


Research Participation Log 2018/2019



Level 5 Research
Design and Analysis
(M5X00913)

Study One	Study Code: SWAN_credit_AHC2
1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)	
Yes ☒	No ☐
If not, which guidelines were not followed?	
Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?	
When I arrived at this study I was given a brief description of what I had to do and was asked to read and fill out a consent form before continuing. I was made aware that I could withdraw at any point throughout the study if I was unsure or uncomfortable participating and I was reminded after I completed the study also.	

Study Code: SWAN credit AHC2

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

When I arrived at this study I was given a brief description of what I had to do and was asked to read and fill out a consent form before continuing. I was made aware that I could withdraw at any point throughout the study if I was unsure or uncomfortable participating and I was reminded after I completed the study also.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you provided with details of the researcher (e.g. email address) and given the opportunity to discuss with them after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

For this study I was asked to play one full game of call of duty on an xbox console. Whilst playing the game I was required to wear sticky pads on my hands which were connected to wires linking to a machine that measured my pulse throughout the study. Before I started the study the researcher explained clearly what I needed to do and was very informative. Once I had completed the study she came back in and explained her reasons for her study and what she was looking to find from her study. I was then reminded before leaving, my rights to withdraw.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I really enjoyed this study because it was fun playing that game and I was quite interested in the study.

I found this study quite different to all the other studies that I have seen or participated in, which is why I signed up to it and it really caught my eye.

The researcher was very polite and gave clear instructions throughout, making sure I knew what I was doing and that made it easier for me to get on with.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

After I completed the study the researcher handed me a debrief form which explained in more detail what the purpose of the study was and provided contact details to get in touch id I had any questions or concerns about the study.

The researcher asked me if I enjoyed the study and whether I had any questions to ask before leaving the room.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study?
(think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Whilst playing the console game for this study I could feel my heart rate becoming effected by the game as it was full of action so if this study was to be replicated it could look at heart rate as well as pulse.

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

[illegible]

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in an online research study and how this experience differed from a lab based study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your

[illegible]

Online Study Study Code:

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is a margin at the top, and the paper appears to be part of a notebook or binder, as evidenced by the dark binding visible along the left edge.

Note:

[illegible]

Study Two Study Code: SWAN_credit_CF

Study Code: SWAN_credit_CF

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒

No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

When I entered the room for this study the researcher greeted me and gave me a brief explanation about her study. She explained that her study involved being voice recorded and asked me if I was comfortable with this. She made me aware that the recording would be kept confidential and that it would only be played back by herself and once she had transcribed it then it would be deleted. I was made aware that during the transcribing, if any names were mentioned by myself they would have been changed so that nothing could be traced back to me. Before I started I was asked to fill out a consent form and was told that I could withdraw at any point if I felt uncomfortable or did not want to continue. I was fully debriefed once the study was over and handed a very detailed debrief form to take with me.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

During this study I was asked to read a few articles on the subject of benefits and different situations. Then once I had finished the researcher voice recorded us both having a discussion about the articles in question and also touched up on my opinion on benefits and how I found media portrayed benefits and the people who receive them. I was asked whether I could relate to any of the articles given and why, which is why for ethical reasons and privacy, names were changed.

Throughout this study the researcher gave clear instructions making sure that I knew what to do and when. She was very patient and polite throughout, making me feel as comfortable as possible at all times.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I was quite apprehensive about this study because it involved being recorded on tape however the researcher made me feel very comfortable and kept reassuring me that only she would be listening to the recordings, all names mentioned would be changed and once transcribed they would be deleted straight away.

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

When I arrived at the study the researcher made it clear what the purposes of the study were and what I had to do. She made sure I knew what I was doing and would ask if I understood just to make sure.

Once the study was completed I was handed a debrief form which was quite detailed and she asked me if I had any questions about the study before I left.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

I do not feel that this study could have been carried out any differently.

The researcher was very polite and took into account the feelings of her participants as the subjects spoken about could have been quite personal and emotional. When asking questions she would start with "if you don't mind me asking".

Once the study was over she handed me a very informative de-

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

I was asked to watch a video of a mock bank robbery and then was asked to write out as many countries as I could whilst being timed for one minute. After that I was asked to complete a word search which I had two minutes for, before being asked to look at a line-up and point out which picture I thought was the man from the bank robbery video. I was given clear instructions throughout this study.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I really enjoyed this study and I found it very interesting,

Study Four Study Code: SWAN_credit_CS

Study Code: SWAN_credit_CS

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☒ No ☐

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

Upon arrival the researcher made me feel very comfortable and welcome. She explained in detail what I need to do and gave very clear instructions throughout the study. I was made aware of rights to withdraw for this study. Once the study was over I was handed a debrief form which provided more than enough information about the purpose of the study.

Note:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no text or other markings on the paper.

Study Three Study Code: SWAN_credit_JC1

Study Code: SWAN_credit_JC1

1) Was the BPS Code of Human Research Ethics followed? (E.g. was informed consent gained? Were you aware of your right to withdraw? Was confidentiality upheld?)

Yes ☐

No ☒

If not, which guidelines were not followed?

The researcher did not explain my rights to withdraw throughout this study. He was quite brief when it came to explaining what to do however I still managed to complete the task.

Describe how the ethical guidelines were followed?

When I entered this study I was given a consent form to fill in and was given a very short explanation of the study. I was given a debrief form at the end of the study once I completed it however it was not very informative and found it very vague. It did provide details of the researcher if I needed to get in touch with any questions I may have later on.

Note:

[illegible]

How were you fully debriefed after completing the study? Were you given opportunity to discuss with the researcher after completion?

The researcher left the room quite quickly after his brief explanation of his study and what I had to do. Same as once I had completed the study. I was handed a debrief form at the end of the study which I did not find had a lot of detail about the study either. I was thanked for participating and to get in touch with any questions I had with the details on the debrief form.

Are there any areas of improvement for this research study? (think about the materials used in the study and how the researcher carried out the study)

For the researcher to be more talkative and more welcoming to his study. To be more informative about the study and what was needed from the participants.

Provide more detail on the debrief form as his was very informative.

Name (PRINT): _____

Sign: _____

Date: _____

Briefly describe/outline the study you participated in (What were you asked to do? Did you understand the purpose before/during the study?)

For this study I had to fill out a long questionnaire which I did not really understand. For someone who is not very good with politics I got quite easily confused with the ring wing and left with authoritarianism so could have done with more of an explanation on the subject. The debrief form was very vague so was still unsure of the reasons or purpose of the study.

Briefly describe your experience of taking part in this research study (positive aspects/issues that may have impacted your participation)

I participated in this study because the researcher has a free slot and I had just finished participating in another study. The researcher was quite quiet during the study and made me feel a little uneasy as I sensed they were uncomfortable themselves. I did not find that he explained his study very well at all. This did not make the study very enjoyable for me.