


Research Participation Log 2017/2018



Level 4 Research
Methods (ECPS4001)

Study One Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Researcher was professional and treated me with respect. Maintained confidentiality, used a code to conceal identity. Was given a consent form so I could understand the 'nature, purpose, and anticipated consequences of any professional services or research participation' (Code of Human Research Ethics, 2018) before signing. Researcher was friendly and was given a debrief form to understand the overall study, was given opportunity to ask further questions if needed.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Study was online so could only discuss via email, debrief form was shown on screen after end of study. I felt the debrief form debriefed me fully as I understood why I took part in the study and how my data would be used afterwards.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Presentation of the questionnaire was based on a 1-5 scale. Would recommend moving it to 1-10 to allow for greater variability.

Name (PRINT):LAURENCE JONES

Sign: _____

Date:_____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to fill out a questionnaire measuring the attractiveness of multiple faces presented to me. Once my results were collected the study had ended and I was provided with a debrief form.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I overall had a positive experience with this study, the researcher was friendly and the study was insightful and interesting. The study was paced appropriately so I did not feel uncomfortable filling out the form. The study also was not long enough for me to become distracted or bored.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Was given the debrief form and asked directly if I wanted to ask any questions before I left. I had plenty of opportunity but chose not to.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Found that the faces presented in the study were black and white and was difficult to identify. Was not told if deliberate, but would recommend making faces coloured for clearer viewing for participants.

Name (PRINT):LAURENCE JONES

Sign:

Date:

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Filled out two questionnaires about attitudes towards immigrants, did not know real study purpose until after debrief form presented.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Study was interesting and was enjoyable to fill out. Was able to fill out this study at comfort in my own home which made me more at ease when filling out questionnaire.

Study Three Study Code: SWAN_credit_TB1_online

Study Code: SWAN_credit_TB1_online

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Was assured confidentiality and given a consent form prior to beginning. Study was presented to not cause harm and remained professional not asking any personal questions. (Code of Human Research Ethics, 2018)

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

Study Code: SWAN_credit_AG

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Researcher was unprofessional by loudly talking with another researcher, was unable to concentrate during study. (Code of Human Research Ethics, 2018)

Describe how the ethical guideline were followed?

Researcher presented a consent form initially, and answered questions prior. Was presented with a debrief form after the study had ended.

Note:

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Was given a simple debrief sheet of the study. The researcher did not seem very open to inquiring further about the study, so lacked opportunity.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Presentation used for the study could have been designed more user-friendly, was difficult to initially use. Researcher could act more professionally and formal, allowing me to fill out the task with concentration.

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

Asked to recall German sentences on audio to the control condition 'happy birthday.' Understood the purpose of study before and during the study.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

Mixed, the researcher was friendly and answered any questions I had. However was very unprofessional talking loudly to another researcher, distracting me from the task.