



DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION AND DESIGN THINKING: LOCALLOOP UK



Name: Willian Misael Pereira Mauricio

Module Code: LCLM6002

Student Number: 2333036

Lecturer: Rexon Nting

Contents

Executive Summary	2
1. Introduction	3
2. Task 1 – Innovation Opportunities in the UK Market	4
2.1 Design Thinking and Opportunity Identification	4
2.2 User Pain Points	4
2.3 Market Gaps and Alternative Opportunities.....	5
2.4 Technological Enablers	7
2.5 Scalability and Sustainability.....	7
3. Task 2 – Applying Design Thinking to LocalLoop UK	8
3.1 Venture Concept	8
3.2 Empathise.....	8
3.3 Define and Causal Mapping.....	9
3.4 Ideate and Brainstorming	10
3.5 Prototype and Minimum Viable Product	11
3.6 Test and Refine.....	12
4. Desirability, Feasibility and Viability.....	13
4.1 Desirability.....	13
4.2 Feasibility	14
4.3 Financial Viability	14
4.4 Sustainability and Scalability.....	14
5. Conclusion	15
References.....	17

Design Thinking and Innovation Through Digital Transformation

Executive Summary

Digital transformation has significantly changed the competitive environment for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the United Kingdom. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of e-commerce, digital payments, remote services and home delivery, while many of the resulting consumer expectations have continued beyond the pandemic. Consequently, SMEs increasingly operate in markets where customers expect convenient digital purchasing alongside reliable fulfilment.

This portfolio applies Design Thinking to investigate the innovation opportunities created by this transformation. Task 1 evaluates e-commerce platforms, digital marketing, retail-management software, digital payments and last-mile logistics by considering user pain points, market gaps, technological enablers, scalability and sustainability. Although all five areas present opportunities for innovation, last-mile delivery is identified as particularly attractive because SMEs can establish online sales channels without necessarily possessing the logistics capabilities or economies of scale available to larger retailers.

Task 2 develops LocalLoop UK, a proposed shared last-mile delivery platform for independent retailers and local producers. The five stages of Design Thinking—Empathise, Define, Ideate, Prototype and Test—are applied alongside causal mapping and brainstorming. The proposed minimum viable product (MVP) includes retailer delivery booking, order consolidation, route allocation, delivery-partner functionality and customer tracking.

The analysis concludes that LocalLoop demonstrates potential customer desirability and technical feasibility. However, its commercial viability would depend on achieving sufficient order density within each operating area. A geographically concentrated pilot is therefore recommended before wider expansion. Overall, the portfolio demonstrates how Design Thinking can reduce entrepreneurial uncertainty through user-centred research, experimentation and evidence-based refinement rather than assuming that technological innovation will automatically create commercial value.

1. Introduction

Digital transformation has progressed from being primarily a source of competitive differentiation towards becoming an important organisational capability. Technologies including cloud computing, e-commerce, digital payments, mobile applications, data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) have changed how organisations communicate with customers and coordinate operations. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated this transformation by requiring many businesses to adopt digital methods more rapidly than previously anticipated.

This development is particularly important for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which represent the overwhelming majority of UK businesses but typically possess fewer financial, technological and managerial resources than larger organisations. Department for Business and Trade (2025a) findings show that 69% of SME employers used technology or web-based software to sell to customers or manage their businesses in 2024, compared with 50% in 2022. This demonstrates substantial progress in digital adoption, while also indicating that transformation remains uneven across the SME sector.

However, digital adoption should not be confused with digital capability. An independent retailer may establish an online store and accept electronic payments while still lacking the resources and infrastructure required to provide an integrated customer and fulfilment experience. Radicic and Petković (2023) demonstrate that the impact of digitalisation on SME innovation varies according to factors including firm size and the type of digital technologies adopted. Therefore, innovation opportunities exist not only through introducing new technologies but also by addressing operational problems and capability gaps created by incomplete digital transformation.

Design Thinking provides an appropriate framework for investigating these challenges because it begins with users and their unmet needs rather than assuming that technology itself represents the solution. Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus (2023) conceptualise Design Thinking as a human-centred innovation approach involving experimentation, iteration and learning. However, identifying a desirable solution does not automatically establish whether it is operationally feasible or commercially sustainable. Design Thinking should therefore be complemented by consideration of **desirability, feasibility, viability and wider market conditions**.

This portfolio first critically evaluates innovation opportunities arising from digital transformation in the UK market. It then applies the five stages of Design Thinking—**Empathise, Define, Ideate, Prototype and Test**—to develop **LocalLoop UK**, a proposed shared last-mile delivery venture intended to improve fulfilment accessibility for independent retailers and local producers.

2. Task 1 – Innovation Opportunities in the UK Market

2.1 Design Thinking and Opportunity Identification

Technology-led innovation can begin with a technological capability and subsequently search for customers who might value it. Design Thinking takes a different approach by starting with users, their behaviours and unmet needs. This distinction matters because technological sophistication alone does not necessarily create customer value.

Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus (2023) argue that Design Thinking extends beyond mechanically applying the five stages of Empathise, Define, Ideate, Prototype and Test. Its effectiveness also depends on organisational context, methods and implementation conditions. Similarly, Habicher et al. (2022) highlight its relevance to transformation and resilience within SMEs.

This human-centred approach is particularly relevant to SMEs, which frequently face constraints involving cost, skills and technological knowledge. Department for Business and Trade (2025b) identifies knowledge, implementation and financial barriers to technology adoption among UK SMEs. Recent research also suggests that successful SME digital transformation depends on aligning technological adoption with organisational capabilities and resources (Sagala and Óri, 2024). Innovation may therefore arise not simply from introducing additional technology, but from making existing capabilities more accessible and useful.

However, Design Thinking has limitations. Understanding users does not guarantee financial viability or environmental sustainability, while findings from small samples cannot automatically represent the wider SME market. Design Thinking should therefore reduce entrepreneurial uncertainty rather than be treated as a substitute for market and commercial evaluation.

2.2 User Pain Points

The scenario involves several stakeholders, particularly independent retailers, consumers and delivery partners, whose needs may conflict.

For independent retailers, a major pain point is the gap between selling online and fulfilling orders effectively. Digital technologies have lowered barriers to online selling, but physical products still require packaging, collection and delivery. Large retailers can spread logistics costs across substantial order volumes, whereas independent retailers may generate relatively few deliveries. Operating dedicated vehicles creates wages, insurance, fuel and maintenance costs, while external couriers may result in high costs per order. SMEs can therefore struggle to match larger competitors without reducing already limited margins.

Another problem is technological fragmentation. SMEs may use separate systems for orders, payments, customer communication and courier booking. Department for Business and Trade (2025b) identifies implementation complexity and limited digital expertise among barriers to SME technology adoption. Increasing access to digital tools therefore does not necessarily improve capability when technologies are poorly integrated.

Consumers increasingly expect convenience, reliability and fast delivery. However, these expectations can conflict with sustainable logistics. Nogueira, de Assis Rangel and Shimoda (2021) found that consumers prioritised delivery speed and cost over environmental information, potentially creating pressure for faster delivery even where consolidation would be more efficient.

Delivery partners face fragmented demand, collection delays, failed deliveries and inefficient routes. Ranieri et al. (2018) identify last-mile logistics as involving economic, environmental and operational challenges affecting multiple stakeholders. These competing interests mean that improving convenience for one group may create additional costs or inefficiencies for another.

The Design Thinking challenge is therefore to balance retailer affordability, consumer expectations, delivery efficiency and sustainability rather than maximise benefits for one stakeholder.

2.3 Market Gaps and Alternative Opportunities

The scenario identifies opportunities in e-commerce, digital marketing, retail-management software, digital payments and last-mile delivery. However, market potential must be considered alongside competition, differentiation and barriers to entry.

E-commerce platforms

Continued online purchasing creates demand for e-commerce infrastructure, but established platforms already operate in a highly competitive market. New ventures would therefore require specialist differentiation rather than replicating existing online-store functionality.

Digital marketing

SMEs increasingly require online visibility, while relatively low entry costs make digital marketing accessible to new ventures. However, competition among agencies, freelancers and automated platforms is intense, while generative AI may further reduce differentiation in basic content production. Sustainable advantage would therefore require specialist expertise or capabilities.

Retail-management software

Retail-management software can generate recurring subscription revenue and support inventory, customer relationships and analytics. Bhatti et al. (2025) demonstrate relationships between digital-platform capabilities, networks, innovation and SME performance. However, additional applications may increase fragmentation when systems are poorly integrated. Innovation should therefore simplify rather than add complexity.

Digital payments

Cashless commerce creates opportunities for convenient and secure payments, but strong incumbents, cybersecurity requirements, regulation and consumer trust create substantial entry barriers. Digital payments may consequently be more attractive as an **enabling technology** within another venture than as a standalone opportunity.

Last-mile delivery

Last-mile logistics connects digital commerce with physical fulfilment. SMEs can establish online stores relatively quickly but may lack the logistics scale required to deliver physical products efficiently, creating an opportunity for services that coordinate fragmented local deliveries.

Consolidating compatible orders according to location and delivery time could improve capacity utilisation and economies of scale. Lamas-Fernandez et al. (2024) found that shared consolidation and portering could reduce transport costs by up to 14% in a London case study. Similarly, Schnieder (2024) found that carrier consolidation could reduce vehicle kilometres travelled by up to 53% across England and Wales, although benefits varied by location and delivery conditions.

These findings support consolidation while revealing an important limitation: benefits cannot be assumed to be equal across urban, suburban and rural markets. **Local delivery density is therefore critical to operational efficiency.**

Last-mile delivery is also more operationally complex than purely digital services. Software can accommodate additional users at relatively low marginal cost, whereas physical delivery remains dependent on labour, vehicles, distance and capacity. Rapid expansion without sufficient local demand could therefore increase rather than reduce average costs.

Despite this risk, last-mile logistics represents the strongest opportunity identified because it addresses the gap between SMEs' increasing ability to sell online and their limited fulfilment scale. Evidence from Lamas-Fernandez et al. (2024) and Schnieder (2024) suggests that consolidation can create efficiencies, but customer demand, delivery density and commercial viability must be tested rather than assumed.

2.4 Technological Enablers

Several established technologies make shared local delivery feasible. Cloud computing and mobile technologies allow SMEs to access digital services without maintaining extensive internal infrastructure. For LocalLoop, mobile technology would enable retailers to request collections, delivery partners to receive routes and customers to track deliveries, while GPS and digital mapping could support routing and proof of delivery.

Application programming interfaces (APIs) could later integrate LocalLoop with existing e-commerce platforms, reducing manual data entry. Data analytics could identify geographical clusters, demand patterns and peak periods to improve capacity planning. Research indicates that stronger digital capabilities can improve SME performance by supporting data-driven processes and opportunity identification (Zahoor et al., 2024).

Artificial intelligence (AI) could eventually support demand forecasting and route optimisation. However, introducing sophisticated AI during the MVP would create unnecessary complexity because the primary uncertainty is whether enough retailers will participate to achieve sufficient delivery density. This incremental approach is consistent with Sagala and Óri (2024), who suggest that SMEs should align digitalisation with their limitations and develop it progressively. Technology should therefore respond to validated operational needs rather than being introduced simply because it appears innovative.

2.5 Scalability and Sustainability

LocalLoop would have both digital and operational scalability. Its software could accommodate additional retailers relatively efficiently, whereas physical deliveries would continue to require transport capacity. Geographic clustering therefore offers a more credible scaling strategy than immediate national expansion. LocalLoop could validate demand and unit economics within one concentrated area before replicating the model elsewhere.

This approach could create network effects. More participating retailers would generate additional orders and greater opportunities for consolidation, potentially reducing average delivery costs. However, insufficient order density could create inefficient routes and higher costs. Lamas-Fernandez et al. (2024) demonstrate the potential efficiency benefits of shared consolidation, reinforcing the importance of sufficient delivery density.

Environmental sustainability presents both opportunity and risk. Consolidated routes may reduce duplicated journeys, while alternative delivery methods could further reduce environmental impacts. Seghezzi, Siragusa and Mangiaracina (2022) demonstrate that the economic performance of alternative last-mile solutions such as

parcel lockers varies between urban and rural contexts, reinforcing the importance of geographical conditions. However, shared delivery should not automatically be considered sustainable. Performance should therefore be measured through indicators such as distance travelled per parcel, route utilisation and failed-delivery rates.

Social sustainability is equally important. A low-cost model dependent on poor driver compensation would conflict with the human-centred principles of Design Thinking. Sustainable growth therefore requires balancing retailer affordability, consumer convenience, delivery-partner conditions and environmental performance.

Overall, LocalLoop offers scalability and sustainability potential, but both depend on effective consolidation and sufficient delivery density.

3. Task 2 – Applying Design Thinking to LocalLoop UK

3.1 Venture Concept

LocalLoop UK is proposed as a shared last-mile delivery platform for independent retailers and local producers.

Its core value proposition is:

Affordable and reliable local delivery without requiring SMEs to operate their own delivery networks.

Participating retailers would submit orders through a simple interface. Compatible deliveries would be grouped by location and delivery window before allocation to delivery partners, while customers would receive delivery updates and confirmation.

LocalLoop would initially avoid becoming an all-purpose retail-management system. CRM, inventory management and digital marketing would remain outside the MVP because they do not test the central proposition: whether pooling fragmented SME delivery demand creates sufficient value.

3.2 Empathise

The Empathise stage investigates stakeholder experiences before finalising a solution. LocalLoop would focus on independent retailers and local producers, consumers and delivery partners.

Approximately 15–20 retailers could participate in semi-structured interviews exploring delivery methods, order volumes, costs, administrative time, failed deliveries and customer complaints. Interviews allow follow-up questions to uncover underlying problems. For example, a retailer identifying price as the main issue might reveal that unpredictable collections and customer enquiries create greater operational disruption.

Consumer research would examine expectations regarding delivery speed, cost, tracking and sustainability. Researchers should avoid relying solely on stated environmental preferences because these may differ from purchasing behaviour. Nogueira, de Assis Rangel and Shimoda (2021) highlight the importance consumers place on practical delivery attributes.

Delivery-partner interviews could explore collection waiting times, route fragmentation, parking, failed deliveries and information quality. This helps prevent LocalLoop from improving the retailer or customer experience by simply transferring inefficiencies to drivers. Observation could also examine how retailers process orders from purchase through packaging, courier booking and customer communication.

An empathy map could summarise findings:

Says: “Customers expect quick delivery.”

Thinks: “I cannot compete with large retailers on logistics.”

Does: manually organises collections and handles delivery enquiries.

Feels: frustrated by cost and administrative complexity.

However, a small purposive sample cannot represent all UK SMEs. Empathy findings should therefore generate hypotheses for subsequent testing rather than be treated as conclusive market evidence.

3.3 Define and Causal Mapping

The Define stage transforms stakeholder evidence into a focused problem:

Independent UK retailers and local producers selling through digital channels require an affordable and reliable method of local delivery because low individual order volumes and limited logistics resources make dedicated fulfilment inefficient, reducing their ability to meet customer expectations and compete with larger retailers.

Causal mapping can support problem definition by visually connecting variables and identifying relationships within complex problems (Pinch, Sunley and Macmillen, 2010). For LocalLoop, the main relationships are:

Growth in digital commerce

- increased customer expectations
- pressure on SMEs to offer delivery

Low SME order volume

- weak economies of scale
- high delivery cost per parcel
- reduced margins

Fragmented deliveries

- duplicated journeys
- poor vehicle utilisation
- higher cost and environmental impact

Limited logistics capability

- manual coordination
- inconsistent tracking
- increased administration
- weaker customer experience

These relationships may create reinforcing effects. Poor delivery experiences can reduce repeat purchases, maintaining low order volumes and making economies of scale more difficult to achieve.

Causal mapping therefore reframes the problem. Rather than asking, “How can courier delivery be made cheaper?”, the underlying challenge becomes:

How might we help independent retailers combine their local deliveries to reduce costs while providing a reliable and sustainable service?

This distinction is important because negotiating cheaper individual deliveries addresses the immediate cost symptom, whereas pooling delivery demand targets the underlying problems of low order volumes and inefficient capacity utilisation.

3.4 Ideate and Brainstorming

The Ideate stage generates alternatives before selecting a preferred solution, reducing the risk of committing prematurely to one idea (Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus, 2023).

For LocalLoop, brainstorming involving retailers, consumers, delivery partners and technology specialists could generate:

1. Shared neighbourhood delivery vehicles
2. Independent-driver marketplace
3. Local consolidation hubs
4. Retailer-to-retailer click-and-collect partnerships
5. Scheduled neighbourhood delivery rounds
6. Cargo-bike delivery
7. Route-optimisation technology
8. Parcel lockers and collection points
9. Combined multi-retailer delivery routes

10. Subscription-based local delivery services

The alternatives would be evaluated according to **desirability, feasibility, viability and sustainability**. A driver marketplace would be relatively straightforward technologically but could maintain fragmented individual deliveries. Dedicated LocalLoop vehicles would provide greater operational control but require substantial investment before demand is validated.

Parcel hubs and lockers could reduce failed deliveries but introduce infrastructure costs and may inconvenience customers seeking home delivery. Cargo bikes offer environmental advantages in dense urban areas but are less suitable for larger parcels and dispersed routes.

The strongest initial proposition therefore combines **scheduled delivery windows, retailer demand pooling and consolidated routes**. Orders from different retailers could be grouped by destination and delivery time to improve capacity utilisation. Customers could choose standard consolidated delivery, while a higher-priced priority option could be tested for urgent orders. This balances customer expectations for speed with the operational benefits of consolidation.

Brainstorming therefore enables LocalLoop to compare alternatives and trade-offs before committing resources, supporting a solution that is desirable, feasible and commercially realistic.

3.5 Prototype and Minimum Viable Product

The Prototype stage converts the selected idea into a simplified version that can be tested before significant resources are committed. Prototyping supports experimentation and refinement before full implementation (Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus, 2023). LocalLoop's MVP would therefore focus on the functions needed to test its central assumptions.

The initial MVP would contain four elements:

Retailer interface: businesses enter collection, customer, parcel and delivery-window details.

Consolidation dashboard: compatible orders are grouped by location and delivery time.

Delivery-partner interface: drivers receive collection details, delivery sequence and proof-of-delivery functionality.

Customer tracking: customers receive delivery windows, updates and confirmation.

The first prototype could be a clickable interface rather than fully functioning software. Retailers would complete realistic tasks while researchers observe usability problems and collect feedback. This enables refinement before investing in full software development (Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus, 2023).

A second-stage operational MVP could then involve approximately **15–20 retailers within one concentrated area**. Geographic limitation is important because LocalLoop's viability depends on sufficient delivery density; expanding prematurely could increase route distances and costs.

The business model should also be tested rather than assumed. Blank (2013) argues that start-ups should test business-model hypotheses through customer feedback and experimentation rather than relying on untested plans. For LocalLoop, three pricing options could be compared:

Pay-per-delivery: flexible but potentially unpredictable revenue.

Subscription: predictable revenue but less attractive to occasional users.

Hybrid pricing: membership combined with discounted delivery charges.

Retailer willingness to pay, repeat usage and pilot behaviour should determine the preferred model rather than managerial preference.

The MVP should therefore test the **business model as well as the technology**.

Technical functionality alone would not create a viable venture if retailers were unwilling to pay enough to support sustainable delivery costs.

3.6 Test and Refine

The Test stage evaluates LocalLoop with real users and provides evidence for improvement. Design Thinking treats testing as iterative, allowing feedback and experimentation to refine solutions (Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus, 2023). An eight-to-twelve-week pilot would help distinguish repeat behaviour from initial interest.

Key performance indicators (KPIs) would include:

- retailer activation and repeat-booking rates;
- deliveries per retailer;
- orders consolidated per route;
- average cost per delivery;
- on-time and failed-delivery rates;
- customer, retailer and delivery-partner satisfaction;

- distance travelled per parcel;
- willingness to pay; and
- contribution margin per delivery.

These measures should be considered together. High customer satisfaction has limited commercial value if deliveries generate losses, while profitable deliveries do not demonstrate sustainable demand if retailers rarely return. This reflects the Lean Startup principle of using measurable customer behaviour to generate validated learning rather than relying on assumptions (Ries, 2011).

Quantitative results should be combined with stakeholder interviews. If retailer retention is low, qualitative feedback could identify whether price, reliability, usability or insufficient demand is responsible.

LocalLoop would then be refined according to evidence. Insufficient route density could result in a smaller service area, broad delivery windows could be narrowed, and high failed-delivery rates might justify collection points. Difficult manual booking could support future e-commerce integration.

Testing should also allow the concept to be changed or rejected. If retailers are unwilling to pay enough to cover sustainable delivery costs, LocalLoop should reconsider its pricing, target market or service rather than expand an unviable model.

Testing therefore allows assumptions to be challenged through evidence and iteration (Rösch, Tiberius and Kraus, 2023), reducing the risk of large-scale investment based on unvalidated assumptions

4. Desirability, Feasibility and Viability

4.1 Desirability

LocalLoop addresses a potential gap between increasing SME digital activity and the logistics capability required to fulfil physical orders. Department for Business and Trade (2025a) demonstrates substantial technology adoption among UK SMEs.

Retailers could gain delivery capability without operating dedicated logistics infrastructure, consumers could receive convenient delivery and tracking, and delivery partners may benefit from more concentrated routes.

However, evidence of a market problem does not prove demand for LocalLoop. Retailers may already be satisfied with existing couriers or generate insufficient delivery

volumes. Desirability must therefore be validated through interviews, prototype testing and, ultimately, repeated paid usage.

4.2 Feasibility

Technical feasibility is relatively strong because LocalLoop relies mainly on established technologies including cloud systems, GPS, mapping and mobile interfaces.

Operational feasibility is less certain because shared delivery creates efficiency only when sufficient orders can be consolidated. Evidence from last-mile research indicates that consolidation benefits are strongly influenced by geographical and operational conditions (Schnieder, 2024). A small number of retailers generating frequent orders within a concentrated area could therefore be more valuable than many registered businesses making occasional deliveries.

LocalLoop should consequently prioritise **delivery density rather than registration numbers**, initially targeting businesses with frequent local orders, such as florists, bakeries, specialist food retailers and local producers.

4.3 Financial Viability

Commercial viability ultimately depends on unit economics:

Revenue per delivery – variable delivery costs = contribution margin.

For example, if a retailer pays £6 while delivery and processing cost £7, increasing volume would increase losses rather than create commercial success.

Consolidation aims to improve this equation by sharing driver time and mileage across multiple orders. However, LocalLoop faces a three-way constraint:

Retailer affordability ↔ fair delivery-partner compensation ↔ LocalLoop profitability.

Excessive pressure on any element could make the model unsustainable. Pilot testing must therefore determine whether an acceptable balance exists. Fixed costs, including technology, insurance, administration and marketing, must also be considered. A lean approach is therefore preferable until repeat demand and positive contribution margins are demonstrated (Blank, 2013).

4.4 Sustainability and Scalability

LocalLoop's environmental proposition should depend on measurable consolidation rather than unsupported sustainability claims. Relevant indicators include distance travelled per parcel, route utilisation and failed deliveries.

Cargo bikes or electric vehicles could further reduce environmental impacts where operationally appropriate. Giordano, Fischbeck and Matthews (2018) demonstrate that

alternative vehicle technologies can provide environmental benefits in last-mile operations, although financial and operational conditions affect their attractiveness.

Expansion should also follow evidence. Once operations and unit economics are validated in one area, LocalLoop could replicate the model across additional geographical clusters, potentially supported by local business organisations or logistics partners.

Competition remains a limitation because established couriers possess infrastructure, brand recognition and national networks. LocalLoop should therefore avoid competing primarily on coverage and instead differentiate through **coordinated local fulfilment designed around fragmented independent-business demand**.

5. Conclusion

Digital transformation has fundamentally changed the competitive environment for UK SMEs, creating opportunities while also exposing gaps between digital adoption and operational capability. Although e-commerce, digital marketing, retail-management software and digital payments offer continued innovation potential, this portfolio identifies last-mile fulfilment as a particularly strong opportunity because successful online selling ultimately depends on the ability to deliver physical products efficiently, reliably and affordably.

The analysis demonstrates that the challenge is more complex than simply reducing courier prices. Independent retailers face fragmented demand and limited economies of scale, while consumers expect convenience and reliability and delivery partners require efficient routes and appropriate working conditions. Causal mapping therefore identified **low delivery density and fragmented fulfilment** as underlying causes that a new venture should address rather than treating delivery cost as an isolated problem.

Applying the five stages of Design Thinking transformed this opportunity into LocalLoop UK, a proposed shared local-delivery venture. Empathy research would establish stakeholder needs, brainstorming would compare alternative solutions, and prototyping would test the concept before substantial investment. The resulting MVP focuses on retailer booking, order consolidation, route allocation, delivery-partner functionality and customer tracking, while a geographically concentrated pilot would test actual behaviour, willingness to pay and operational performance.

Critically, LocalLoop should not be considered viable simply because the technology required to create it already exists. Its success depends on whether sufficient retailer demand can be concentrated within a defined area to create efficient routes and

positive unit economics. This distinction between **technical feasibility and commercial viability** is central to the proposed strategy. Growth without adequate delivery density could increase costs rather than create economies of scale.

Overall, LocalLoop demonstrates potential desirability, feasibility and sustainability, but these remain hypotheses until validated through real-world testing. The strongest entrepreneurial strategy is therefore **controlled experimentation rather than immediate expansion**. Evidence of repeat retailer demand, effective consolidation, acceptable delivery-partner conditions and positive contribution margins would justify wider expansion. Conversely, weak results should lead to refinement, a change in target market or rejection of the model.

Ultimately, the value of Design Thinking lies not in guaranteeing that LocalLoop will succeed, but in providing a structured method for determining whether it should. By combining stakeholder understanding, critical problem definition, alternative generation, prototyping and evidence-based testing, entrepreneurial uncertainty can be converted into measurable learning. This provides a stronger basis for responsible investment and sustainable innovation than developing technology first and assuming that market demand will follow.

References

- Bhatti, A., Rehman, S.U. and Rumman, J.B.A. (2025) 'Organizational capabilities mediate between organizational networks and digital innovation: the interplay of digital platforms', *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 28(3), pp. 1062–1085.
- Blank, S. (2013) 'Why the lean start-up changes everything', *Harvard Business Review*, 91(5), pp. 63–72.
- Department for Business and Trade (2025a) *Longitudinal Small Business Survey 2024: SME employers*. London: Department for Business and Trade.
- Department for Business and Trade (2025b) *Understanding technology adoption among UK SMEs*. London: Department for Business and Trade.
- Giordano, A., Fischbeck, P.S. and Matthews, H.S. (2018) 'Environmental and economic comparison of diesel and battery electric delivery vans to inform city logistics fleet replacement strategies', *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 64, pp. 216–229. doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2017.10.003.
- Habicher, D., Erschbamer, G., Pechlaner, H., Ghirardello, L. and Walder, M. (2022) 'Transformation and Design Thinking: perspectives on sustainable change, company resilience and democratic leadership in SMEs', *Leadership, Education, Personality: An Interdisciplinary Journal*, 3, pp. 145–156. doi: 10.1365/s42681-022-00028-x.
- Lamas-Fernandez, C., Martinez-Sykora, A., McLeod, F., Bektaş, T., Cherrett, T. and Allen, J. (2024) 'Improving last-mile parcel delivery through shared consolidation and portering: a case study in London', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 75(6), pp. 1043–1054. doi: 10.1080/01605682.2023.2231095.
- Nogueira, G.P.M., de Assis Rangel, J.J. and Shimoda, E. (2021) 'Sustainable last-mile distribution in B2C e-commerce: Do consumers really care?', *Cleaner and Responsible Consumption*, 3, 100021. doi: 10.1016/j.clrc.2021.100021.
- Pinch, S., Sunley, P. and Macmillen, J. (2010) 'Cognitive mapping of creative practice: a case study of three English design agencies', *Geoforum*, 41(3), pp. 377–387. doi: 10.1016/j.geoforum.2009.10.012.
- Radicić, D. and Petković, S. (2023) 'Impact of digitalization on technological innovations in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)', *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 191, 122474. doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122474.
- Ranieri, L., Digiesi, S., Silvestri, B. and Roccotelli, M. (2018) 'A review of last mile logistics innovations in an externalities cost reduction vision', *Sustainability*, 10(3), 782. doi: 10.3390/su10030782.

Ries, E. (2011) *The Lean Startup: How Today's Entrepreneurs Use Continuous Innovation to Create Radically Successful Businesses*. New York: Crown Business.

Rösch, N., Tiberius, V. and Kraus, S. (2023) 'Design thinking for innovation: context factors, process, and outcomes', *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 26(7), pp. 160–176. doi: 10.1108/EJIM-03-2022-0164.

Sagala, G.H. and Öri, D. (2024) 'Toward SMEs digital transformation success: a systematic literature review', *Information Systems and e-Business Management*, 22, pp. 667–719. doi: 10.1007/s10257-024-00682-2.

Schnieder, M. (2024) 'Visualising carrier consolidation and alternative delivery locations: a digital model of last-mile delivery in England and Wales', *Logistics*, 8(3), 77. doi: 10.3390/logistics8030077.

Seghezzi, A., Siragusa, C. and Mangiaracina, R. (2022) 'Parcel lockers vs. home delivery: a model to compare last-mile delivery cost in urban and rural areas', *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 52(3), pp. 213–237. doi: 10.1108/IJPDLM-03-2020-0072.