

MAJOR PROJECT - Final Report – 55%

Student Name:	Aaron Cowdry
Title of Project:	Security Penetration Testing...
Supervisor:	Carlene
2 nd marker:	Kaplan
Course:	BIT BusComp CIS <u>CNets</u> CSE Electrical Games Mobile TRE SE Web (please circle)
Project Type:	Survey Review <u>Experiment</u> Development (please circle)

	Mark %
First Marker's General Comments and Original Mark It a good report that basically met all the aim & objectives. More discussions & Analysis required	51
Second Marker's General Comments and Original Mark	
Comment After Consultation With Other Marker & Final Agreed Mark Agreed on 51%.	51%

Signature:  Date: 13/5/2017

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
1. Research-informed Literature				
Introduction	/5	/5	3/5	/5
Literature Review	/20	/35	12/20	/15

Comments:

A brief description of what is coming in the following chapters is missing.

You have done well in analysing what others have done in the area. You could include research terms & terminologies used from books and papers that will help in analysing & describe problems & solutions in chapters to come

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
2. Knowledge and Understanding of Subject				
Methodology	/5	/5	2.5/5	/5
Survey Design	/15	-	-	-
Requirements/Design	-	-	-	/5

Comments:

You need to relate the methodologies to your project. Why you have chosen those methods? What advantages or disadvantages they have on your project?

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
3. Analysis				
Results & Discussions	/25	/25	13/25	/25
Conclusions and Recommendations	/10	/10	4.5/10	/10

Comments:

The attacks carried out was described but required more analysis & discussions of the results.
 You have summarised what you have done and give recommendations

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
4. Practical Application and Deployment				
Development	-	-	-	/15
Experimental Design	-	-	8.5/15	-

Comments:

You have explain your design problems but you didn't completed this section.
 It would be good to explain the decision taken to make the project viable.
 Some of the figures in the implementation could be annotated or give some technical details of the process of what is happening

	Survey	Review	Experiment	Development
5. Skills for Professional Practice				
Reflection	/10	/10	5/10	/10
Quality of Report	/10	/10	5/10	/10
Comments: You have given a reflection of how you believe you have done in the project. The organisation of the report is good but the report itself lacks detail explanation, discussion & analysis. More peer reviewed & updated papers required				
TOTAL:	/100	/100	50/100	/100