

Individual written report

Module: Developing Emotional Intelligence Skills

Module code: LCLM5006

Lecture: Nishi: Nishi Ramsurrun

Student Name: Slawomir Gora

Student Number:2336470

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Emotional Intelligence in the Workplace	2
2.1 Understanding Emotional Intelligence	2
2.2 Key Components of Emotional Intelligence	3
2.3 Application of Emotional Intelligence in Employees	5
2.4 Critical Evaluation of Emotional Intelligence Models	7
3. Generational Differences in the Workplace	9
3.1 Overview of Generations (X, Y and Z)	9
3.2 Key Characteristics of Each Generation	10
3.3 Impact of Generational Differences on Emotional Intelligence	12
3.4 Implications for Management and Recruitment	13
4. Conclusion	15
5. Recommendations	16
6. Reference List	17

INTRODUCTION

Emotional intelligence has become one of the most important skills in today's workplace, especially in environments where teamwork, communication and adaptability are essential. It is no longer enough for employees and managers to have technical knowledge; understanding emotions, both their own and those of others, plays a key role in how people interact, solve problems and perform at work.

This report explores the concept of emotional intelligence and its relevance within organisational settings, focusing on how employees can apply its main components in real-life situations. Different emotional intelligence models will be discussed to provide a clearer understanding of how these skills can be developed and used effectively in the workplace.

In addition, the report will examine how generational differences influence behaviour, communication styles and emotional responses at work. With multiple generations such as Generation X, Millennials (Generation Y) and Generation Z working together, it is important for managers to recognise these differences in order to create a more inclusive and productive working environment.

The aim of this report is to combine theory with practical application, showing not only what emotional intelligence is, but also how it can be used to improve workplace relationships, decision-making and overall performance.

2. Emotional Intelligence in the Workplace

2.1 Understanding Emotional Intelligence

Emotional intelligence (EI) refers to the ability to recognise, understand and manage one's own emotions, as well as the emotions of others. In the workplace, this skill is essential because it influences how individuals communicate, respond to challenges and build relationships with colleagues. Unlike technical skills, emotional intelligence focuses more on behaviour and interpersonal interactions, which are key in team-based environments.

One of the most widely recognised definitions comes from Goleman, who describes emotional intelligence as a set of competencies that determine how people manage themselves and their relationships. These competencies are not fixed and can be developed over time, making emotional intelligence a valuable skill for both employees and managers.

Another important perspective is provided by Mayer and Salovey, who view emotional intelligence as a cognitive ability involving the processing of emotional information. Their model focuses on skills such as perceiving emotions, using emotions to facilitate thinking, understanding emotional meanings and managing emotions effectively. This approach highlights that emotional intelligence is not only about behaviour but also about how individuals think and make decisions.

In the workplace, emotional intelligence is particularly relevant in situations involving stress, conflict or teamwork. For example, an employee with high emotional intelligence is more likely to remain calm under pressure, communicate clearly and resolve conflicts in a constructive way. On the other hand, low emotional intelligence can lead to misunderstandings, poor communication and reduced team performance.

However, while emotional intelligence is widely valued, it is important to recognise that it is not a solution to all workplace challenges. Some critics argue that the concept can be too broad and difficult to measure accurately, which may limit its practical application in organisations. Despite this, most research supports the idea that emotional intelligence contributes positively to individual and organisational performance.

x 2.2 Key Components of Emotional Intelligence

Emotional intelligence is commonly broken down into a set of core components that explain how individuals understand and manage emotions in the workplace. One of the most widely used frameworks is Goleman's model, which identifies five main elements: self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy and social skills. Each of these plays an important role in how employees behave and interact at work.

Self-awareness refers to the ability to recognise and understand one's own emotions. In a workplace context, this means being aware of how feelings can influence behaviour and decision-making. For example, an employee who is aware that they are feeling stressed or frustrated is more likely to pause and think before reacting, rather than responding impulsively. This helps prevent unnecessary conflict and supports more professional behaviour.

Self-regulation is closely linked to self-awareness and involves controlling or managing emotional responses. Employees with strong self-regulation are able to stay calm under pressure, adapt to change and avoid negative reactions such as anger or impatience. This is particularly important in high-pressure environments, where emotional reactions can affect team dynamics and performance.

Motivation, in terms of emotional intelligence, goes beyond external rewards such as salary. It refers to an individual's internal drive to achieve goals and maintain a positive attitude. Employees who are emotionally intelligent tend to be more resilient, focused and committed to their work, even when facing challenges. This can lead to higher levels of productivity and job satisfaction.

Empathy is the ability to understand and consider the emotions of others. In the workplace, this is essential for effective communication and teamwork. For example, a manager who shows empathy towards employees is more likely to build trust and create a supportive environment. Similarly, employees who understand their colleagues' perspectives are better at resolving conflicts and working collaboratively.

Finally, social skills involve the ability to manage relationships and communicate effectively with others. This includes skills such as active listening, teamwork, conflict resolution and influencing others. Strong social skills enable employees to build positive relationships, contribute to team success and create a more cooperative working environment.

These components work together to shape how individuals respond to different workplace situations. While some people may naturally demonstrate these skills, they can also be developed over time through experience, reflection and training. However, it is important to note that not all individuals will apply these components in

the same way, as personal, cultural and situational factors can influence emotional behaviour.

2.3 Application of Emotional Intelligence in Employees

Emotional intelligence is not only a theoretical concept but a practical skill that employees use in their daily work. Its application can be seen in how individuals communicate, manage stress, resolve conflicts and collaborate with others. Employees who effectively apply emotional intelligence tend to contribute to a more positive and productive working environment.

One key area where emotional intelligence is applied is communication. Employees with high emotional intelligence are able to express themselves clearly while also considering how their message may affect others. For example, when giving feedback, an emotionally intelligent employee will choose their words carefully to avoid causing offence, while still being honest and constructive. This helps maintain professional relationships and encourages open communication within teams.

Another important application is in managing workplace stress. Many jobs involve pressure, deadlines and unexpected challenges. Employees who can regulate their emotions are better able to stay calm and focused in these situations. Instead of reacting with frustration or anxiety, they are more likely to approach problems in a rational and solution-focused way. This not only improves individual performance but also reduces tension within the team.

Emotional intelligence also plays a significant role in conflict resolution. Disagreements are common in any workplace, especially when people have different opinions or backgrounds. Employees who demonstrate empathy and self-control are more capable of understanding different perspectives and finding common ground. For instance, rather than escalating a disagreement, they may listen actively, acknowledge the other person's viewpoint and work towards a compromise.

Teamwork is another area where emotional intelligence is essential. In diverse teams, individuals need to collaborate with people who may think and behave differently. Emotional intelligence helps employees adapt their behaviour, respect others and build trust. This leads to stronger team cohesion and more effective collaboration.

However, while emotional intelligence can improve workplace behaviour, its application is not always consistent. Some employees may understand emotional intelligence in theory but struggle to apply it in practice, especially in stressful or unfamiliar situations. Additionally, organisational culture can influence how emotional intelligence is expressed. For example, in highly competitive environments, emotional awareness may be undervalued compared to performance outcomes.

Overall, the application of emotional intelligence in employees is essential for effective communication, problem-solving and teamwork. While it offers clear benefits, its effectiveness depends on individual willingness to develop these skills and the support provided by the organisation.

2.4 Critical Evaluation of Emotional Intelligence Models

Emotional intelligence has been widely studied through different theoretical models, each offering a unique perspective on how emotions influence behaviour and performance in the workplace. While these models provide useful frameworks for understanding emotional intelligence, they also have limitations that need to be critically evaluated.

One of the most influential models is Goleman's mixed model, which focuses on emotional competencies such as self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy and social skills. This model is particularly popular in organisational settings because it links emotional intelligence directly to workplace performance and leadership effectiveness. A key strength of Goleman's model is its practical application, as it provides clear areas that employees and managers can develop to improve their behaviour and interactions.

However, despite its popularity, Goleman's model has been criticised for being too broad and lacking clear scientific measurement. Some researchers argue that it combines personality traits, behaviours and emotional skills into a single concept, making it difficult to define and assess accurately. This can create challenges for organisations trying to measure emotional intelligence objectively, especially during recruitment or performance evaluations.

In contrast, the ability model developed by Mayer and Salovey takes a more scientific and structured approach. It defines emotional intelligence as a cognitive ability that involves processing emotional information. This model includes four key branches: perceiving emotions, using emotions to facilitate thinking, understanding emotions and managing emotions. One of the main strengths of this model is that it attempts to measure emotional intelligence using standardised tests, such as the MSCEIT (Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test).

The ability model is often considered more reliable from an academic perspective because it focuses on measurable skills rather than subjective traits. However, its main limitation is that it may not fully capture how emotional intelligence is used in real workplace situations. While someone may score highly on a test, this does not always mean they will demonstrate strong emotional intelligence in practice, especially in complex social interactions.

Another model worth considering is the trait model proposed by Petrides, which views emotional intelligence as a set of emotional self-perceptions rather than abilities. This model focuses on how individuals perceive their own emotional skills, often measured through self-report questionnaires. A strength of this approach is

that it recognises the importance of personality and individual differences in emotional behaviour.

Nevertheless, the trait model also faces criticism due to its reliance on self-assessment. Individuals may overestimate or underestimate their abilities, leading to inaccurate results. This reduces the reliability of the model, particularly in professional contexts where objective assessment is important.

When comparing these models, it becomes clear that no single approach fully captures the complexity of emotional intelligence. Goleman's model is useful for practical application in the workplace, but lacks scientific precision. Mayer and Salovey's model offers a more structured and measurable framework, but may not reflect real-life behaviour. Meanwhile, the trait model highlights personal perception, but suffers from subjectivity.

In a workplace context, a combined approach may be the most effective. Organisations can benefit from using Goleman's model to develop emotional competencies, while also considering the structured assessment methods from the ability model. This allows for both practical development and more objective evaluation.

Emotional intelligence models provide valuable insights into human behaviour, but they should not be applied without critical consideration. Understanding both their strengths and limitations enables organisations and individuals to use these models more effectively, rather than relying on them as complete or definitive explanations of workplace behaviour.

3. Generational Differences in the Workplace

3.1 Overview of Generations (X, Y and Z)

Modern workplaces are increasingly made up of employees from different generations, each with their own values, experiences and ways of working. The most common generations currently present in organisations are Generation X, Millennials (Generation Y) and Generation Z. Understanding these groups is important because their differences can influence communication, motivation and overall workplace behaviour.

Generation X typically refers to individuals born between the mid-1960s and early 1980s. This group grew up during a period of economic and social change, which has shaped them into independent and adaptable individuals. They are often seen as practical, self-reliant and focused on work-life balance. In the workplace, they tend to value stability, clear structure and professional development.

Millennials, or Generation Y, are generally those born between the early 1980s and mid-1990s. This generation experienced rapid technological growth and increased access to education. As a result, they are often described as confident, collaborative and open to change. Millennials tend to value purpose in their work, opportunities for growth and regular feedback from managers.

Generation Z includes individuals born from the late 1990s onwards. This is the first generation to grow up fully in the digital age, with constant access to technology and social media. They are often characterised as highly adaptable, fast learners and comfortable with change. In the workplace, they tend to prefer flexibility, innovation and clear communication.

Although these generational categories provide a useful framework, it is important to recognise that not every individual will fit perfectly into these descriptions. Personal experiences, culture and personality also play a significant role in shaping behaviour. However, understanding general generational trends can help organisations manage diverse teams more effectively.

3.2 Key Characteristics of Each Generation

Although generational categories provide a general overview, each group shows distinct characteristics that can influence how individuals behave and interact in the workplace. These differences are particularly visible in areas such as communication style, attitudes towards work, use of technology and expectations from employers.

Generation X is often characterised by independence and a strong sense of responsibility. Having experienced economic uncertainty and organisational changes during their early careers, they tend to value job security and stability. In terms of communication, they usually prefer direct and professional interactions, often favouring face-to-face conversations or emails over informal digital communication. They are also known for being self-sufficient, meaning they may require less supervision compared to younger employees. However, this independence can sometimes make them appear less collaborative or resistant to new ways of working.

Millennials, in contrast, are generally more collaborative and team-oriented. They grew up in an environment that encouraged participation and feedback, which is reflected in their expectations at work. They often seek regular communication with managers and value recognition for their contributions. Millennials are also highly comfortable with technology and tend to embrace digital tools to improve efficiency. Additionally, they are more likely to prioritise job satisfaction and personal development over long-term job security. While these traits can lead to innovation and engagement, they may also be perceived by older generations as a lack of loyalty or impatience.

Generation Z brings a different perspective, shaped by growing up in a fully digital and fast-paced world. They are highly adaptable and capable of processing information quickly, often preferring short and direct communication methods such as instant messaging. This generation tends to value flexibility and diversity, and they are often more open to change compared to previous generations. However, their preference for fast communication and immediate results can sometimes lead to challenges in maintaining focus or dealing with more complex, long-term tasks.

When comparing these generations, it becomes clear that differences in communication and expectations can create both opportunities and challenges. For example, while Generation X may prefer structured communication, Generation Z may favour speed and informality. Similarly, Millennials' desire for feedback can be beneficial for engagement, but may also require managers to invest more time in communication.

Despite these differences, it is important to avoid stereotyping individuals based solely on their generation. Not all members of a generation will behave in the same way, and there is often overlap between groups. However, recognising these general patterns allows organisations to better understand employee behaviour and adapt management strategies accordingly.

3.3 Impact of Generational Differences on Emotional Intelligence

Generational differences can have a significant impact on how emotional intelligence is expressed and applied in the workplace. Each generation has developed different communication styles, emotional responses and expectations, which can influence how individuals understand and manage emotions in professional environments.

One key area where generational differences affect emotional intelligence is communication. For example, Generation X tends to prefer direct and structured communication, which can support clarity but may sometimes lack emotional expression. In contrast, Millennials often place greater importance on open communication and feedback, which can enhance emotional awareness and understanding within teams. Generation Z, on the other hand, prefers fast and digital communication, which can sometimes reduce the depth of emotional interaction and make it harder to interpret non-verbal cues.

These differences can create challenges in emotional understanding between colleagues. For instance, a Generation X manager may interpret a Generation Z employee's brief or informal communication style as disengagement, while the employee may simply be communicating in a way that feels natural to them. This shows how emotional intelligence is not only about individual ability, but also about understanding the context in which communication takes place.

Another important factor is how each generation manages emotions in stressful situations. Generation X employees are often seen as more resilient and independent, which may allow them to manage stress effectively without seeking support. Millennials, however, are generally more open about discussing emotions and may actively seek feedback or reassurance when facing challenges. Generation Z tends to be more aware of mental health issues, but may also experience higher levels of anxiety due to constant exposure to information and pressure through digital environments.

These differences can have both positive and negative effects on emotional intelligence in the workplace. On the positive side, a diverse workforce can enhance emotional understanding by bringing multiple perspectives. For example, Millennials' openness can encourage better communication, while Generation X's experience can provide stability and guidance. Generation Z's adaptability can also contribute to innovative problem-solving.

3.4 Implications for Management and Recruitment

Generational differences affect how managers lead and recruit employees. Managers need to adapt their communication and leadership style to suit different preferences, such as independence in Generation X or the need for feedback in younger generations.

In recruitment, organisations should focus not only on skills but also on emotional intelligence and adaptability. Avoiding stereotypes and treating each candidate individually helps build more balanced teams.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, emotional intelligence plays a key role in improving communication, teamwork and overall performance in the workplace. The use of different emotional intelligence models helps to understand how employees manage emotions, although each model has its own limitations.

Additionally, generational differences influence how emotional intelligence is expressed, creating both challenges and opportunities. Understanding these differences allows organisations to improve collaboration and reduce misunderstandings.

Overall, combining emotional intelligence with awareness of generational diversity can support a more effective and positive working environment.

5. Recommendations

Organisations should support the development of emotional intelligence through training and awareness.

Managers should adapt their leadership style to suit different generations.

Recruitment should consider emotional intelligence and adaptability.

Promoting clear communication can improve teamwork and reduce conflict.

References

Culture Amp (2024) *Employee engagement strategies*. Available at: <https://www.cultureamp.com> (Accessed: 20 April 2026).

Noba Project (n.d.) *Emotional intelligence*. Available at: <https://nobaproject.com> (Accessed: 20 April 2026).

Workday (n.d.) *Engaging the workforce across generations*. Available at: <https://forms.workday.com> (Accessed: 20 April 2026).

CIPD (2023) *The role of emotions at work*. Available at: <https://www.cipd.org> (Accessed: 23 April 2026).

Brainz Magazine (2023) *Bridging the EQ gap: Emotional intelligence across generations*. Available at: <https://www.brainzmagazine.com> (Accessed: 23 April 2026).

Wen, J., Huang, S. (Sam) and Hou, P. (2019) "Emotional intelligence, emotional labor, perceived organizational support, and job satisfaction: A moderated mediation model," *International journal of hospitality management*, 81, pp. 120–130. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.01.009>.

Faronbi, S. (2020) *Emotional intelligence : your success as a leader depends on it*. London: Henry Stewart Talks.
https://librarysearch.uwtsd.ac.uk/permalink/44WHELF_UWTSD/1vbe9ev/alma99100590163102421