional, and social wellbeing (Woods, 2016., Birth to Five Matters, 2010).

In conclusion, settings must strive to achieve a high level of quality, by challenging and adapting their learning environment and professional practice. Vygotsky *et al.*, (1978) and Bronfenbrenner (2007) theories state that for a child to achieve high academic and lifelong learning skills, they must have access to high quality provisions and opportunities. Utilising assessment tool such as the Leuven Scale and BEEL, enables practitioners to gather up-to-date evidence required to support and implement a quality improvement action plan (Bertram & Pascal, 2006).

Systematic evidence collection enables practitioners to demonstrate their ability to meet and adapt their practice, needed to achieve outcomes set out in the Early Years Framework, the National Minimum Standards, and the Estyn Inspection Framework (UK Government, 2021.; Care Inspectorate Wales, 2022.; Estyn, 2021). By evaluating practitioners level of training, relationships, and interactions with the children, in conjunction with the observations of child's emotional wellbeing and

activity interaction, practitioners can identify considerations which can impact on the quality of provisions provided (Care Inspectorate Wales, 2022).

Reference List

Barber, J. (2018) *Effective leadership and management in the EYFS*. London: Practical Pre-School Books, A Division of MA Education Ltd.

Barros Araújo, S. (2015) 'Professional development within the *effective early learning programme*: A contribution to a participatory and context-sensitive approach to ECEC evaluation', *Early Years*, 35(3), pp. 249–259.
doi:10.1080/09575146.2014.995599.

Bertram, T. and Pascal, C. (2006) *Baby Effective Early Learning - Improving Quality in Early Childhood Settings for Children from Birth to Three Years*. Amber Publishing.

Birth to Five Matters (2010) *The National Strategies Early Years, Challenging practice to further improve learning, playing and interacting in the Early Years Foundation Stage*. Available at: https://www.birhtto5matters.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Challenging_Practice_to_Further_Improve_Learning_Playing_and_Interacting_in_the_EYFS.pdf (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Bowlby, J. (2014) 'Attachment theory and society', *John Bowlby and Attachment Theory*, pp. 209–217. doi:10.4324/9781315879772-21.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (2007) *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Care Inspectorate Wales (2022) *How we inspect childcare and play services, How we inspect childcare and play services*. Available at: https://www.careinspectorate.wales/how-we-inspect-childcare-and-play-services?_ga=2.114711047.143634697.1641892563-444537779.1641892563 (Accessed: 11 March 2024).

Children Act 1989 (1989) *Legislation.gov.uk*. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1989/41> (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Children Act 2004 (2004) *Legislation.gov.uk*. Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2004/31/contents> (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Cottle, M. and Alexander, E. (2012) 'Quality in early years settings: Government, research and Practitioners' Perspectives', *British Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), pp. 635–654. doi:10.1080/01411926.2011.571661.

Curriculum and Assessment (Wales) Act 2021 (2021), *Legislation.gov.uk*.

Available at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/asc/2021/4/contents/enacted>

(Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Department for Education (2015) *Pre-school influences on children and Young People's outcomes*, GOV.UK. Available at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/pre-school-influences-on-children-and-young-peoples-outcomes> (Accessed: 09 April 2024).

Department for Education (2017) *Good practice in early education*, GOV.UK.

Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/good-practice-in-early-education> (Accessed: 09 April 2024).

Department for Education (2021) *Teachers' standards*, GOV.UK. Available at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/teachers-standards> (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Estyn (2021) *Guidance handbook for inspecting care and education ...*. Available at: [https://www.estyn.gov.wales/system/files/2023-01/Guidance handbook for inspecting care and education in regulated non-school settings eligible for funding for part-time education.pdf](https://www.estyn.gov.wales/system/files/2023-01/Guidance%20handbook%20for%20inspecting%20care%20and%20education%20in%20regulated%20non-school%20settings%20eligible%20for%20funding%20for%20part-time%20education.pdf) (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Foundation Years (2014) *Quality Improvement , Foundation Years* . Available at:

<https://foundationyears.org.uk/pedagogy-early-learning/quality-improvement/> (Accessed: 12 March 2024).

Georgeson, J. and Payler, J. (2013) *International Perspectives on early childhood education and care*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Hayes, N. (2024) *Early Years practice: Getting it right from the start*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.

Hayes, N., O'Toole, L. and Halpenny, A.M. (2023) *Introducing Bronfenbrenner a guide for practitioners and students in early years education*. London: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Howard, S.J. *et al.* (2018) 'Measuring interactional quality in pre-school settings: Introduction and validation of the sustained shared thinking and emotional wellbeing

(SSTEW) scale', *Early Child Development and Care*, 190(7), pp. 1017–1030. doi:10.1080/03004430.2018.1511549.

Huang, R., Siraj, I. and Melhuish, E. (2024) 'Promoting effective teaching and learning through a professional development program: A randomized controlled trial.', *Journal of Educational Psychology* [Preprint]. doi:10.1037/edu0000851.

Laevers, F. (1997) *Assessing the quality of childcare provision: 'involvement' as criterion*. Available at: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Assessing-the-quality-of-childcare-provision:-as-Laevers/611c6dfbde852be641af318c64f11b29569700ce> (Accessed: 03 April 2024).

Laevers, F. (2000) 'Forward to basics! Deep-level-learning and the experiential approach', *Early Years*, 20(2), pp. 20–29. doi:10.1080/0957514000200203.

Laevers, F. (2017) *How are children doing in ECEC? Monitoring Quality within a process-oriented approach*, *Monitoring Quality in Early Childhood Education and Care*. Available at: <https://d-nb.info/1151406163/34> (Accessed: 03 April 2024).

Langston, A. (2019) *Evaluating early years practice in your school: A practical tool for reflective teaching*. London, UK: Featherstone.

MacRae, C. and Jones, L. (2020) 'A philosophical reflection on the "Leuven Scale" and young children's expressions of involvement', *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, 36(2), pp. 234–246. doi:10.1080/09518398.2020.1828650.

Manning, M. et al. (2019) 'Is teacher qualification associated with the quality of the Early Childhood Education and care environment? A meta-analytic review', *Review of Educational Research*, 89(3), pp. 370–415. doi:10.3102/0034654319837540.

Mathers, S., Singler, R. and Karemaker, A. (2012) *Improving quality in the early years: A comparison of perspectives and measures*. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270747368_Improving_Quality_in_the_Early_Years_A_Comparison_of_Perspectives_and_Measures (Accessed: 10 April 2024).

Melhuish, E. and Gardiner, J. (2021) *Study of early education and development (SEED)*, GOV.UK. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/study-of-early-education-and-development-seed> (Accessed: 15 March 2024).

Moss, P. (2016) 'Why can't we get beyond quality?', *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 17(1), pp. 8–15. doi:10.1177/1463949115627895.

NASUWT (2015) *Early career teachers and the teachers' standards*. Available at: <https://www.nasuwt.org.uk/advice/in-the-classroom/professionalism/teacher-training-professionalism-england-/early-career-teachers-and-teachers-standards.html> (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

NDNA (2023) *Childcare Quality Improvement & Self Evaluation*. Available at: <https://ndna.org.uk/childcare-quality-improvement-self-evaluation/> (Accessed: 11 April 2024).

OECD (2015) 'Monitoring Child Development and outcomes in early childhood education and care (ECEC)', *Starting Strong*, pp. 165–200. doi:10.1787/9789264233515-8-en.

Pianta, R., Downer, J. and Hamre, B. (2016) 'Quality in early education classrooms: Definitions, gaps, and systems', *The Future of Children*, 26(2), pp. 119–137. doi:10.1353/foc.2016.0015.

Robinson, K.H. and Jones-Diaz, C. (2016) *Diversity and difference in childhood: Issues for theory and Practice*. London: Open University Press/McGraw-Hill Education.

Rodd, J. (2021) *Leadership in early childhood: The pathway to professionalism*. London: Routledge.

Siraj, I. and Melhuish, E. (2015) *Effective Pre-school, Primary and Secondary Education (EPPSE)*, GOV.UK. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/eppse-3-to-14-years> (Accessed: 09 April 2024).

Siraj, I., Kingston, D. and Melhuish, E.C. (2024) *The sustained shared thinking and emotional well-being (SSTEWE) scale: Supporting process quality in early childhood*. London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.

Siraj-Blatchford, I. (2002) *Researching effective pedagogy in the early years*. London: Department for Education and Skills.

Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Sylva, K. (2010) 'Researching pedagogy in English pre-schools', *British Educational Research Journal*, 30(5), pp. 713–730.
doi:10.1080/0141192042000234665.

Sollars, V. (2020) 'Reflecting on "quality" in early childhood education: Practitioners' perspectives and voices', *Early Years*, 42(4–5), pp. 613–630.
doi:10.1080/09575146.2020.1849034.

Torii, K., Fox, S. and Cloney, D. (2017) *Quality is key in early childhood education in Australia, Site*.

Available at: https://research.acer.edu.au/early_childhood_misc/10/

(Accessed: 15 March 2024).

UNICEF UK (2024) *UN Convention on the rights of the child, UNICEF UK*.

Available at: <https://www.unicef.org.uk/what-we-do/un-convention-child-rights/>

(Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Vygotsky, L.S. (1962) 'The zone of proximal development', *Lev Vygotsky* [Preprint].
doi:10.5040/9781472541437.ch-004.

Vygotsky, L.S. *et al.* (1978) *Mind in society: The development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Welsh Government (2013) *Building a Brighter Future: Early Years and childcare plan*.

Available at: <https://dera.ioe.ac.uk/18045/1/130716-building-brighter-future-en.pdf>

(Accessed: 11 April 2024).

Welsh Government (2020) *Health and well-being: Introduction, Hwb*.

Available at: <https://hwb.gov.wales/curriculum-for-wales/health-and-well-being/>

(Accessed: 10 April 2024).

Woods, A. (2016) *Examining levels of involvement in the early years: Engaging with children's possibilities*. Routledge.